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ABSTRACT - In this paper, Customer Complaints Management (CCM) and its associated key challenges were studied as essentials for achieving customer retention and loyalty. Some models illustrating the process of CCM were also demonstrated and discussed. A complaint intensity framework is presented, in which the joint distribution of complaint intensity and outcome satisfaction scores are conceptualized in four resulting quadrants with each quadrant suggesting a different CCM strategy. In empowering CCM, suggestions are proposed and Return on Complaint Management (ROCM) is described as a performance indicator for complaint management profitability. Major findings indicate that effective complaints management requires a cultural change in organization’s atmosphere, as well as a systematic approach; different levels should be considered in complaints management; employees participating in teams play an important role in succeeding the complaints handling processes; and CCM empowerment should include strategy, processes, and analysis.
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1. Introduction

Complaints are a natural consequence of any service activity because "Mistakes are an unavoidable feature of all human endearment and thus also of service delivery" (Boshoff, 2007). Service recovery is the process of putting the situation right (Zemke & Schaaf, 2000) though it has been defined more widely and more proactively as the action of seeking out and dealing with failures in the delivery of service (Johnston, 2005). The term "complaint management" is used to include service recovery and involves the receipt, investigation, settlement and prevention of customer complaints, and recovery of the customer.

Firms normally consider consumer complaints of any kind to be indispensable indicators of unsatisfactory performance. Without consumers’ feedback, they will be unaware of their problems and retain their customers (Crie & Ladwein, 2002). Lau and Ng (2001) found that dissatisfied consumers who complained had a higher level of repurchase intention than those who did not complain (Lau & Ng, 2001).

However, previous studies have also shown that many unsatisfied consumers prefer to change brands or suppliers and tell friends or families about their bad purchase experience than to voice their dissatisfaction to companies (Day & Ash, 2007). For these reasons, it is clearly evident that CCM needs serious attention.

Most organizations that face big challenges in customer complaints handling:
- Suffer from a lack of systematic approach to complaints handling.
- Do not recognize the importance of customer complaints on a strategic level.
- Are ill – equipped in terms of systems and processes for logging in complaints, processing them, etc.
- Are not proficient with measurement and particularly in non – financial areas such as customer satisfaction and complaints.
- Have adverse cultures and too much of "blame and reprimand" practices.
- Have not embraced the concept of quality management and its related concepts.

Customer satisfaction is not an absolute scenario, but very much depends on interaction, feedback, praise, and complaints. Complaints must be scrutinized in a constructive, positive and professional perspective:
- They are a way of receiving feedback from customers and therefore are necessary means for putting into action improvement plans.
- They are a tool for preventing complacency and harnessing internal competencies for optimizing products and services.
- They are a useful way of measuring performance and allocating resources improving the deficient areas of the business.
- They are a useful "mirror" for gauging internal performance against competition and the best in class organizations.
- They are a useful exercise in building relationship with the customer and understanding them better.

2. Complaining reactions
Consumers have various alternatives to express their dissatisfactions (Singh, 2000). Several typologies have been proposed to differentiate complainers from non-complainers (Crie & Ladwein, 2002). In general, four sets of actions can be summarized from the literature.

First, dissatisfied consumers can not take any action based on their bad/unfavorable buying experience. Doing nothing or not repurchasing a firm’s product or services are legitimate responses to dissatisfaction (Mowen & Minor, 2008). Singh (2000) classifies consumers engaging in such behavior as "Passives" (Stauss, 2004).

Second, consumers can also take some form of private actions. Private actions refer to actions involving only people inside the consumer’s group in informal ways. This may include changing the brand Supplier, ceasing to use the product or service, or warning family and friends. Private actions are more likely to be driven by “getting even and punitive aims” (Zeithaml, 2000). This group is classified as "Voicers" (Stauss, 2004).

Third, consumers can take some form of public actions. Public actions involve people and organizations outside the consumer’s group in more formal ways. These may be seeking redress directly from the seller or manufacturer and taking legal action against the seller of manufacturer.

They may also be registering a complaint with the seller of manufacturer, a public consumer protection agency, or a private consumer organization (Day, 2007). Complainers may also create a new company to provide a better product or service (Day, 2001). The main purpose of these consumer complaints is to “recover economic loss by getting an exchange or a refund and rebuild self – image” (Davidow, 2007). This group is classified as "Irates" (Stauss, 2004).

Finally, consumers may take a variety of different private and public actions. Consumers may blame sellers and manufacturers for their unsatisfactory product or service. They may choose to boycott sellers and manufacturers by ceasing to use their products or services and spread negative information about their products or services. (Zeithaml, 2000). This last group is classified as "Activists" (Stauss & Seidel, 2004).

3. Models of CCM

Johnston (2001) proposed a model, based on an assumption that the prime purpose of designing and developing robust and effective CCM systems is to deliver empowered profits by increasing revenues and reducing costs (Figure 1) (Richins, 2003). As it is shown, complaint processes as the core of the model influences customer satisfaction, process improvement, and employee attitude.

In the following two more models of CCM, which have been used successfully in Boeing Aircraft and Tanker (Boeing A & T) and in the National Roads and Motorists’ Association (NRMA), are demonstrated.
3.2 National Roads and Motorists Association (NRMA)

Winner of the Australian Quality Award in 1992, the NRMA was set up to provide services to road users and to promote the interests of motorists. Some of the services provided by NRMA include emergency road service, insurance, investment advice, finance, technical, legal, travel & touring amongst others. NRMA manages complaints through different principles and a three level model (Figure 3), which provides a comprehensive and systematic way to deal with complaints.

4. Comprehensive CCM system

Although important research has been conducted around CCM system, most models are not comprehensive enough. Therefore, a model for CCM system that integrates practice – tested methodologies such as quality function deployment (QFD), problem solving and failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA)
was recently developed by Bosch and Enriquez (2005) (Figure 4) (Krapfel, 2005). They also proposed three important indicators for measuring the system of CCM success as:

1. time to respond to a customer complaint, from receiving it to giving an answer to the affected customer;
2. percentage of closed cases out of complaints received; and
3. evaluation of service level.

Figure 4. A comprehensive CCM system (Krapfel, 2005)

5. The complaint intensity outcome framework

The complaint intensity outcome framework is presented in figure 5. Points along the vertical axis indicate customers’ mean attribute complaint intensity scores. The complaint intensity score for a given attribute is equal to the frequency of complaints for that attribute weighted by (i.e. multiplied by) the mean degree of importance which individuals who have complained about that attribute attached to such complaints.

As indicated in figure 5, the joint distribution of complaint intensity and outcome satisfaction scores is readily conceptualized in four resulting quadrants. Each quadrant suggests a different strategy.

The attributes found in quadrant I require primary attention owing to their high complaint intensity scores and low outcome satisfaction scores. Accordingly, an immediate – focus strategy is suggested.
6. CCM empowerment

Empowerment is a powerful means of increasing customer satisfaction when resolving customer complaints. For successful empowerment application, however, it must be promoted within a framework which includes:
- encouraging service providers to take a positive and proactive approach to complaints;
- developing service providers’ skills in handling complaints; being explicit about the level of authority employees have in complaint management;
- providing support and encouragement to employees in taking responsibility; and taking action to overcome the causes of complaints and generating ownership of the improvement opportunities that complaints bring.

7. Return on complaint management (RoCM) as a performance indicator for complaint management profitability

Complaint management profitability (CMP) represents the economic efficiency of the processes and instruments of complaint management systems. CMP is calculated by relating the invested capital to the profit of complaint management. The profit of complaint management is calculated by deducting its costs from its benefits. The invested capital equals the costs of CCM activities within period.

However, in order to calculate CMP, sufficient data are necessary. Furthermore, it has to be discussed which costs and benefits to include in this calculation, how to measure the costs, and how to express the benefits monetarily.

Regarding the costs of CCM, various types can be identified in the context of complaint management. These are described in the following (Davidow & Dacin, 2007):
(1) Personnel costs arise from human resources that are directly concerned with complaint management processes (e.g. staff of a complaint management department).
(2) Administration costs are generated by expenditures for, e. g. office space and office equipment.
(3) Communication costs are all costs that are associated with necessary communication processes to solve the customer's problem (e.g. phone costs or postage).
(4) Response costs are all costs that arise in the context of the problem solution. Here three types of response costs can be differentiated

Regarding the benefits of complaint management, four distinct types can be identified on the basis of literature analyses and expert interviews (Singh & Wilkes, 2006):
(1) The information benefit represents the value that is generated by using information from customer complaints to improve products, to enhance efficiency and to reduce failure costs.
(2) The attitude benefit comprehends the positive attitude changes of the customer due to achieved complaint satisfaction.
(3) The repurchase benefit arises when a complaining customer remains with a company instead of switching to a competitor.

(4) Communication benefits describe the oral effect of complaint management. They are generated when complaints are solved and satisfied customers are engaging in positive word – of – mouth, that is, recommending the company and by that supporting the acquisition of new customers.

To calculate CMP, it is necessary to have/maintain/keep operational the four types of benefits and to value them monetarily. The sum of the benefits minus the measured costs equals the profit of CCM. To calculate the return on complaint management (RoCM), (Figure 6), which is the key indicator for complaint management profitability; the profit of complaint management is set against the complaint management investments (costs).

\[
\text{Return on complaint management} = \frac{\text{Complaint management profit}}{\text{Investments in complaint management}}
\]

Figure 6. Calculating the return on complaint management (Singh, 2008)

8. CCM and customer retention

The repurchase benefit of CCM is achieved when previously dissatisfied customers, who otherwise would have migrated, remain loyal to the company as a result of complaint management activities.

There are different approaches to calculate this effect. The following example is based on average data. The repurchase benefit is basically calculated in a way that the number of customers who remain loyal because of their experience with the CCM is determined. This number is then weighted with a customer's average profitability.

To be able to do this calculation, the following data are necessary:
- the total number of customers (customer base);
- the number of complainants;
- the share of convinced and satisfied complainants;
- their loyalty quota; and
- the percentage of complainants whose actual loyalty can be directly traced to complaint handling.

9. Conclusions

In this paper, some models and concepts of managing customer complaints were studied and important suggestions were proposed in order to empower the existing CCM system. It was found that managers deal with different challenges such as diverse cultural issues in managing CCM systems and employing quality management approaches in such systems. Culture is an important issue which could affect customer satisfaction, complaint processes and employee attitudes, all together resulting in organizational profitability. Based on the reviewed models, it was also emphasized that a CCM system may have different levels, both internal and external, in which different processes are analyze with respect to organizational strategies. A comprehensive system of CCM was also presented composed of various steps. Moreover, teamwork was addressed as a critical success factor in managing customer complaints. Besides the advantages of teamwork. It seems necessary to provide training to service providers in the skills, attitudes, and behaviors to deal positively and empathetically with difficult situations as a key step encourage confidence in empowering the staff. A well – trained and empowered member of staff can help transforming a dissatisfied customer into an advocate of the organization.

Organizations should emphasize the importance of identifying customer complaint factors and complaint intentions that crucially determine their business success. With respect to those who consider that complaints are worthless and not beneficial and management should consider enhancing mutual communication between service personnel and customers.

It is concluded that excellent service is a genuine key for a better future, for both customers and suppliers (Day, 2004). However, this can only be achieved with a profound knowledge of evolving customer needs. A functional CCM system will generate this knowledge, and such system should be implemented in every company regardless of its size, structure or products.
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