

Technological Properties of some Egyptian New Wheat Varieties

Ahmed M. S. Hussein, Mohie M. Kamil and Gamal H. Ragab

National Research Centre, Food Technology Department, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt
email: ResearchTeamMMK@yahoo.com

Abstract: Whole meal and flour 72% of Gemmeiza 7, Gize 168, Sohage 3 and Sakha 93 wheat varieties were evaluated to produce pan bread, pasta and biscuits. Pan bread of whole meal wheat varieties had higher contents of moisture, protein, fat, ash and fiber than wheat flour 72% of the same varieties. Pan bread of Sakha 93 characterized with its higher baking quality (weight, volume and specific volume) than pan breads of other varieties. Crust color of pan bread slightly affected with whole-meal wheat varieties, where its color score maximized in Sakha 93 (7.7) and Sohage 3 (6.7). This result agreed with the obtained color parameter of Hunter, where lightness (L) maximized to 55.95 and 49.79 in pan bread crust of Sohage 3 and Sakha 93, respectively. Pasta characterized with its higher protein (13.12%), fat (2.59%) and crude fiber (2.82%) in case of using whole meal of Sohage 3, Giza 168 and Gemmeiza 7 varieties, respectively. Pasta cooking quality ranked first in case of using Sohage 3 whole meal, where its weight increase, volume increase and cooking loss reached to 265%, 305.3% and 8.3%, respectively. Pasta color parameter showed that, wheat flour 72% and whole meal of Sakha 93 characterized with its higher lightness (L). Sensory evaluation showed that, pasta of wheat flour 72% accepted slightly in appearance and color if compared with whole meal pasta of the same variety. In addition, there were no significant difference between pasta of wheat flour 72% and whole meal in flavor, tenderness and stickiness. Biscuit of whole meal characterized with its higher content of protein, fat, ash and crude fiber than wheat flour 72%. Whole meal biscuit of Sohage 3 characterized with its higher protein (12.13%), fat (31.0%) and ash (2.51%) contents; and lowest carbohydrate content (52.18%). Biscuit of Sakha 93 variety (whole meal or flour 72%) was higher in baking quality. Hunter color parameter and sensory evaluation showed that, biscuit of whole meal varieties was slightly darker than biscuit of wheat flour 72% varieties. In addition, biscuits flavor, taste, texture, appearance and overall acceptability of wheat flour 72% not affected significantly in case of using whole meal flour of the same variety.

[Ahmed M. S. Hussein, Mohie M. Kamil and Gamal H. Ragab. Technological Properties of some Egyptian New Wheat Varieties. Journal of American Science 2010;6(11):688-699]. (ISSN: 1545-1003).

Keywords: Egyptian wheat varieties – technological properties - Pan bread – Pasta – Biscuit - whole meal – wheat flour 72%.

INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, there is a big gap between wheat production and consumption. Therefore, National Program for Wheat Research developed new wheat varieties characterized with its higher yield and persist pests, i.e. Gemmiza 7, Giza 168, Sohag 3 and Sakha 93 (Anon., 2005). The importance of wheat is mainly due to its nutritive value and the fact that its seed can be ground into flour, semolina, etc., which form the basic ingredients of bread and other bakery products, as well as pastas.

Milling of wheat has two important purposes, one is to remove the bran and the other is to reduce the endosperm to small and fine particles. Such process decrease the nutritive value of wheat, so several researchers (Collins *et al.*, 1983; Finney *et al.*, 1985; Jenkins *et al.*, 1988; Lai *et al.*, 1989a; Mugford, 1993; Shouk, 1996 and Czerny and Schieberle, 2002) studied the possibility of using whole meal grains (100%) in several products to

utilize from its bran and germ that contain several healthy and nutrient components, furthermore, maximize the yield of flour to reduce the big gape between wheat production and consumption in Egypt.

The differences usually occurred in the wheat flour products depend on the wheat variety (hard or soft wheat), rate of flour milling and particle size. On the other hand, whole meal flour contains the whole of the product derived from the milling of cleaned wheat. Bread can only legally be described as whole meal bread if the flour used in its manufacture is whole meal flour, and no other flour is added. Production of whole meal bread, which is produced from whole meal grains (100%) should reduce bread making cost.

This research aimed to evaluate processing quality of such new varieties to produce some important wheat products, i.e. bread, pasta and biscuit.

Material and Methods

Materials

Wheat grains varieties of Gemmeza 7, Giza168, Sohage 3 and Sakha93 were obtained from Field Crops Department, Agricultural Research Centre, Ministry of Agriculture, Giza, Egypt. Shortening, sugar, salt and sodium bicarbonate were obtained from Egyptian local market.

1. Analytical methods

Moisture, ash, crude protein, fat and crude fiber contents of wheat products were determined according to the methods outlined in **AOAC (2000)**, while carbohydrates were calculated by difference as mentioned by **Tadrus (1989)**.

2. Statistical analysis

All results were evaluated statistically using analysis of variance as reported by **McClave and Benson (1991)**.

3. Methods of Processing:

Wheat grains varieties were manually cleaned, tempered to 14% moisture content, then milled using Quadrumat Junior flour mill. The obtained flour represent whole flour mill (100% extraction), then sieved to obtain flours of 72% extraction.

3.1 Preparation of Pan Bread

Pan bread baking carried out as described by **Lazaridou et al. (2007)** as follows: Firstly, yeast dissolved in warm water (35°C), then mixed and kneaded with flour, salt (1.5%) and sugar (1%). Then dough fermented at 30°C/30 min in a fermentation cabinet under 80-85% relative humidity. after which, dough was placed in the pan (150 g/piece) and kept under the same fermentation condition for 45 min. Bread dough loaves were baked at 240 °C for 20–25 min in an electric oven (**Mondial Formi, 4T 40/60, Italy**), then subjected to steam for 10 sec to enhance the browning process of bread. The obtained pan bread packed in a polyethylene bags and stored at room temperature for evaluation and analysis.

3.2 Preparation of Biscuits

Two type of biscuits were prepared, i.e. biscuit of whole meal flour (100%) and traditional biscuit of wheat flour 72% extraction. Biscuit were prepared according to the method of **AACC (2000)** by using the following formula: wheat flour 100% or 72% extraction (100 g), sugar (50 g), shortening (28 g), salt (0.93 g), sodium bicarbonate (1.11g), 14.66 ml of dextrose solution (5.93%).

3.3 Preparation of Pasta:

Pasta samples were prepared in Food Technology Department, National Research Centre,

Cairo, Egypt, according to the method of **AACC (2000)** by using Pasta Matic 1000 Simac Machine Corporation, Milano, Italy. Pasta hydrated for 15 min under atmospheric air, dried in a cabinet dryer at 40°C for 14 hrs, then cooled at room temperature, packed in polyethylene bags and kept at room temperature for analysis.

4. Baking quality of Pan Bread and Biscuit:

Weight, volume and specific volume of manufactured pan bread were determined as described by **AACC (1983)**.

Biscuits Diameter, volume and thickness were determined according to the standard method of **AACC (2000)**. The spread ratio (Diameter/thickness) was calculated according to standard methods of **AACC (2000)**.

5. Cooking quality of pasta

Cooking quality of pasta carried out by measuring the increases in weight, volume and cooking loss after cooking according the methods of **AACC (2000)**.

6. Color quality of Processed Products:

The color of pan bread (crust and crumb), biscuit and pasta samples were evaluated using Hunter, Lab Scan XE, Reston VA., calibrated with a white standard tile of Hunter Lab color standard (LX No. 16379) $x = 77.26$, $y = 81.94$ and $z = 88.14$ ($L^* = 92.43$, $a^* = -0.88$, $b^* = 0.21$).

7. Freshness of pan Bread

Pan bread was packed in polyethylene bags and stored at room temperature. Loaves freshness of pan bread was tested at interval time (1, 3 and 5 days) using alkaline water retention capacity (AWRC) according to **Kitterman and Rubenthaler (1971)**.

8. Sensory Evaluation of Processed Products:

Sensory evaluation of pan bread was performed by 10 trained panelists as described by **Kulp et al. (1985)** for symmetry of shape (5), crust color (10), break & shred (10), crumb texture (15), crumb color (10), aroma (20), taste (20) and mouth feel (10).

Cooked pasta organoleptically evaluated by ten panelists for its appearance (10), color (10), flavor (10), tenderness (10) and stickiness (10) as described by **Hallabo et al. (1985)**.

Sensory characteristics of biscuits evaluated by ten trained panelist for its color (10), flavor (10), taste (10), texture (10), appearance (10) and overall acceptability (10) as mentioned by **Zabic and Hoojjat (1984)**.

Results and Discussion

Technological properties of wheat varieties:

1 Pan Bread

1.1 Chemical composition:

Pan bread of whole meal or wheat flour 72% varieties were evaluated chemically and presented in Table (1). The obtained results showed that, pan bread of whole meal characterized with its higher moisture content if compared with wheat flour 72% of all the studied varieties. In addition, moisture content of whole meal pan bread increased significantly to the highest level (37.02%) in Sohage 3 variety and decreased to the lowest level (33.09%) in gemmiza 7. Sohage 3 also characterized with is higher protein content where it reached to 12.43% and 12.22% in pan bread of whole meal and wheat flour 72%, respectively. Fat content of whole meal pan bread decreased slightly in Giza 168, Sohage 3, Gemmiza 7 and Sakha 93 to reach 3.73, 3.42, 3.43 and 3.22%, respectively. The same trend also observed in ash content of pan bread for the same varieties. Crude fibre of whole meal pan bread reached to the highest level in Sakha 93 (3.07%) and lowest level in Sohage 3 (1.39%). Table (1) showed also that, all pan bread of wheat flour 72% varieties characterized only by its higher content of carbohydrate than whole meal, while whole meal had higher contents of moisture, protein, fat, ash and

fiber. This result agreed with those found by **Kent-Jones and Amos (1967) and Shouk (1996)**.

1.2 Baking quality:

The quality of pan bread depends on flour type, so baking quality of whole meal flour and wheat flour 72% of the studied wheat varieties evaluated. Data presented in Table (2) showed that, pan bread of whole meal varieties characterized with its higher weight (140-133.3 g) if compared with its wheat flour 72% varieties (134.8-131.0 g). In addition, the volume of pan bread whole meal increased and ranged between 312.5-265 cm³, while its wheat flour 72% decreased between 290-255 cm³. On other hand, pan bread of wheat flour 72% varieties characterized with its higher specific volume (2.16-1.91) if compared with its whole meal (2.25-1.94). The obtained results well agree with those found by **He and Hoseny (1992) and shouk (1996)**. Also, **Pommeranz et al (1977)**, stated that, as fiber content increase loaf volume and weight increase, also, **Chen et al (1988)** stated that, the effect could result from the interaction between gluten and fiber. It could concluded from Table (2) that, whole wheat meal and its flour 72% of Sakha 93 characterized with higher baking quality (weight, volume and specific volume) for pan bread than Gemmeiza 7, Giza 168 and Sohage 3 varieties.

Table 1: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on chemical composition of pan bread.

Sample	Moisture	Protein	Fat	Ash	Crude fiber	Total Carb.
Gemmeiza 7:						
Whole meal	33.09 ^c ± 0.56	11.26 ^{bc} ± 0.25	3.43 ^b ± 0.07	1.94 ^b ± 0.09	2.86 ^b ± 0.03	80.48 ^{de} ± 0.16
Wheat flour 72%	29.37 ^e ± 1.35	10.88 ^e ± 0.08	2.50 ^e ± 0.06	1.06 ^c ± 0.13	0.69 ^b ± 0.14	84.91 ^{ab} ± 0.28
Gize 168:						
Whole meal	35.50 ^b ± 0.62	11.25 ^{bc} ± 0.25	3.73 ^a ± 0.15	2.18 ^a ± 2.89	3.00 ^{ab} ± 0.05	79.72 ^e ± 0.34
Extraction: 72%	31.26 ^d ± 0.91	10.95 ^c ± 0.05	2.57 ^{de} ± 0.06	1.07 ^c ± 0.06	0.88 ^d ± 0.04	84.61 ^b ± 0.18
Sohage 3:						
Whole meal	37.02 ^a ± 0.58	12.43 ^a ± 0.69	3.48 ^b ± 0.03	1.94 ^b ± 0.09	1.39 ^c ± 0.03	80.82 ^d ± 0.59
Wheat flour: 72%	30.15 ^{de} ± 0.45	12.22 ^a ± 0.26	2.64 ^d ± 4.20	0.93 ^{cd} ± 0.07	0.70 ^d ± 0.09	83.51 ^c ± 0.26
Sakha 93:						
Whole meal	35.29 ^b ± 0.49	11.53 ^b ± 0.30	3.22 ^c ± 0.08	1.82 ^b ± 0.12	3.07 ^a ± 0.16	80.35 ^{de} ± 0.43
Wheat flour 72%	31.19 ^d ± 0.42	10.95 ^c ± 0.13	2.32 ^f ± 0.03	0.85 ^d ± 0.10	0.77 ^d ± 0.12	85.24 ^a ± 0.07
LSD at 0.05	1.27	0.54	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.57

Total Carb. = Total carbohydrate.

Table (2): Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on baking quality of pan bread.

Sample	Weight (g)	Volume (cc)	Specific volume (cc/g)
Gemmeiza 7:			
Whole meal	133.30 ^c ± 1.50	274 ^c ± 8.4	2.06 ^{bc} ± 5.51
Wheat flour 72%	131.00 ^d ± 0.50	255 ^e ± 5.0	1.95 ^d ± 6.81
Gize 168:			
Whole meal	135.30 ^{bc} ± 1.52	305.0 ^a ± 5.0	2.25 ^a ± 4.93
Wheat flour 72%	133.80 ^c ± 1.75	267.5 ^{cd} ± 2.5	1.99 ^{cd} ± 4.43
Sohage 3:			
Whole meal	136.30 ^b ± 0.763	265.0 ^{cd} ± 5.0	1.94 ^d ± 0.025
Wheat flour 72%	134.80 ^{bc} ± 0.289	257.5 ^{dc} ± 7.5	1.91 ^d ± 0.049
Sakha 93:			
Whole meal	140.00 ^a ± 1.50	312.5 ^a ± 10.5	2.23 ^a ± 0.115
Wheat flour 72%	134.20 ^{bc} ± 1.52	290.0 ^b ± 5.0	2.16 ^{ab} ± 0.065
LSD at .05	2.227	11.983	0.111

Table (3): Color quality of pan bread as affected by wheat varieties and extraction rate.

Sample	Lightness “L”		Redness “a”		Yellowness “b”	
	Crust	Crumb	Crust	Crumb	Crust	Crumb
Gemmeiza 7:						
Whole meal	51.32 ^c ± 0.50	53.80 ^c ± 3.31	9.48 ^f ± 0.11	6.48 ^a ± 0.16	27.50 ^d ± 0.50	25.46 ^a ± 2.02
Wheat flour (72%)	56.96 ^b ± 0.72	64.46 ^a ± 3.41	6.44 ^f ± 0.23	3.41 ^c ± 1.19	26.94 ^d ± 0.31	25.73 ^e ± 3.35
Gize 168:						
Whole meal	44.18 ^d ± 0.55	60.03 ^{ab} ± 2.92	14.21 ^b ± 0.18	5.93 ^{ab} ± 0.83	24.80 ^e ± 1.79	25.79 ^a ± 1.34
Wheat flour (72%)	57.01 ^b ± 2.92	63.35 ^a ± 3.28	10.05 ^a ± 0.46	4.04 ^c ± 0.64	30.79 ^{bc} ± 0.80	25.26 ^a ± 1.26
Sohage 3:						
Whole meal	55.95 ^b ± 0.78	59.12 ^{abc} ± 3.22	11.72 ^d ± 1.01	6.09 ^{ab} ± 0.60	31.11 ^b ± 0.56	25.28 ^a ± 0.97
Wheat flour (72%)	60.06 ^a ± 1.25	64.96 ^a ± 4.16	12.71 ^c ± 0.38	4.83 ^{bc} ± 0.61	33.91 ^a ± 0.23	26.01 ^a ± 0.91
Sakha 93:						
Whole meal	49.79 ^c ± 0.34	56.19 ^{bc} ± 3.49	14.88 ^{ab} ± 0.30	6.45 ^a ± 0.95	29.35 ^c ± 0.39	23.98 ^a ± 1.42
Wheat flour (72%)	50.98 ^c ± 1.59	63.63 ^a ± 4.49	15.37 ^a ± 0.53	3.92 ^c ± 0.71	32.03 ^b ± 1.61	23.55 ^a ± 1.62
LSD at 0.05	2.33	6.15	0.83	1.32	1.66	2.70

1.3 Color quality:

Data presented in Table (3) represent color attributes of pan bread as affected by wheat varieties and its extraction rate (72%). The obtained results indicated that, the lightness color (L) of crust whole-wheat meal affected with wheat varieties, where it maximized in Sohage 3 to reach 55.95 and minimized to 44.18 in Giza 168. In addition, extraction rate (72%) and wheat variety increased lightness to 60.06, 57.01, 56.96 and 50.98 in Sohage, Giza 168, Gemmiza 7 and Sakha93, respectively. The obtained

results showed also that, the color parameter (a & b) of crust pan bread were affected with wheat varieties, but crumb not affected.

As expected, crumb color layer characterized with its higher whiteness than crust layer where lightness (L) score increased, and both redness and yellowness scores decreased. This result could explain as follows: the parameter L, a and b of crust color (wheat flour 72%) in Sohage 3 was 55.95, 11.72 and 31.11, respectively, while the same parameter color in crumb was 60.06, 6.09 and 25.28, respectively. This result could explained as stated by

Kordonowy & Young (1985), Kim et al. (1997) and Ramy et al. (2002) that darkness increased in whole-meal pan bread as a result of bran and germ that present in whole-meal.

1.4 Sensory Properties:

Effect of wheat varieties on sensory properties of pan bread evaluated and presented in Table (4). The obtained results showed that, the symmetric shape of whole meal pan bread or its 72% extracted flour not affected significantly in case of using any one of the studied wheat varieties, where it ranged between 3.35 – 4.05. Crust color slightly affected with whole-meal wheat varieties, where its color score maximized in Sakha 93 (7.7) and Sohage 3 (6.7). This result agreed with the obtained color parameter of Hunter (Table 6), where lightness (L) maximized to 55.95 and 49.79 in pan bread crust of Sohage 3 and Sakha 93, respectively. In addition, sensory property and Hunter color parameter not affected significantly with wheat varieties flour (72%) of pan-bread crumb.

In case of comparing crust color pan bread of wheat flour (72%) with whole meal, it could state that, the crust color quality related to maillard reaction that affected by sugars content of flour. Therefore, wheat flour 72% had higher content of total and reducing sugars than whole meal, consequently crust color of wheat flour pan-bread was better than that of whole meal pan bread. This effect observed in all samples of the prepared pan-bread regardless of wheat variety. These findings are in agreement with those obtained by **Lai et al (1989b) and Shouk (1996)**. They proposed that, bran binds relatively large amount of water, changes the appearance and the handling properties of the dough. Therefore, the gluten not properly hydrated and developed at normal absorption levels.

Concerning break and shred, it's clear that pan bread of wheat flour 72% had better break and shred than that of whole meal pan bread. These findings observed in all tested samples regardless of wheat variety.

The same table showed that, crumb-texture, aroma, taste and mouse feel of pan bread wheat flour (72%) were better than whole meal pan bread regardless of wheat variety.

1.5 Freshness:

Data in Table (5) show and compare the effect of whole meal, wheat flour (72%) and wheat varieties on the pan bread freshness that stored at room temperature for 1, 3 and 5 days. The obtained results showed that, pan bread wheat flour 72% had the lowest values of alkaline water retention capacity (AWRC) than that of whole meal pan-bread. Furthermore, all tested samples caused a noticeable

decrease in AWRC values at 1, 3 and 5 days storage. This could related to whole meal pan-bread that contained more fiber and protein than wheat flour 72% bread, consequently could retain more water. **Mohamed et al. (2006)** reported that bread samples with higher wheat flour (higher amylase) and lower protein content showed higher firmness values. In addition, **Parker and Ring (2001)** stated that, bread staling is caused by amylose and to a lesser extent, amylopectin retrogradation. The high protein content altered the macromolecular content of the bread and thus the overall glass transition of the system. The change in the glass transition directly related to the molecular relaxation of the bread, which in turn affected the staling process as explained.

2 Pasta:

Gemmeiza 7, Gize 168, Sohage 3 and Sakha 93 wheat varieties were evaluated also to produce pasta from its whole meal and flour 72%.

2.1 Chemical composition of pasta:

Data presented in Table (6) showed that, moisture content of whole meal pasta was higher than pasta of wheat flour 72% in all studied varieties. This could attribute to higher content of crude fiber (ranged between 2.82 to 1.65) in pasta of whole meal than pasta of wheat flour 72% (ranged between 0.78-0.5). In addition, whole meal pasta of all wheat varieties characterized with its higher protein, fat and ash contents than wheat flour 72% pasta. In contrast, whole meal pasta was lower in carbohydrate than flour 72% pasta. This result agreed with those found by **Kent-Jones and Amos (1967) and Shouk (1996)** where they stated that, whole meal wheat bread was higher than wheat flour 72% bread in protein, fat, ash and fiber. Furthermore, whole meal pasta of Sohage 3, Giza 168 and Gemmeiza 7 characterized with its higher protein (13.12%), fat (2.59%) and crude fiber (2.82%) contents than other studied varieties, respectively.

2.2 Cooking quality:

Table (7) showed that, cooked pasta of whole meal characterized with its higher increase in weight (ranged between 265-219.6%) and volume (ranged between 305.3-247.4%), while weight and volume of wheat flour 72% cooked pasta ranged between (230-175.8%) and (188-183.3%), respectively. Cooking loss (solids loss into cooking water) of wheat flour 72% pasta characterized with its lower cooking loss (ranged between 8.8-6%), while whole meal pasta ranged between 12.8-8.3%. Regarding to pasta cooking quality of four studied varieties, Sohage 3 ranked first in both whole meal and wheat flour 72% pasta, followed by Giza 168, Sakha 93, and Gemmeiza 7.

Weight increasing of whole meal pasta could be due to its high fiber content (table 6), where fiber have a lower bulk density, more surface area, polar groups, and uronic acid groups leading to surrounding water, and increase in its swelling volume (Lo et al, 1991 and Bao & Chang, 1991).

The undesirable effect on cooking loss may be due to dilution of gluten, or the interaction between gluten and fiber that allows high starch to leach out from the pasta, consequently, resulting an increase in cooking losses.

Table 4: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on sensory properties of pan bread.

L.S.D 5%	Sakha 93		Sohage 3		Gize 168		Gemmeiza 7		Characteristics
	Flour 72 %	Whole meal	Flour 72 %	Whole meal	Flour 72 %	Whole meal	Flour 72 %	Whole meal	
---	4.05 ± 0.726	4.00 ± 0.707	3.6 ± 0.768	3.50 ± 0.726	3.95 ± 0.79	3.35 ± 5.27	3.50 ± 1.01	3.45 ± 2.90	Symmetrical Shape (5)
1.222	7.8 ^{abc} ± 1.51	7.7 ^{abc} ± 0.66	7.2 ^{bc} ± 1.50	6.70 ^c ± 0.66	8.60 ^a ± 1.0	7.65 ^{ab} ± 1.43	8.20 ^{ab} ± 1.48	7.6 ^{abc} ± 1.5	Crust color (10)
1.48	7.8 ^a ± 0.86	7.33 ^a ± 1.16	7.7 ^a 1.30	6.88 ^a ± 1.17	7.88 ^a ± 0.78	7.11 ^a ± 1.16	8.00 ^a ± 1.73	7.6 ^a ± 1.11	Break & shred (10)
2.268	11.8 ^a ± 2.06	11.50 ^a ± 2.69	11.1 ^a ± 2.00	10.80 ^a ± 2.04	12.10 ^a ± 2.65	11.60 ^a ± 2.65	12.13 ^a ± 2.28	11.3 ^a ± 2.1	Crumb texture (15)
1.302	7.8 ^a ± 1.26	7.40 ± 1.73	7.9 ^a ± 1.41	7.50 ^a ± 0.88	8.20 ^a ± 1.2	7.30 ^a ± 1.36	8.00 ^a ± 1.11	7.2 ^a ± 1.64	Crumb color (10)
2.295	16.5 ^{ab} ± 2.60	16.33 ^{ab} ± 2.29	15.66 ^{ab} ± 2.70	15.22 ^b ± 2.73	16.66 ^{ab} ± 2.73	16.33 ^{ab} ± 1.66	17.55 ^a ± 1.66	16.0 ^{ab} ± 2.39	Aroma (20)
1.735	16.0 ^{ab} ± 1.66	16.55 ^{ab} ± 1.24	16.33 ^{ab} ± 2.29	15.44 ^b ± 1.66	17.33 ^a ± 2.12	16.22 ^{ab} ± 1.20	17.33 ^a ± 1.80	17.0 ^{ab} ± 2.12	Taste (20)
1.056	7.8 ^a ± 0.87	7.77 ^a ± 1.09	7.52 ^a ± 53	7.44 ^a ± 93	7.7 ^a ± 0.08	7.33 ^a ± 1.22	8.1 ^a ± 1.52	8.0 ^a ± 1.32	Mouth feel (10)

- Significant at 0.05 probability level.

- There is no significant difference between two means (within the same property) designed by the same letter.

*NS=Not Significant

Table 5: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on Freshness properties of stored pan bread.

Storage period (days)						Sample
5		3		1		
Freshness	Moisture	Freshness	Moisture	Freshness	Moisture	
Gemmeiza 7 :						
268.90 ^a ± 3.12	27.45 ^c ± 0.57	293.50 ^a ± 3.04	31.27 ^c ± 0.48	303.70 ^d ± 5.98	33.09 ^c ± 0.56	Whole meal Extraction: (72%)
215.50 ^c ± 5.20	25.60 ^e ± 0.79	231.96 ^d ± 0.83	27.31 ^e ± 0.79	252.47 ^e ± 7.29	29.37 ^e ± 1.35	
Gize 168:						
262.10 ^b ± 3.05	30.03 ^b ± 0.39	286.20 ^a ± 8.43	32.95 ^d ± 0.43	305.33 ^b ± 8.38	35.50 ^b ± 0.62	Whole meal Extraction: (72%)
235.46 ^d ± 4.70	26.71 ^{cd} ± 0.61	255.27 ^c ± 5.20	29.23 ^d ± 1.03	275.00 ^d ± 5.00	31.26 ^d ± 0.92	
Sohage 3:						

271.27 ^a ± 1.16	31.97 ^a ± 0.79	292.90 ^a ± 2.56	34.99 ^a ± 0.54	315.37 ^a ± 4.95	37.02 ^a ± 0.58	Whole meal
238.60 ^{cd} ± 3.13	25.75 ^{de} ± 0.32	258.33 ^{bc} ± 2.88	28.18 ^{de} ± 0.49	278.33 ^{cd} ± 2.88	30.15 ^{de} ± 0.45	
Sakha 93:						
244.70 ^c ± 4.09	29.84 ^b ± 0.67	265.40 ^b ± 5.00	32.89 ^b ± 0.56	285.60 ^c ± 4.76	35.29 ^d ± 0.48	Whole meal
212.27 ^e ± 2.97	26.36 ^{de} ± 0.66	232.13 ^d ± 2.92	29.09 ^d ± 0.81	251.83 ^e ± 2.75	31.23 ^d ± 0.42	Extraction: (72%)
6.27	1.07	7.66	1.16	9.63	1.27	LSD at 5%

Table 6: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on chemical composition of pasta.

Total Carb.	Crude fiber	Ash	Fat	Protein	Moisture	Sample
Gemmeiza 7 :						
81.42 ^{ab} ± 0.06	2.82 ^a ± 0.03	2.52 ^a ± 0.03	2.19 ^d ± 0.10	11.05 ^{de} ± 0.06	8.07 ^a ± 0.03	Whole meal
86.3 ^a ± 0.9	0.5 ^d ± 0.05	0.99 ^e ± 0.03	1.52 ^g ± 0.03	10.69 ^f ± 0.45	7.71 ^c ± 0.11	Wheat flour 72%
Gize 168:						
82.12 ^{ab} ± 0.03	2.17 ^b ± 0.17	2.03 ^b ± 0.03	2.59 ^a ± 0.04	11.09 ^d ± 0.03	7.82 ^b ± 0.03	Whole meal
85.73 ^a ± 0.04	0.78 ^d ± 0.05	0.98 ^e ± 0.03	1.64 ^f ± 0.04	10.87 ^{ef} ± 0.03	7.23 ^c ± 0.06	Wheat flour 72%
Sohage 3:						
80.90 ^{ab} ± 0.26	1.65 ^d ± 0.06	1.91 ^c ± 0.10	2.42 ^c ± 0.05	13.12 ^a ± 0.11	8.03 ^a ± 0.02	Whole meal
84.58 ^a ± 0.12	0.64 ^d ± 0.05	0.97 ^e ± 0.08	1.66 ^f ± 0.03	12.05 ^b ± 0.06	7.52 ^d ± 0.03	Wheat flour 72%
Sakha 93:						
81.88 ^{ab} ± 0.46	2.45 ^b ± 0.40	1.70 ^d ± 0.02	2.52 ^b ± 0.05	11.45 ^c ± 0.29	7.70 ^c ± 0.09	Whole meal
85.87 ^a ± 0.19	0.73 ^d ± 0.12	0.84 ^f ± 0.09	1.74 ^e ± 0.04	10.82 ^f ± 0.06	7.18 ^e ± 0.03	Wheat flour 72%
18.79	0.29	0.10	0.21	0.6	0.9	LSD at .05

Total Carb. = Total carbohydrate.

Table 7: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on cooking quality of pasta.

Cooking loss (%)	Volume increase (%)	Weight increase (%)	Sample
Gemmeiza 7:			
11.9 ^b ±0.09	247.4 ^d ±1.72	219.6 ^c ±1.65	Whole meal
8.8 ^c ±0.25	184.4 ^c ±1.25	177.2 ^d ±1.1	Wheat flour 72%
Gize 168:			
11.63 ^c ±0.15	285.0 ^b ±5.00	247.6 ^b ±2.51	Whole meal
8.6 ^c ±0.09	183.3 ^e ±1.71	175.8 ^b ±1.40	Wheat flour 72%
Sohage 3:			
8.3 ^d ±0.25	305.3 ^a ±4.50	265 ^a ±5.0	Whole meal
6.0 ^e ±0.21	265.7 ^c ±4.51	230.0 ^c ±5.0	Wheat flour 72%
Sakha 93:			
12.8 ^a ±0.25	263.8 ^c ±8.14	248.3 ^b ±7.63	Whole meal
8.5 ^c ±0.25	188.0 ^e ±2.00	178.0 ^{de} ±1.0	Wheat flour 72%
0.30	7.33	16.51	LSD at .05

*Average of three determinations

2.3 Color quality:

Data presented in Table (8) showed the effect of wheat variety and extraction rate (whole meal and flour 72%) on the color quality of pasta. Regarding to pasta color parameter of four wheat varieties, Sakha 93 ranked first in lightness (L) in its flour 72% and whole meal, where L reached to 63.37 and 54.39, respectively. While, L value of pasta of other variety ranged between 59.05-57.76 and 52.12-49.90 in flour 72% and whole meal, respectively. Pasta of whole meal Gemmeiza 7 characterized with higher redness (a = 5.46) and yellowness (b = 20.62). In comparing pasta color of whole meal with flour 72%, pasta whole meal of studied varieties were darker, where its color parameter was lower in lightness (L) and higher in redness (a) and yellowness (b). This result could due to pasta of whole meal containing higher level of fiber if compared with pasta of wheat flour 72%.

Table (8): Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on color quality of pasta.

Yellowness “b”	Redness “a”	Lightness “L”	Sample
Gemmeiza 7			
18.85 ^c ±1.21	3.03 ^d ±0.27	59.05 ^b ±2.64	Wheat flour 72%
20.62 ^a ±0.17	5.46 ^a ±0.05	49.98 ^d ±0.71	Whole meal
Gize 168:			
17.17 ^{ab} ±0.25	3.60 ^c ±0.21	58.86 ^b ±1.03	Wheat flour 72%
20.1 ^{ab} ±0.52	5.32 ^a ±0.24	49.90 ^d ±1.87	Whole meal
Sohage 3			
18.49 ^c ±0.36	3.02 ^d ±0.12	57.76 ^b ±2.64	Wheat flour 72%
19.49 ^{bc} ±0.67	4.19 ^b ±0.30	52.12 ^{cd} ±0.98	Whole meal
Sakha 93			
14.52 ^e ±0.74	2.17 ^e ±0.02	63.37 ^a ±2.58	Wheat flour 72%
16.64 ^d ±0.45	3.83 ^c ±0.18	54.39 ^b ±1.69	Whole meal
1.08	0.34	2.97	LSD at .05

2.4 Sensory evaluation:

Pasta of studied wheat varieties (whole meal and wheat flour 72%) evaluated sensorially and presented in Table (9). The obtained results showed that, pasta of wheat flour 72% increased slightly in appearance and color if compared with whole meal pasta of the same variety. The obtained color score agreed with the obtained color parameter of Hunter (Table 8). In addition, **Kordonowy & Young (1985)** reported that flavor, texture and color of no bran spaghetti were rated significantly higher than those of other samples containing bran. Table (9) indicated also that, there were no significant difference between wheat flour 72% and whole meal in flavor, tenderness and stickiness.

Table 9: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on sensory properties of pasta.

Stickiness (10)	Tenderness (10)	Flavor (10)	Color (10)	Appearance (10)	Sample
Gemmeiza 7:					
7.2 ^{ab} ± 0.78	7.2 ± 1.39	7.4 ± 1.6	7.2 ^{ab} ± 1.2	7.8 ^a ± 1.03	Wheat flour 72%
7.7 ^a ± 0.91	7.0 ± 1.33	7.4 ± 1.4	6.5 ^{ab} ± 1.4	6.4 ^b ± 1.64	Whole meal
Gize 168:					
6.8 ^{ab} ± 1.13	6.5 ± 1.27	6.5 ± 1.7	7.3 ^a ± 1.4	6.8 ^{ab} ± 1.62	Wheat flour 72%
7.0 ^{ab} ± 1.33	6.7 ± 1.34	6.6 ± 1.3	6.7 ^{ab} ± 1.3	6.9 ^{ab} ± 1.85	Whole meal
Sohage 3:					
6.8 ^{ab} ± 0.92	6.5 ± 1.35	6.7 ± 1.2	6.0 ^b ± 1.8	6.4 ^{ab} ± 1.43	Wheat flour 72%
6.3 ^b ± 1.15	7.3 ± 1.30	6.5 ± 1.1	6.1 ^{ab} ± 1.5	5.8 ^b ± 1.37	Whole meal
Sakha 93:					
6.4 ^b ± 1.07	7.3 ± 1.05	6.7 ± 1.2	6.7 ^{ab} ± 1.5	6.7 ^{ab} ± 1.34	Wheat flour 72%
6.7 ^b ± 1.33	7.4 ± 1.05	7.1 ± 1.3	6.4 ^{ab} ± 1.1	6.3 ^b ± 1.25	Whole meal
1.00	---	---	1.29	1.34	LSD at 0.05

3 Biscuit

3.1 Chemical composition of biscuit samples:

The effect of using some Egyptian new wheat variety (whole meal, flour 72%) on gross chemical composition of biscuit studied. Table (10) showed that, whole meal biscuit characterized with its higher content of protein, fat, ash and crude fiber than wheat flour 72%. While wheat flour 72% was higher than whole meal in total carbohydrate (TC). This result agreed with those found by **Kent-Jones and Amos (1967) and Shouk (1996)**, they stated that, whole meal wheat bread was higher than wheat flour 72% bread in protein, fat, ash and fiber. In addition, whole meal biscuit of Sohage 3 characterized with its higher protein (12.13%), fat (31.0%) and ash (2.51%) contents; and lowest carbohydrate content (52.18%), while whole meal biscuit of Sakha 93 characterized with its higher moisture (4.5%) and crude fiber contents (3.0%).

Table 10: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on chemical composition of biscuit.

Total Carb.	Crude fiber	Ash	Fat	Protein	Moisture	Sample
Gemmeiza 7:						
58.61 ^a ± 0.23	1.10 ^e ± 0.09	1.65 ^d ± 0.05	29.08 ^f ± 0.10	9.50 ^f ± 0.06	3.60 ^{ef} ± .005	Wheat flour 72%
54.91 ^d ± 0.62	2.28 ^b ± 0.26	2.12 ^b ± 0.10	30.35 ^c ± 0.15	10.34 ^d ± 0.14	4.20 ^c ± 0.02	Whole meal
Gize 168:						
57.65 ^b ± 0.09	1.48 ^{de} ± 0.08	1.83 ^c ± .005	29.29 ^e ± 0.04	9.75 ^e ± 0.05	3.64 ^{de} ± 0.04	Wheat flour 72%
54.18 ^e ± 0.11	2.24 ^{bc} ± 0.06	2.40 ^a ± 0.10	30.51 ^b ± 0.04	10.76 ^c ± 0.21	4.31 ^b ± 0.08	Whole meal
Sohage 3:						
56.37 ^c ± 0.20	1.85 ^{cd} ± 0.61	1.75 ^{cd} ± 0.03	29.36 ^e ± 0.04	11.34 ^b ± 0.14	3.72 ^d ± 0.03	Wheat flour 72%
52.18 ^f ± 0.15	1.22 ^e ± 0.61	2.51 ^a ± 0.1e	31.00 ^a ± 0.06	12.13 ^a ± 0.08	4.55 ^a ± 0.05	Whole meal
Sakha 93:						
57.89 ^b ± 0.29	1.66 ^d ± 0.14	1.42 ^e ± 0.09	29.16 ^f ± 0.05	9.86 ^e ± 0.05	3.54 ^f ± 0.03	Wheat flour 72%
53.71 ^e ± 0.16	3.00 ^a ± 0.15	2.21 ^b ± 0.04	30.22 ^d ± 0.03	10.86 ^c ± 0.05	4.55 ^a ± 0.05	Whole meal
0.485	0.432	0.133	0.128	0.196	0.078	LSD at 0.05

Total Carb. = Total carbohydrate.

2.3.2 Baking quality:

Table (11) showed that, whole-meal biscuits were higher in weight and volume than biscuit of wheat flour 72%, where biscuit weight of whole meal and wheat flour 72% varieties ranged between (87.47-81.49g) and (81.51-74.38g), respectively; while biscuit volume of whole meal and wheat flour 72% varieties ranged between (160.0-135.0cc) and (143.30-123.3cc) respectively. Whole meal biscuits were also higher in height than wheat flour 72% biscuits, where biscuits height of whole meal varieties ranged between (1.15-0.95cm), while it decreased to be ranged between (1.01-0.87cm) in wheat flour 72% varieties. These results could due to increasing level of crude fiber in biscuit of whole meal (Table 10). In contrast, biscuits diameter and spread ratio of whole meal varieties were lower than biscuit of wheat flour 72% varieties, where diameter and spread ratio of biscuit of whole meal varieties ranged between (7.28-6.78cm) and (6.86-6.38diam/ht), while they increased to (7.62-7.13cm) and (8.73-7.08diam/ht) in wheat flour 72% varieties, respectively.

It could conclude from Table (11) that, the higher baking quality of whole meal biscuits or wheat flour 72% was obtained from Sakha 93 variety.

Table 11: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on biscuits baking quality.

Spread ratio (diam./ht.)	Height (cm)	Diameter (cm)	Specific volume (cc/g)	Volume (cc)	Weight (g)	Samples
Gemmeiza 7:						
8.73 ^a ±0.02	0.87 ^d ±0.01	7.62 ^a ± 0.16	1.63 ^b ± 0.03	135.0 ^c ± 5.00	81.51 ^{bc} ± 2.19	Wheat flour (72%)
6.86 ^e ±0.08	0.95 ^{bc} ±0.09	6.92 ^e ±0.34	1.54 ^d ± 0.02	135.0 ^c ± 5.00	87.47 ^a ±3.40	Whole meal
Giza 168:						
8.49 ^b ±0.13	0.93 ^{cd} ±0.09	7.6 ^{ab} ±0.18	1.81 ^a ± 0.02	123.3 ^d ± 5.77	74.38 ^d ± 1.73	Wheat flour (72%)
6.73 ^f ±0.02	1.01 ^b ±0.04	6.78 ^c ±0.09	1.82 ^a ± 0.02	138.5 ^c ± 2.89	83.95 ^{abc} ± 1.59	Whole meal
Sohage 3:						
7.55 ^c ±0.04	0.99 ^{bc} ±0.08	7.46 ^b ±0.13	1.52 ^c ± 0.02	143.00 ^{bc} ± 5.77	79.28 ^c ± 2.67	Wheat flour (72%)
6.63 ^g ±0.09	1.1 ^a ±0.05	7.1 ^d ±0.12	1.62 ^b ± 0.03	152.33 ^{ab} ± 2.51	81.49 ^{ab} ± 1.61	Whole meal
Sakha 93:						
7.08 ^d ±0.07	1.01 ^b ±0.09	7.13 ^{cd} ±0.12	1.83 ^a ± 0.03	143.30 ^{bc} ± 5.70	79.28 ^c ± 2.81	Wheat flour (72%)
6.38 ^h ±0.05	1.15 ^b ±0.09	7.28 ^c ±0.08	1.85 ^a ± 0.03	160.00 ^a ± 10.00	85.33 ^{ab} ± 0.84	Whole meal
0.07	0.08	0.16	0.07	6.96	4.85	LSD at .05

2.3.3 Color quality:

Biscuits color quality of whole meal and flour 72% for Gemmeiza 7, Giza 168, Sohage 3 and Sakha 93 varieties studied and presented in Table (12). As expected biscuits face or back of flour 72% varieties characterized with its higher lightness (L) than whole meal varieties. Where, (L) value of biscuits face and back of flour 72% varieties ranged between (75.16-68.58) and (63.46-45.12), respectively, while its whole meal ranged between (72.69-64.28) and (51.56-41.28), respectively. In addition, biscuits face or back of flour 72% varieties characterized with its higher redness (a) than whole meal varieties. In contrast, yellowness (b) of biscuits face or back of flour 72% varieties decreased if compared with biscuit of whole meal varieties. From the obtained result of Hunter color parameter (Table 12), it was found that biscuit of whole meal varieties was slightly darker than biscuit of wheat flour 72% varieties, where whole meal contain higher level of dietary fiber. This result agreed with those found by **Kim et al (1997)** who stated that adding dietary fiber to bread dough increase darkness.

Table 12: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on biscuits color quality.

Yellowness “b”		Redness “a”		Lightness “L”		Sample
Back	Face	Back	Face	Back	Face	
Gemmeiza 7:						
34.71 ^{abc} ± 0.83	27.79 ^b ± 0.50	15.61 ^c ± 0.29	6.44 ^a ± 0.52	63.46 ^a ± 1.20	68.58 ^{dc} ± 0.51	Wheat flour (72%)
36.62 ^a ± 0.57	29.72 ^a ± 0.75	12.08 ^d ± 1.0	3.89 ^c ± 0.53	51.56 ^b ± 1.24	64.28 ^f ± 0.46	Whole meal
Giza 168:						
32.1 ^{cd} ± 3.02	29.67 ^a ± 0.68	17.34 ^{ab} ± 0.47	6.75 ^a ± 0.50	45.12 ^{bcd} ± 6.22	68.72 ^d ± 0.59	Wheat flour (72%)
33.80 ^{abcd} ± 3.99	29.27 ^a ± 0.67	16.76 ^b ± 0.43	6.7 ^a ± 0.48	41.28 ^d ± 3.13	68.09 ^{de} ± 0.37	Whole meal
Sohage 3:						
36.25 ^{ab}	29.27 ^a	17.92 ^a	3.59 ^c	48.81 ^{bc}	75.16 ^a	Wheat flour

± 3.36 35.46 ^{abc} ± 1.25	$\pm^{0.66}$ 30.11 ^a ± 1.14	± 0.60 17.50 ^{ab} ± 0.26	± 0.34 5.34 ^b ± 0.06	± 7.01 45.64 ^{bcd} ± 3.71	± 0.46 72.69 ^b ± 0.73	(72%) Whole meal
Sakha 93:						
32.66 ^{bcd} ± 0.77 30.25 ^d ± 0.85 2.70	29.43 ^a ± 0.9 26.28 ^c ± 0.57 1.66	17.71 ^a ± 0.12 16.72 ^b ± 0.06 0.81	7.26 ^a ± 0.89 6.73 ^a ± 0.21 0.86	46.12 ^{bc} ± 1.52 42.40 ^{cd} ± 1.75 6.69	70.37 ^c ± 1.31 67.55 ^e ± 0.29 1.15	Wheat flour (72%) Whole meal LSD at 0.05

3.4 Sensory properties:

Biscuits quality of whole meal and flour 72% of studied wheat varieties evaluated sensorially and presented in Table (13). Biscuit color of wheat flour 72% varieties ranged between (8.17-7.7), while in case of whole meal varieties showed non-significant decrease (ranged between 8.16-6.66). This result agreed with the obtained Hunter color parameter (Table 12), where biscuit of whole meal varieties was slightly darker than biscuit of wheat flour 72% varieties. In addition, biscuits flavor, taste, texture, appearance and overall acceptability of wheat flour 72% showed non-significant increase if compared with whole meal of the same variety.

Table 13: Effect of wheat varieties and extraction rate on sensory properties of biscuits.

Overall acceptability (10)	Appearance (10)	Texture (10)	Taste (10)	Flavor (10)	Color (10)	Samples
Gemmeiza 7:						
5.8 ^a ±1.08	8.25 ^a ±1.14	8.25 ^a ±1.3	8.5 ^a ±1.17	8.75 ^a ±1.08	8.17 ^a ±1.64	Wheat flour (72%)
7.83 ^{ab} ±1.61	7.58 ^{ab} ±1.73	7.66 ^{ab} ±1.1	7.5 ^{ab} ±1.83	7.75 ^{ab} ±1.35	7.9 ^a ±1.08	Whole meal
Giza 168:						
7.58 ^{ab} ±1.86	7.58 ^{ab} ±1.73	7.5 ^{ab} ±1.83	7.3 ^{ab} ±2.03	7.75 ^{ab} ±1.46	7.7 ^a ±1.16	Wheat flour (72%)
7.42 ^{ab} ±1.16	7.75 ^{ab} ±0.96	7.2 ^{ab} ±1.4	7.2 ^b ±1.69	7.08 ^{bc} ±1.24	8.16 ^a ±0.75	Whole meal
Sohage 3:						
7.58 ^{bc} ±1.62	7.92 ^{ab} ±0.9	7.7 ^{ab} ±1.32	7.6 ^{ab} ±1.55	7.5 ^{bc} ±1.0	8.0 ^a ±1.21	Wheat flour (72%)
7.17 ^{bc} ±.40	7.58 ^{ab} ±1.16	7.6 ^{ab} ±1.08	7.1 ^b ±1.24	6.83 ^{bc} ±0.86	7.92 ^a ±0.99	Whole meal
Sakha 93:						
7.33 ^{bc} ±0.51	7.08 ^{bc} ±0.79	7.0 ^b ±1.52	7.5 ^{ab} ±0.80	7.08 ^{bc} ±1.38	7.7 ^a ±0.98	Wheat flour (72%)
6.29 ^c ±1.32	6.25 ^c ±0.62	6.6 ^b ±1.24	6.5 ^b ±2.02	6.58 ^c ±1.24	6.66 ^b ±0.96	Whole meal
1.12	0.88	1.15	1.33	1.01	0.99	LSD at 0.05

Correspondence author:

Dr. Mohie M. Kamil
email: ResearchTeamMMK@yahoo.com

References

1. A.O.A.C. (2000). Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. (17th ed.) by Horwitz, W. Suite 500, 481

- North Fredric avenue Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877-2417, USA.
2. AACC (1983). *Approved Methods of the American Association of Cereal Chemists*, st. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
 3. AACC. (2000). *American Association of Cereal Chemists. Approved Method of the AACC. 10th ed.* American Association of Cereal Chemists, St., Paul, Minnesota, USA.
 4. Anon. (2005). "Wheat Cultivation in Old Fields". National program for wheat research, Agriculture Research Centre, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt.
 5. Bao, B. and Chang, K.C. (1991). Carrot pulp chemical composition, color and water holding capacity as affected by blanching. *J. Food Sci.* 59: 1159-1161.
 6. Chen, H.; Rubenthaler, G.L.; Leung, H.K., and Baranowski, J.D. (1988). Effect of apple fiber and cellulose on physical properties of wheat flour. *J. food sci.*, 53:304-5
 7. Collins, T.H.; Fisher, N. and Knight, R.A (1983). Breads brown and wholemeal. *BNF Nutrition Bulletin*, 8 (2): 105-116.
 8. Czerny, Michael and Schieberle, Peter (2002). Important aroma compounds in freshly ground whole meal and white wheat flour – Identification and quantitative changes during sour dough fermentation. *J. Agric. And Food Chem.*, 50 (23): 6835-6840.
 9. Finney, P.L.; Henry, S. and Jeffers, H. (1985). Effect of wheat varieties flour grinding and egg yolk on whole wheat bread quality. *Cereal Chem.*, 62 (3): 170-173.
 10. Hallabo, S. A.; Magoli, S. B.; Mohamed, S. K. and Ramy, A. (1985). Effect of processing on the chemical composition and amino acid pattern of supplemented macaroni. *Bull. Fac. Of Agric., Cairo, Univ.*, 36-171-186.
 11. He., H. and Hosoney, R.C. (1992). Factors controlling gas retention in non heated doughs. *Cereal chem.* 69(1):1-6
 12. Jenkins, David J.A.; Wesson, V.; Wolever, T.M.S.; Jenkins, A.L.; Kalmusky, J.; Guidici, S.; Csima, A.; Josse, R.J. and Wong, G.S. (1988). Wholemeal versus wholegrain breads: Proportion of whole or cracked grain and the glycemic response. *BMJ Journal online Archive, BMJ Journal*, 297: 958-960.
 13. Kent-Jons, D.W. and Amos, A.J. (1967). *Modern cereal chemistry*. 6th Ed., food trade press Ltd., London.
 14. Kim, Y. S.; Ha, T.Y.; Lee, S. H. and Lee, H.Y. (1997). Properties of dietary fiber extract from rice bran and application in breadmaking. *Korean J. Food Sci. and Tech.*, 29: 502-508.
 15. Kitterman, J.S. and Rubenthaler, G.L. (1971). Assessing the quality of early generation wheat selection with the micro AWRC test. *Cereal Sci. Today*, 16: 313-316, 328.
 16. Kordonowy, R.K. and Young, V.L. (1985). Utilization of durum bran and its effect of spaghetti. *Cereal Chem.*, 62(4): 301.
 17. Kulp, K.; Chung, H.; Martinez-Anaya, M. A. and Doerry, W.(1985) Fermentation of water ferments and bread quality. *Cereal Chem.*, 32:55-59.
 18. Lai, C.S.; Davis, A.B., and Hosoney, R.C. (1989.b). Production of whole wheat bread with good loaf volume. *Cereal chem.*, 66(3): 224-227.
 19. Lai, C.S.; Hosoney, R.C. and Davis, A.B. (1989a). Effect of wheat bran in bread making. *Cereal Chem.*, 66 (3): 217-219.
 20. Lazaridou, A.; Duta, D.; Papageorgiou, M.; Belc, N. and Biliaderis, C. G. (2007). Effects of hydrocolloids on dough rheology and bread quality parameters in gluten-free formulations. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 79:1033–1047.
 21. McClave, J. T. and Benson, P. G. (1991). *Statistical for business and economics*. Max Well Macmillan International editions. Dellen Publishing Co. USA., pp. 272-295.
 22. Mohamed, A.A., Rayas-Duarte, P., Shogren, R.L. and Sessa, D.J. (2006). Low carbohydrates bread: Formulation, processing and sensory quality. *Food Chem.*, 99, 686-692.
 23. Mugford, D.C. (1993). Nutrition labeling concern for precision of analysis case of some cereal foods. *Food Australia*, 45 (5): 216-223.
 24. Parker, R. and Ring, S. (2001). In P. Chinachoti and Y. Vodovotz (Eds), *Bread staling: Macromolecular aspect of bread staling*. Pp 60-74. New York: CRC.
 25. Pommeranz, Y.; Shogren, M.D.; Finney, K.F. and Bechtel, D.B. (1977). Fiber in bread making. Effects on functional properties. *Cereal Chem.* 51 (3): 185-188.
 26. Ramy, A. ; Salama, Manal, F. and Shouk, A.A. (2002). Pollards a potential source of dietary fiber for pasta manufacture. *Egypt. J. Food Sci.*; 30(2): 313-330.
 27. Shouk, A.A.L. (1996). *Production and Evaluation of Wholemeal Wheat Bread*. Ph. D. Thesis, Food Technology Dept., Agric. Faculty, Cairo University, Egypt.
 28. Tadrus, M.D. (1989). Chemical and biological studies on some baby food. M.Sc. thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
 29. Zabik ME & Hoojjat P (1984). Sugar-shap cookies prepared with wheat-navy bean sesame seed flour blends. *Cereal. Chem.*, 61: 41-44.