Molecular Phylogenetic Relationship Between and Within the Fruit Bat (*Rousettus Aegyptiacus*) and the Lesser Tailed Bat (*Rhinopoma Hardwickei*) Deduced From RAPD-PCR Analysis

Ramadan A. M. Ali

Department of Zoology, College for Women, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt ramadanali27@gmail.com

Abstract: The RAPD-PCR in the present study was used to determine the genetic variation within and among two Egyptian bat species, *Rousettus aegyptiacus* and *Rhinopoma hardwickei*. The animals were captured from one locality at Giza governorate, Egypt. A total of 39 bands were amplified by the three primers OPAO2, OPAO8 and OPCO3 with an average 13 bands per primer at molecular weights ranged from 1409 to 107 bp. The polymorphic loci between both species were 34 with percentage 87.18 %. The numbers of monomorphic bands in *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus and Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium* were 14 and 9 bands, respectively. The two species are sharing 5 (12.8 %) monomorphic bands. The similarity coefficients value between the two bat species was ranged from 0.353 to 0.500 with an average of 0.404 (40.4%). Dendrogram showed that, the two bats genotypes are separated from each other into two clusters and more variation among members of *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium* was observed in comparison to those of *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus*. It is concluded that, the similarity coefficient value between the two bat species indicates that, the two bat species may have the same origin but are not identical and separated into two clusters.

[Ramadan A. M. Ali Molecular Phylogenetic Relationship Between and Within the Fruit Bat (*Rousettus Aegyptiacus*) and the Lesser Tailed Bat (*Rhinopoma Hardwickei*) Deduced From Rapd-Pcr Analysis] Journal of American Science 2011; 7(10): 678-687].(ISSN: 1545-1003). http://www.americanscience.org.

Key Words: Fruit bat (Rousettus aegytiacus); lesser tailed bat (Rhinopoma hardwickei), RAPD-PCR, Phylogenetic Relationship.

1. Introduction

The order Chiroptera readily separated into two groups (megabats and microbats) on the basis of the morphological features, the gross anatomical characteristics. feeding habits and behavior (Anderson, 1902; Sanborn and Hoogstraal, 1955; Hoogstraal, 1962; Gaisler et al., 1972; Qumsiyeh, 1985). The Species Rousettus aegyptiacus has two subspecies Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus and Rousettus aegyptiacus arabicus. Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus is distributed in Egypt, Lebanon, Jordan, Cyprus, and Turkey and from Levant to the middle of Arabia and Africa (Corbet 1978; Harrison and Bates, 1991; Bergmans, 1994; Benda and Horacek, 1998; Albayrak et al., 2008) whereas the subspecies Rousettus aegyptiacus arabicus distributed in Oman, Aden, and occurred from the South Arabia to Pakistan. While, the lesser tailed bat, Rhinopoma hardwickei is represented by two subspecies Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium and Rhinopoma hardwickei cystops in Egypt (Qumsiyeh, 1985; Wassif, 1995).

The megabat *Rousettus aegyptiacus* feeds on a variety of fruits, depending on what is locally available (Kinzelback, 1986; Harrison and Bates, 1991). Fruit bats, *Rousettus* spp. are not only a cause of great economic loss in fruit crops but are also vectors of domestic animals and human transmissible ectoparasites (Parri *et al.*, 1971;

Kalunda *et al.*, 1986; Wellenberg *et al.*, 2002; Calisher *et al.*, 2006; Reeves *et al.*, 2006; Towner *et al.*, 2009) whereas, *Rhinopoma hardwickei* feeds on insects, and acts as a vector for some fungal diseases such as subcutaneous zygomycosis (Gugnani, 1999).

Rousettus aegyptiacus is the only bat species recorded in Egypt that belong to the megabats 1985). (Oumsiveh, The species Rousettus *aegyptiacus* contains several subspecies of which the subspecies Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus which presented in Egypt (Corbet, 1978; Qumsiyeh, 1985; Wassif, 1995; Benda and Horacek, 1998; Kwiecinski and Griffithsi, 1999). The family Rhinopomatidae in Egypt contains a single genus with two species, Rhinopoma hardwickei and Rhinopoma microphyllum (Qumsiyeh, 1985; Wassif, 1995). In Egypt, Rhinopoma hardwickei species has two subspecies; Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium in northern Egypt and Rhinopoma hardwickei cystops in Southern Egypt (Qumsiyeh, 1985: Wassif, 1995). Rhinopomatidae bear a unique set of morphological plesiomorphies for which they were often regarded as the most primitive group of microchiroptera close to the common ancestor of microbats and megabats (Van Valen, 1979; Oumsivehi, 1985).

The order Chiroptera is an excellent example of how molecular phylogenetics helps in reassessment

of the taxonomy of a well-established group. Genetic data invalidated the traditional subdivision of bats into two divergent suborders; Megachiroptera and Microchiroptera. Teeling et al. (2000, 2002 and **2005)** provided molecular evidence supporting sister position of one clade of microbats, Rhinolophoidea (superfamily) with megabats, Pteropodoidea (superfamily). The family Rhinopomatidae is arranged among superfamily Rhinolophoidea (Van Den Bussche and Hoofer, 2004; Eicki et al., 2005; Teeling et al., 2005). Rhinopomatidae is the most enigmatic group of extant bats. It is monotypic family composed of a single genus Rhinopoma. Rhinopomatidae were regarded as the most primitive group of Microchiroptera close to the common ancestor of microbats and megabats (Hill, 1977; Van Valen, 1979; Koopman, 1993; Eick et al., 2005). Rhinopomatids are the most primitive clade within superfamily Rhinolophoidea and thus, also the most primitive extant clade within the suborder Yinpterochiroptera (Teeling, 2005). For all these reasons, Rhinopomatidae are an extremity attractive subject for future detailed studies. Hulva et al. (2007) suggested that, the taxonomic characteristics of Rhinopomatidae are shared with suborder Yangochiroptera and Rhinolophoidea of suborder Yinpterochiroptera partly resembling the condition in Pteropodidae (suborder Yinpterochiroptera).

The analysis of genetic diversity and relationship between or within different species, populations and individuals is a central task for many disciplines of biological science. The systematic similarity and diversity between *Rousettus aegyptiacus* and *Rhinopoma hardwickei* species was tackled according to chromosomal studies (**Ray-Chaudhuri** *et al.*, 1968; **Qumsiyeh and Baker**, 1985), biochemical data (Juste *et al.*, 1996 and 1997) and molecular genetics (Alvarez *et al.*, 1999; Juste, 2002; Hulva and Horacek, 2002; Hulva *et al.*, 2007; Goodman *et al.*, 2010).

The techniques of molecular genetic markers have an important potential role in detection of genetic differences among and within species. The most common molecular techniques are RAPD-PCR (Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA-Polymerase Chain Reaction), SSR-PCR (Simple Sequence Repeat-Polymerase Chain Reaction). RFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism), and sequencing of nuclear and mitochondrial genes. Erlich and Arnheim (1992) reported that the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has become one of the most widely used techniques of molecular biology because it is rapid, inexpensive and produces useful amounts of DNA from small quantities of source DNA. RAPD-PCR technique was a powerful tool in detecting genetic variability

between species (Welsh and McClelland, 1990; Williams *et al.*, 1993; Yadav and Yadav, 2007) and within species (Singh and Sharma, 2002; Hassanien *et al.*, 2004; Abdel-Rahman and Hafez, 2007) based on the amplification of variable regions of a genome.

Many researchers used RAPD-PCR markers to differentiate and determine the genetic variation between and within species of bats (Sinclair, 1996; Emmanuvel and Marimuthu, 2006; Moreiral and Morielle-versute, 2006; Karuppudurai *et al.*, 2007; Karuppudurai and Sripathi, 2010). Other molecular studies such as cytochrome B, microsatellite, RFLP and DNA sequences had been carried out to solve evolutionary problems in bats (Mindell *et al.*, 1991; Colgan and Flannery, 1995; kirsch *et al.*, 1995; Alvarez *et al.*, 1999; Rossiter *et al.*, 1999; Ditchfield, 2000; Juste *et al.*, 2002; Hulva and Horacek, 2002; Sebastien *et al.*, 2005; Hulva *et al.*, 2007; Levin, 2008; Goodman *et al.*, 2010; Chinnasamy *et al.*, 2011; Wood *et al.*, 2011).

The present study was mainly focused on clarifying the similarities and divergences within and among individuals of the *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* and *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium* species commonly present in the local environment by using random amplified polymorphic DNA-polymerase chain reaction (RAPD-PCR) technique.

2. Materials and Methods Animals

The present work was carried out on the fruit bat, *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* and the lesser tailed bat, *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium*. Animals were trapped near Abu-Rawash area, Giza governorate, Egypt. Liver samples were obtained from 6 animals of both *Rousettus aegytiacus aegyptiacus* and *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium* and stored in liquid nitrogen until DNA extraction.

DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from liver tissue. The extracted DNA quality and concentration was examined with both spectrophotometric analysis and run in 7.0 % agarose gels. In spectrophotometric analysis, each sample of DNA was calculated by their optical density values at 260 and 280 nm. Optical density ratios were evaluated and only good quality DNA samples were used in PCR.

RAPD-PCR

A total of five arbitrary decamer primers from Kits OP-A and OP-C (Operon Technologies, Alameda, CA, USA) were used for RAPD-PCR analysis. The primer sequences and manufacturer codes are given in Table (1). Only three primers (OPAO2, OPAO8, and OPCO3) were reacted well and used to amplify genomic DNA from all individuals. RAPD-PCR reactions were carried out as described by Williams et al., (1993). PCR cycles were performed with 60 s, 94°C initial denaturation and 35 cycles of 20 s, 94°C; 20 s 35°C; and 30 s 72°C. Final extension performed at 72°C for 5 min. PCR amplifications were carried out in 96 well Thermal cycler (Eppendorf Master Cycler) and all amplifications were carried out at two times. A PCR mixture without template DNA was put in each analysis as a control. The PCR products were separated on 1.5 % agarose gels (Sigma) containing ethidium bromide in 0.5 X TBE buffer at 100 V constant voltages. For evaluating the base pair length of bands, DNA ladder (Fermentas) was loaded on middle lane of each gel.

Data and statistical analysis:-

The RAPD banding patterns were scored for the presence (1) and absence (0) of bands for each sample. The scores obtained using all primers in the RAPD analysis were then combined to create a single data matrix. The statistical analysis of the data was performed using the free software. Popgene version 1.31, computer program (Yeh et al., 1999) including the calculation of allele frequencies according to Nei (1987). This program estimated the number and percentage of polymorphic loci and the genetic diversity according to Nei (1973) and Nei and Li (1979). For constructing the dendrogram, the data resulted from RAPD markers banding patterns was introduced to NTSYS-pc package program by Unweighted Pair Group Method using Arithmetic Averages (UPGMA) method (Rohlf, 2000).

3. Results

In the present study, three primers (A02, A08 and C03) out of the five random primers produced a PCR product for the investigation of the genetic variation within and between individuals of the two studied bat species. They vielded a sufficient number of bands for comparison and differentiation between the two bat species. The RAPD profile generated from these primers was utilized to estimate the gene frequency. The primer A02 produces much more of amplified fragments of genomic DNA of the two species of bats compared to the other primers (A08 and C03; Figs. 1, 2 & 3 and Table 2). 9 unique bands were found in Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium at the molecular weight of 649, 605, 262, 188, 156, 107, 811, 745 and 187 bp and one unique band was characterized in Rousettus

aegyptiacus aegyptiacus at molecular weights 240 and 210 bp.

Table 3 showed a total of 39 scorable amplified loci and 34 of them were polymorphic (87.18%). The numbers of RAPD loci were 16 for primer OPAO2, 10 for primer OPCO3 and 13 for primer OPAO8 with an average 13 bands/primer at molecular weights ranged from 1409 to 107 bp. The polymorphic bands were 14 (87.5 %), 11 (84.61%) and 9 (90 %) for primers OPAO2, OPAO8 and OPCO3, respectively with an average 11.33 bands/primer.

Table (4) showed the allele frequencies of different bands in the two species using the three primers at RAPD markers. The numbers of monomorphic bands in *Rousettus aegyptiacus* and *Rhinopoma hardwickei* were 14 (70 %) and 9 (32 %) bands, respectively. The two species are sharing 5 (12.8 %) monomorphic bands (Refer to table 2). The number and percentage of polymorphic bands within the two species of bats are shown in Table (4). From the total loci (39), the numbers of the polymorphic loci were 6 loci with percentage of 30 % in *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* and were 19 loci with percentage of 68 % in *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium*.

The similarity matrix within and among the individuals of the two species are presented in table (5). The similarity coefficient values among the samples of Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus ranged from 0.824 to 1.00 with an average of 0.919 (91.9%) and the genetic distance equal 0.8 (80%). Whereas, the similarity coefficients value among the samples of Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium is ranged from 0.667 to 0.933 with an average of 0.753 (75%) and the genetic distance equal 0.25 (25%). The similarity coefficients value between the two bat species was ranged from 0.353 to 0.500 with an average of 0.404 (40.4%). The genetic distance between the Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus and Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium is 0.596 (59.6 %). From the genetic distance, the samples of Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus are closer to each other while the samples of Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium demonstrate high polymorphism between them. The UPGMA dendrogram was constructed to show phylogenetic relationships within and among the two bat species based on genetic distance (Fig. 4). This dendrogram represents that the two bats genotypes are separated from each other into two clusters and a variation among members of Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium in comparison to those of Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus.

Primers	Sequence	G C %
OPAO2	5'-TGCCGAGCTG-3'	70
OPA03	5'-AGTCAGCCAC-3	60
OPAO8	5'-GTGACGTAGG-3'	60
OPCO3	5'-GGGGGTCTTT-3'	60
OPC06	5'-GAACGGACTC-3'	60

 Table (1): Sequence of primers employed in molecular phylogenetic relationship between R. aegyptiacus and R. hardwickei.

Table (2) Rand	fromuoncios of	'random nrimar	in <i>R</i> again	ntiacus and R	hardwickoi
Table (2). Dallu	in equencies of	ranuom primers	s m n. uegy	mucus anu n.	nuruwickei.

Primer	Band number	Relative front	Molecular weight (bp)	Band frequency <i>R. aegyptiacus</i>	Band frequency <i>R. hardwickei</i>	Average Band frequency	
	1	0.059 1409 0.500 0		0	0.250		
	2	0.103	1234	0.333	0.333	0.333	
	3	0.190	950	1.000	0.833	0.917	
	4	0.231	839	1.000	0.500	0.750	
	5	0.296	690	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	6	0.348	590	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	7	0.438	450	1.000	0	0.500	
OP∕	8	0.454	429	0	1.000	0.500	
0	9	0.508	364	0	1.000	0.500	
2	10	0.539	332	1.000	0	0.500	
	11	0.551	320	0	1.000	0.500	
	12	0.618	262	0	0.167	0.083	
	13	0.646	240	0.167	0.667	0.417	
	14	0.727	188	0	0.167	0.083	
	15	0.789	156	0	0.167	0.083	
	16	0.914	107	0	0.167	0.083	
	1	0.299	682	0.833	0	0.417	
	2	0.316	649	0	0.167	0.083	
	3	0.339	605	0	0.167	0.083	
0	4	0.378	539	0	0.833	0.417	
)Pe	5	0.388	523	1.000	0	0.500	
Ö	6	0.433	457	0	0.333	0.167	
ယ	7	0.491	384	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	8	0.593	283	1.000	0	0.500	
	9	0.678	220	0	0.333	0.167	
	10	0.724	192	0	0.667	0.333	
	1	0.250	928	0	0.667	0.333	
[2	0.276	846	1.000	0	0.500	
	3	0.288	811	0	0.167	0.083	
	4	0.312	745	0	0.167	0.083	
	5	0.341	672	0	0.500	0.250	
0	6	0.357	635	1.000	0	0.500	
PA	7	0.436	480	1.000	1.000	1.000	
80	8	0.549	322	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	9	0.608	261	1.000	0	0.500	
	10	0.613	257	0	1.000	0500	
	11	0.670	210	0.167	0	0.083	
	12	0.672	208	0.333	0	0.167	
	13	0.702	187	0	0.167	0.083	

Primer	Total No. of bands	No. of polymorphic bands	% of polymorphic bands	Size range (bp)		
OPAO2	16	14	87.5 %	1409-107		
OPCO3	10	9	90 %	682-192		
OPAO8	13	11	84.61 %	928-187		
Total (Average)	39 (13)	34 (11.33)	87.18 %	1409-107		

 Table (3): Total number of bands/primer, polymorphic bands/primer, % of polymorphic bands and their size range (bp) from the random primers.

Table (4): Number of polymorphic loci and Genetic diversity in two bat Species based on RAPD markers of the three primers (Nei, 1973).

Species	Total scorable bands	Total of monomorphic loci (%)	Total of polymorphic loci (%)		
R. aegyptiacus	20	14 (70)	6 (30)		
R. hardwickei	28	9 (32)	19 (68)		

Table (5): The similarity coefficients within and among the two bat species according to Nei and Li (1979).

Serial	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1.000											
2	0.971	1.000										
3	0.875	0.909	1.000									
4	0.909	0.941	0.968	1.000								
5	0.875	0.909	1.000	0.968	1.000							
6	0.824	0.857	0.938	0.909	0.938	1.000						
7	0.375	0.364	0.400	0.387	0.400	0.452	1.000					
8	0.353	0.343	0.375	0.364	0.375	0.412	0.813	1.000				
9	0.438	0.424	0.400	0.387	0.400	0.375	0.800	0.750	1.000			
10	0.375	0.353	0.387	0.375	0.387	0.424	0.710	0.667	0.774	1.000		
11	0.500	0.485	0.467	0.452	0.467	0.438	0.800	0.688	0.933	0.77	1.000	
12	0.389	0.378	0.400	0.400	0.412	0.444	0.706	0.667	0.706	0.743	0.765	1.000



Figure 1: RAPD profiles showing DNA fingerprint patterns with DNA from 1 of 12 with OPCO3 primer. Gel electrophoresis represents PCR products for DNA from samples of *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* (Lanes 1 to 6). Lanes 7 to 12 represent samples of *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium*. The DNA marker present in lane 7 with molecular size, 100 bp ladder.



Figure 2: RAPD profiles showing DNA fingerprint patterns with DNA from 1 of 12 with OPAO2 primer. Gel electrophoresis represents PCR products for DNA from samples of *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* (Lanes 1 to 6). Lanes 7 to 12 represent samples of *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium*. The DNA marker present in lane 7 with molecular size, 100 bp ladder.



Figure 3: RAPD profiles showing DNA fingerprint patterns with DNA from 1 of 12 with OPAO8 primer. Gel electrophoresis represents PCR products for DNA from samples of *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* (Lanes 1 to 6). Lanes 7 to 12 represent samples of *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium*. The DNA marker present in lane 7 with molecular size, 100 bp ladder.



Figure 4: UPGMA based Dendrogram showing phylogenetic relationships among *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* (1-6) and *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium* (7-12) based on RAPD-PCR by OPAO2, OPAO8 and OPCO3 primers.

4. Discussion

In the present study, the intra- and inter-specific genomic polymorphisms in two bat species, Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus and Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium were analyzed by using RAPD-PCR technique. The molecular technique RAPD-PCR analysis was used mostly to differentiate the genomes of the closely related species in order to determine the genetic distance and genetic diversity (Welsh and McCelland, 1990; Bowditch et al., 1993; Karuppudurai and Sripathi, 2010). Different molecular genetic technique like microsatellite markers; cvt b; mitochondrial DNA and protein polymorphism were carried out to resolve the genetic relationship between and within the individuals of Rousettus aegyptiacus and *Rhinopoma hardwickei* species (Alvarez et al., 1999; Juste et al., 2002; Hulva and Horacek, 2002; Hulva et al., 2007; Goodman et al., 2010; Lamb et al., 2011).

Bats are the second largest order of mammals, after rodents (Cantrell *et al.*, 2008). Nowadays, the families Rhinopomatidae and Pteropodidae are belong to the suborder Yinpterochiroptera (Teeling *et al.*, 2000 and 2005; Hulva *et al.*, 2007). In the traditional view, Rhinopomatidae was regarded as the most primitive group of extant bats and considered as the closest to the common ancestor of microbats and megabats (Van Valen, 1979; Eicki *et al.*, 2005; Hulva *et al.*, 2007).

The primer OPAO2 produces a large number of amplified fragments of genomic DNA in the two species of the bats compared to the other primers OPAO8 and OPCO3 (Refer to Figs. 1, 2 & 3 and Table 2). As demonstrated by **Dinesh** *et al.* (1995), primers with high G+C bases contents generate more amplified products.

The results showed that the number of amplified bands for the two bats were 39 bands, 34 of them were polymorphic (87.18 %). The percentage of the polymorphic loci within the samples of *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* was 15.38 %. The low genetic variation within the samples of *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* in the present study was previously reported among other members of Pteropodidae family. Sinclair et al. (1996) reported very little genetic variation among samples of the fruit bat, Pteropus scapulatus (% 5) RAPD technique and by using allozyme electrophoresis. Webb and Tidemann (1996) found low genetic variation within the fruit bats, Pteropus scapulatus (0.028); Pteropus alecto (0.023) and *Pteropus poliocephalus* (0.014) by using protein electrophoresis. Add to that, Bastian et al. (2001) found very small genetic divergence between the samples of Rousettus amplexicaudatus, Eonycteris spelaea and Cynopterus brachyotis in the Philippines by using DNA sequences of the cytochrome b gene. Also, Campbell et al. (2007) reported that the mean genetic distance among populations of fruit bat, Cynopterus brachyotis was low (2.7 %) and mean genetic distance among samples of the fruit bat Thoopterus nigrescens was (7.9 %) by using cytochrome b and microsatellite. On the other hand, Karuppudurai et al. (2007) presented 73.77 % polymorphic bands and considerable genetic diversity (51.4 %) between the species of short nosed fruit bat Cynopterus sphinx from different zonal population by using RAPD-PCR analysis. Also, Andrianaivoarivelo (2009) found polymorphic loci ranging between 0.757 and 0.916 in 37 individuals of from single population Rousettus

madagascariensis by using microsatellite loci. Goodman et al. (2010) found that, the high genetic diversity within the *Rousettus madagascaruensis* was 0.901 and *Rousettus obliviosus* was 0.740 in Indian Ocean islands by using cyt-b and microsatellite loci. Moreover, they reported that the monophyly group of *Rousettus leschenaultia, Rousettus madagascaruensis* and *Rousettus obliviosus* were strongly supported as sisters to each other (70% of the phylogenetic tree).

In the present work, the samples of Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium showed high polymeric loci (48.72 %). These results may indicate that, the samples of Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium may be migrated from various populations and had random mating (hybrid origin) (panmictic) within an interbreeding population (Rivers et al., 2005) and alteration of their habitat). Another possible cause for such variation in Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium samples due to low population sampling which confirms high genetic divergence (Chan et al., 2011). Hulva et al. (2007) found deep genetic divergences within Rhinopoma hardwickei lineages of Upper Egypt, northern Libya, Jordan, Syria, Yemen and Iran by using cytochrome b technique. Also, they found no genetic difference in Rhinopoma hardwickei cystops between Upper Egypt and northern Libya but there is low genetic distance in Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium between Jordan, Syria and Yemen (0.5%). Moreover, the genetic distance between the Iranian species (Rhinopoma hardwickei hardwickei) and the Jordan, Syria, Yemen, Upper Egypt and Libya species showed high variation in the genetic distance (8-9%).

On the other hand, Van Cakenberghe and De Vree (1994) showed that the Iranian specimens, greater mouse-tailed bat (*Rhinopoma microphylum harrisoni*) differ from Levant and Moroccan specimens (*Rhinopoma microphylum microphylum*). On the other hand, Hulva *et al.* (2007) and Levin *et al.* (2008) found a high genetic similarity (low genetic diversity) within the greater mouse-tailed bat, *Rhinopoma microphyllum* from several populations by using mitochondrial markers.

The genetic distance and genetic similarity between the *Rousettus aegyptiacus aegyptiacus* and *Rhinopoma hardwickei arabium* are 0.596 (59.6%) and 0.404 (40%) respectively. The low genetic similarity and the high significant genetic distance between the two species indicate that the two species are separated from each other. According to **Baker** *et al.* (2006) the two species were distinct and separate from each other because the genetic distance is greater than 5% between the two populations. This outcome, is verified with UPGMA dendrogram which separated into two clusters that, quite expected in the light of traditional systematics is in good concordance with our recent understanding of relationships between these clades as first indicated by **Kirsch** *et al.*, **1995; Teeling** *et al.*, **2002**)

In conclusion, the RAPD bands profile of the *Rousettus aegyptiacus* and *Rhinopoma hardwickei* were clear and sharp. And, the variation in bands number and shape between and within the species may indicate the hybrid origin (mating) of these strains and alteration of their habitat. From these results, the two species may have the same origin and separated from each other into two closely related clads.

Corresponding author

Ramadan A. M. Ali

Department of Zoology, College for Women, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt ramadanali27@gmail.com

References

- Abdel-Rahman, M. and Hafez, E. (2007). Genetic similarity among the three Egyptian water buffalo flocks using RAPD-PCR and PCR-RFLP techniques. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 3: 351-355.
- Albayrak, I.; Asan, N. and Yorulmaz, T. (2008). The natural history of the Egyptian fruit bat, *Rousettus aegyptiacus*, in Turkey (Mammalia: Chiroptera). Turk. J. Zool., 32: 11-18.
- Alvarez, Y.; Juste, B.; Tabares, E.; Garrido-Pertierra, A.; Ibanez, C. and Bautista, M. (1999). Molecular phylogeny and morphological homoplasy in fruit bats. Mol. Biol. Evol., 16: 1061-1067.
- Anderson, J. (1902). Zoology of Egypt; Mammalia. Revised and completed by W. E. De Winton. Hugh Rees Ltd., London.
- Andrianaivoarivelo, R. (2009). Characterization of 22 microsatellite marker loci in the Madagascar rousette (*Rousettus madagascariensis*). Conserv. Genet., 10: 1025-1028.
- Bachmann, K. (1972). Genome size in mammals. Chromosoma, 37: 85-93.
- Baker, J.; Robert, D. and Bradley, D. (2006). Speciation in mammals and the genetic species concept. J. Mammal., 87(4): 643–662.
- Bastian, Jr. T.; Tanaka, K.; Anunciado, P.; Natural, G.; Augusto, C.; Sumalde, C. and Namikawa, T. (2001). Phylogenetic relationships among megachiropteran species from the two major islands of the Philippines, deduced from DNA sequences of the cytochrome b gene. Can. J. Zool., 79 (9): 1671-1677.
- Benda, P. and Horacek, I. (1998). Bats (Mammalia: Chiroptera) of the Eastern Mediterranean. Part I. Review of distribution and taxonomy of bats in Turkey. Acta. Soc. Zool. Bohem., 62: 255-313.

- Bergmans, W. (1994). Taxonomy and biogeography of African Fruit Bats (Mammalia, Megachiroptera) 4. The Genus *Rousettus* Gray, 1821, Beaufortia, 44: 79-126.
- Bowditch, M.; Albright, A.; Williams, J. and Braun, J. (1993). The use of RAPD markers in comparative genomes studies. Meth. Enzymol., 224: 294-309.
- Calisher, H.; Childs, E.; Field, E.; Holmes, V. and Schountz, T. (2006). Bats: important reservoir hosts of emerging viruses. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 19: 531-545.
- Campbell, P.; Putnam, S.; Bonney, C.; Bilgin R.; Morales C.; Kunz H. and Ruedas A. (2007). Contrasting patterns of genetic differentiation between endemic and widespread species of fruit bats (Chiroptera: Pteropodidae) in Sulawesi, Indonesia. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 44: 474-482.
- Cantrell, M.; Scott, L.; Brown, C.; Martinez, D. and Wichman, H. (2008). Loss of LINE-1 activity in the Megabats. Genetics, 178: 393-404
- Chan, L. M.; Goodman, S. M.; Nowak, M. D.; Weisrock, D. W. and Yoder, A. D. (2011). Increased population sampling confirms low genetic divergence among *Pteropus* (Chiroptera: Pteropodidae) fruit bats of Madagascar and other western Indian Ocean islands [Internet]. Version 62. PLoS Currents: Tree of Life. Feb 21:PMC3064450.
- Chinnasamy, K.; Pitchamuthu, M.; Doss, P. S.; Marimuthu, G. and Emmanuvel, K. (2011). Genetic diversity and population structure of leaf-nosed bat *Hipposideros speoris* (Chiroptera: Hipposideridae) in Indian subcontinent. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 10: 1320-1328.
- Colgan, D. and Flannery, T. (1995). A phylogeny of Indo-West Pacific megachiroptera based on ribosomal DNA. Syst. Biol., 44: 209-220.
- Corbet, B. (1978). The mammals of the Palaearctic region: a taxonomic review. British Museum (Nat. Hist.). London.
- Dinesh, K. R.; Chan, W. K.; Lim, T. M. and Phange, V. E. (1995): RAPD markers in fishes: An evaluation of resolution and reproducibility. Asia-Pac. J. Mol. Biol. Biotechnol., 3: 112-118.
- Ditchfield, D. (2000). The comparative phylogeography of Neotropical mammals: Patterns of intraspecific mitochondrial DNA variation among bats contrasted to non volant small mammals. Mol. Ecol., 9: 1307-1318.
- Eicki, N.; Jacobs, D. Matthee, C. (2005). A nuclear DNA phylogenetic perspective on the evolution of echolocation and historical biogeography of extant bats (Chiroptera). Mol. Biol. Evol., 22: 1869-1886.
- Emmanuvel, R. and Marimuthu, G. (2006). A preliminary examination of genetic diversity in

the Indian false vampire bat, *Megaderma lyra*. Anim. Biodiver. Conserv., 29: 109–115.

- Erlich, H. and Arnheim, N. (1992). Genetic analysis using the polymerase chain reaction. Ann. Rev. Genet., 26: 479-506.
- Gaisler, J.; Madkour, G. and Pelikan, J. (1972). On the bats (Chiroptera) of Egypt. Acta. Sc. Nat. Brno., 6(8): 1-40.
- Goodman, M.; Chan, M.; Nowak, D. and Yoder, D. (2010). Phylogeny and biogeography of Western Indian Ocean *Rousettus* (Chiroptera: Pteropodidae). J. Mammal., 91(3): 593-606.
- Gugnani, C. (1999). A review of Zygomycosis due to *Basidiobolus ranarum*. Europ. J. Epidemiol., 15(10): 923-929.
- Harrison, L. and Bates, J. (1991). The Mammals of Arabia. 2nd ed., Harrison Zoological Museum. Lakeside Printing, London.
- Hassanien, A.; Elnady, M.; Obeida, A. and Itriby, A. (2004). Genetic diversity of Nile tilapia populations revealed by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA. Aquacult. Res., 35: 587-593.
- Hill, J.E. (1977). A review of the Rhinopomatidae. Bull. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), 32: 29-43.
- Hoogstraal, H. (1962). A brief review of contemporary land mammals of Egypt (Including Sinai): I. Insectivora and Chiroptera. J. Egypt. Publ. Hlth. Ass., 37: 143-162.
- Hulva, P. and Horacek, I. (2002). *Craseonycteris thonglongyai* (Chiroptera: Craseonycteridae) is a rhinolophoid: Molecular evidence from cytochrome b. Acta Chiropterol., 4: 107-120.
- Hulva, P.; Horacek, I. and Benda, P. (2007). Molecules, morphometrics and new fossils provide an integrated view of the evolutionary history of Rhinopomatidae (Mammalia: Chiroptera). BMC Evol. Biol., 7(165): 1-15.
- Juste, B.; Ibanez, C. and Machordom, A. (1997). Evolutionary relationships among the African fruit bats: *Rousettus egyptiacus, Rousettus angolensis*, and *Myonycteris*. J. Mammal., 78: 766-774.
- Juste, B.; Machordom, A. and Ibanez, C. (1996). Allozyme variation of the Egyptian Rousette (*Rousettus egyptiacus*; Chiroptera-Pteropodidae) in the Gulf of Guinea (West-Central Africa). Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 24: 499-508.
- Juste, B.; Alvarez, Y.; Tabares, E.; Garrido-Pertierra, A.; Ibanez, C. and Bautista, M. (2002). Phylogeography of African Fruit bats (Megachiroptera). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol., 13: 596-604.
- Kalunda, M.; Mukwaya, A. and Lule, M. (1986).Kasokero virus: a new human pathogen from bats (*Rousettus aegyptiacus*) in Uganda. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 35: 387-392.

- Karuppudurai, T. and Sripathi, K. (2010). Molecular genetic analysis of male alternative strategy and reproductive success in the polygynous mating bat *Cynopterus sphinx*. J. Cell Anim. Biol., 4: 42-50.
- Karuppudurai, T.; Sripathi1, K.; Gopukumar, N.; Elangovan, V. and Marimuthu, G. (2007). Genetic diversity within and among populations of the Indian short-nosed fruit bat *Cynopterus sphinx* assessed through RAPD analysis. Current Science, 93(7): 942-950.
- Kinzelbach, R. (1986). New records of the Egyptian bat, *Rousettus aegyptiacus* in Turkey. Zool. Middle East, 1: 20-21.
- Kirsch, J.; Flannery, F.; Springer, S. and Lapointe, F. (1995). Phylogeny of the Pteropodidae (Mammalia: Chiroptera) based on DNA hybridization, with evidence for bat monophyly. Aust. J. Zool., 43: 395-428.
- Koopman, K. F. 1993. Order Chiroptera. Pp. 137-241, in: "Mammal species of the world: a taxonomic and geographic reference" (D. E. Wilson and D. M. Reeder, eds.), 2nd ed. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D. C.
- Kwiecinski, G and Griffiths, T. (1999). Rousettus aegyptiacus. Mamml. Species, 611: 1-9
- Lamb, J.; Ralph, T.; Naidoo, T.; Taylor, P.; Ratrimomanarivo, F.; Stanley, W. and Goodman, S. (2011). Toward a molecular phylogeny for the Molossidae (Chiroptera) of the Afro-Malagasy region. Acta Chiropterol., 13:1-16.
- Levin, E.; Yom-Tov, Y.; Barnea, A. and Huchon, D. (2008). Genetic diversity and phylogeography of the greater mouse-tailed bat *Rhinopoma microphyllum* (Brünnich, 1782) in the Levant. Acta Chiropterol., 10(2) : 207-212.
- Mindell, P.; Dick, W. and Baker, R. (1991). Phylogenetic relationships among megabats, microbats, and primates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.USA, 88: 10322-10326.
- Moreira1, P. and Morielle-Versute E. (2006). Genetic variability in species of bats revealed by RAPD analysis. Genet. Mol. Res., 5 (4): 804-815.
- Nei, M. (1973). Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 70: 3321-3323.
- Nei, M. (1978). Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetics distance from a small number of individuals. Genetics, 89: 583-590.
- Nei, M. (1987). Molecular evolutionary genetics. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Nei, M. and Li, W. H. (1979). Mathematical models for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 76: 5269-5273.
- Parri, M.; Singh, P. and Hollinger, B. (1971).

Isolation of a new parainfluenza virus from a frugivorous bat, *Rousettus leschenaultia*, collected at Poona, India. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 20: 125-130.

- Qumsiyeh, M. (1985). The bats of Egypt. Spec. Publ. Mus. Texas Tech. Univ., 23: 1-102.
- Qumsiyeh, M. and Baker, R. (1985). G-and C-banded karyotypes of Rhinopomatidae (Microchiroptera). J. Mammal., 66(3): 541-544.
- Ray-Chaudhuri, P.; Pathak, S. and sharma, T. (1968). Chromosomes and affinities of Pteropidae (Megachiroptera) and Rhinopomatidae (Microchiroptera). The Nucleus, (Suppl.): 96-101.
- Reeves, W.; Dowling, A. and Dasch, G (2006). Rickettsial agents from parasitic Dermanyssoidea (Acari: Mesostigmata). Exp. Appl. Acarol., 38: 181-188.
- Rivers, M.; Butlin, K. and Altringham, D. (2005). Genetic population structure of Natterer's bats explained by mating at swarming sites and philopatry. Mol. Ecol., 14: 4299–4312.
- Rohlf, F. J. (2000) NTSYS-pc numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system. Version 2.1.
- Rossiter, J.; Burland, M.; Jones, G. and Barratt, M. (1999). Characterization of microsatellite loci in the greater horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*. Mol. Ecol., 8(11): 1959-1961.
- Sanborn, C. and Hoogstraal, H. (1955): The identification of Egyptian bats. J. Egypt. Pub. Hlth. Ass., 30(4): 103-121.
- Sebastien, P.; Gregory, M. and Petit, E. (2005). Characterization of 14 polymorphic microsatellite loci for the lesser horse-shoe bat, *Rhinolophus hipposideros* (Rhinolophidae, Chiroptera). Mol. Ecol.Notes, 5(4): 941-944.
- Sinclair, A.; Webb, J.; Marchant, D. and Tidemann, F. (1996). Genetic variation in the little Red Flying –fox, *Pteropus scapulatus* (Chiroptera: Pteropodidae): implications for management. Biol. Conserv., 76: 45-50.
- Singh, V. and Sharma, D. (2002). Within-and between-strain genetic variability in White Leghorn population detected through RAPD markers. Br. Poult. Sci., 43: 33–37.
- Teeling, E.; Madsen, O.; VanDen Bussche, A.; Jong, W.; Stanhope, J. and Springer, S. (2002). Microbat paraphyly and the convergent evolution of a key innovation in Old World Rhinolophoid microbats. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 99: 1431-1436.
- Teeling, E.; Scally, M.; Kao, D.; Romagnoll, L.; Springer, M. and Stanhope, J. (2000). Molecular evidence regarding the origin of echolocation and flight in bats. Nature, 403: 188-192.
- Teeling, E.; Springer, S.; Madsen, O.; Bates, P.;

O'Brien, J. and Murphy W. (2005). A molecular phylogeny for bats illuminates biogeography and the fossil record. Science, 307: 580-584.

- Van Cakenberghe, V. and De Vree, F. (1994). A revision of the Rhinopomatidae Dobson 1872, with the description of a new subspecies (Mammalia: Chiroptera). Senckenbergiana biologica, 73: 1-24.
- Van Den Bussche, R. A. and Hoofer, S. R. (2004). Phylogenetic relationships among recent chiropteran families and the importance of choosing appropriate out-group taxa. J. Mammal., 85: 321-330.
- Van Valen, L. (1979). The Evolution of Bats. Evol. Theory., 4: 103-121.
- Wassif, K. (1995). Guide to mammals of natural protectorates in Egypt. Arab Republic of Egypt, Cabinet of Ministers, Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency, Department of Natural Protectorates.1-171.
- Webb, J. and Tidemann, R. (1996). Mobility of Australian flying-foxes, *Pteropus* spp. (Megachiroptera): Evidence from genetic variation. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Series B.263: 497-502.

Wellenberg, J.; Audry, L.; Ronsholt, L.; Van Der Poel,

M.; Bruschke, M. and Bourhy, H. (2002). Presence of European bat lyssavirus RNAs in apparently healthy *Rousettus aegyptiacus* bats. Arch. Virol., 147: 349-361.

- Welsh, J. and McClelland, M. (1990). Fingerprinting genomes using PCR with arbitrary primers. Nucleic Acids Res., 18: 7213-7218.
- Williams, J.; Hanafey, M.; Rafalski, J. and Tingey, S. (1993). Genetic analysis using random amplified polymorphic DNA markers. Meth. Enzymol., 218: 704-740.
- Wood, R.; Weyeneth, N. and Appleton, B. (2011).
 Development and characterisation of 20 microsatellite loci isolated from the large bent-wing bat, *Miniopterus schreibersii* (Chiroptera: Miniopteridae) and their cross-taxa utility in the family Miniopteridae. Mol. Ecol. Res., 11: 675–685.
- Yadav, A. and Yadav, R. (2007). Genetic diversity among six breeds of Indian goat using RAPD markers. Biotechnology, 6: 57-60.
- Yeh, C.; Boyle, T.; Rongeai, Y.; Ye, Z. and Xian, M. (1999). POPGENE, Version 1.31. A Microsoft Window based free ware for population genetic analysis. University of Alberta, Edmonton. Canada.

10/30/2011