

Some Properties of Doubt Fuzzy Sub-Commutative Ideals of BCI-Algebras

Samy M. Mostafa, Ragab A.K. Omar and Ahmed I. Marie

Department of Mathematic, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Roxy, Cairo, Egypt
samymostafa@yahoo.com; ahmedibrahim500@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the notion of doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of BCI-algebras, and study some of their properties. We show that a fuzzy subset of BCI-algebra is a fuzzy sub - commutative ideal if and only if the complement of this fuzzy subset is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal, and any doubt fuzzy ideal of commutative BCI-algebra is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal. We investigate how to deal with the homomorphic image (pre-image) of doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of BCI-algebra. Moreover, we introduce the notion of Cartesian product of doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideals and then we study some related properties.

[Samy M. Mostafa, Ragab A.K. Omar and Ahmed I. Marie **Some Properties of Doubt Fuzzy Sub-Commutative Ideals of BCI-Algebras**] Journal of American Science 2011;7(11):283-291]. (ISSN: 1545-1003). <http://www.americanscience.org>.

Keywords: ideal of BCI-algebras - doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal - homomorphic image (pre-image) of sub-commutative ideal - Cartesian product of doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G25, 06F35, 03E72.

1. Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [14] and was used afterwards by many other others in various branches of mathematics. Isaki and Tanaka [4] introduced two classes of abstract algebras BCI-algebras and BCK-algebras. It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI- algebra. Xi [13] applied the concept of fuzzy set to BCI-algebras and gave some properties of it. After that several researchers investigated further properties of fuzzy BCI-algebras and fuzzy ideal [see {[1] , [2] , [9] , [11] , [12]}]. Jun [6] gave some properties of a fuzzy commutative ideals in BCK-algebra .Liu and Meng [8] introduced the notion of sub-implicative ideal and sub-commutative ideal in BCI - algebra and investigated the properties of this ideals. Jun [7] defined a doubt fuzzy subalgebra, doubt fuzzy ideal, doubt fuzzy implicative ideal, and doubt fuzzy prime ideal in BCI- algebras, and got some results about it. Modifying this idea, in this paper, we introduce the concept of doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of BCI-algebra and investigate some related properties. We show that in commutative BCI-algebra a fuzzy subset is an doubt fuzzy ideal if and only if it is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal, and a fuzzy subset of a BCI-algebra is a fuzzy sub-commutative ideal if and only if the complement of this fuzzy subset is an doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal. Moreover, we discuss the homomorphic pre-image (image) of doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal. Finally, we introduce the notion of Cartesian product of doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal and then we characterize doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal by

it.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. ([4])

An algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ is called a BCI-algebra if

it satisfies the following axioms:

- (I) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
- (II) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
- (III) $x * x = 0$,
- (IV) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$,
for all $x, y, z \in X$.

We can define a partially ordered relation \leq on X as follows:

$$x \leq y \text{ if and only if } x * y = 0.$$

Proposition 2.2. ([4])

A BCI-algebra X satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$,
- (2) $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$,
- (3) $((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y) = 0$,
- (4) $x * 0 = x$,
- (5) $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$,
- (6) $x \leq y$ implies $x * z \leq y * z$ and $z * y \leq z * x$.

In what follows, X shall mean a BCI-algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 2.3. ([10])

A BCI-algebra X is said to be commutative if it

satisfies:

$x \leq y$ implies $x = y * (y * x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.4. ([4])

A non-empty subset I of X is called an BCI-ideal of X if it satisfies:

- (I₁) $0 \in I$,
- (I₂) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Theorem 2.5. ([10, theorem 3])

A BCI-algebra X is commutative if and only if $x * (x * y) = y * (y * (x * y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.6. ([8])

A nonempty subset I of X is called a sub-commutative ideal of X if it satisfies:

- (I₁) $0 \in I$,
- (I₃) $(y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z \in I$ and $z \in I$ imply $x * (x * y) \in I$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 2.7. ([8])

Let I be an ideal of X . Then I is sub-commutative if and only if $y * (y * (x * (x * y))) \in I$ implies $x * (x * y) \in I$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 2.8. ([8])

Any sub-commutative ideal is an ideal, but the converse is not true.

Definition 2.9. ([14])

Let X be a non empty set. A fuzzy set μ of X is a function $\mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$. Let μ be a fuzzy set of X . Then for $t \in [0, 1]$ the t -level cut of μ is the set

$\mu_t = \{ x \in X : \mu(x) \geq t \}$, and the complement of μ , denoted by μ^c , is the fuzzy subset of X given by $\mu^c(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.10. ([13])

A fuzzy set μ of a BCI-algebra X is called a fuzzy sub-algebra of X if $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.11. ([13])

A fuzzy set μ of a BCI-algebra X is said to be a fuzzy ideal of X if it satisfies

- (F₁) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$,
- (F₂) $\mu(x) \geq \mu(x * y) \wedge \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 2.12. ([11])

A fuzzy set μ of a BCI-algebra X satisfying (F₁) is a fuzzy ideal if and only if for all $x, y, z \in X$, $(x * y) * z = 0$ implies $\mu(x) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(z)$.

Definition 2.13. ([9])

A fuzzy set μ of X is called a fuzzy sub-commutative ideal (briefly, FSC-ideals) of X if it satisfies

(F₁) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$, and (F₃)

$\mu(x * (x * y)) \leq \mu((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z) \wedge \mu(z)$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 2.14.

Every fuzzy ideal of a commutative BCI-algebra X is a fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Proof.

Let μ be a fuzzy ideal of a commutative BCI-algebra X . Then (F₁) hold, as X is a commutative BCI-algebra, we have

$x * (x * y) = y * (y * (x * (x * y)))$

[Th. 2.4], then

$[(x * (x * y)) * ((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z)] * z$

$= [(x * (x * y)) * ((x * (x * y)) * z)] * z$

$= [(x * (x * y)) * z] * [(x * (x * y)) * z] = 0$

by (1) and (III). By (lemma 2.12), we get

$\mu(x * (x * y)) \leq \mu((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z) \wedge \mu(z)$. This shows that μ is a fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Definition 2.15. ([7])

A fuzzy set μ of a BCI-algebra X is called a doubt fuzzy sub-algebra of X if

$\mu(x * y) \leq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.16. ([7])

A fuzzy set μ of a BCI-algebra X is called a doubt fuzzy ideal of X if it satisfies:

(DF₁) $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$,

(DF₂) $\mu(x) \leq \mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 2.17. ([7])

Every doubt fuzzy ideal of a BCI-algebra X is a doubt fuzzy sub-algebra of X .

Proposition 2.18.

Every doubt fuzzy ideal of a BCI-algebra X is order preserving.

Proof. Let μ be a doubt fuzzy ideal of X and $x \leq y$, then $x * y = 0$, and for all $x, y \in X$. we have

$$\mu(x) \leq \mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y) = \mu(0) \vee \mu(y) = \mu(y).$$

Definition 2.19. ([2])

Let μ be a fuzzy subset of a BCI-algebra X. Then for $t \in [0,1]$ the lower t-level cut of μ is the set $\mu^t = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \leq t\}$.

Definition 2.20. ([2])

Let μ be a fuzzy subset of a BCI-algebra X. The fuzzification of $\mu^t, t \in [0,1]$ is the fuzzy subset μ_{μ^t} of X defined by

$$\mu_{\mu^t} = \begin{cases} \mu(x) & \text{if } x \in \mu^t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

3. Doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideals

Definition 3.1.

A fuzzy set μ of a BCI-algebra X is called a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X (briefly, DFSC-ideal) if it satisfies (DF₁) and (DF₃) $\mu(x*(x*y)) \leq \mu((y*(y*(x*(x*y))))*z) \vee \mu(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 3.2.

Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a BCI-algebra with Cayley table as follows :

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	1	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

Define $\mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ by $\mu(0) = \mu(3) = 0.3$ and $\mu(2) = \mu(1) = 0.7$. It is to check that μ is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X.

Proposition 3.3.

Every doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of a BCI-algebra X is order preserving.

Proof.

Let μ be doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X and let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \leq z$, then $x * z = 0$ and by (DF₃)

$$\mu(x*(x*y)) \leq \mu((y*(y*(x*(x*y))))*z) \vee \mu(z).$$

Let $y = x$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &\leq \mu((x*(x*(x*(x*x))))*z) \vee \mu(z) = \\ \mu(x*z) \vee \mu(z) &= \mu(0) \vee \mu(z) = \\ \mu(z). &[\text{by(III),(2)}] \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4.

Every doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of BCI-algebra X is a doubt fuzzy ideal.

Proof.

Let μ be a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of a BCI-algebra X for all $x, y, z \in X$, then $\mu(x*(x*y)) \leq \mu((y*(y*(x*(x*y))))*z) \vee \mu(z)$, put $y = x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &\leq \mu((x*(x*(x*(x*x))))*z) \vee \mu(z) \\ &= \mu(x*z) \vee \mu(z) \text{ [by (III),(2)]}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Hence μ is doubt fuzzy ideal of X.

Lemma 3.5.

Every doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of BCI-algebra is a doubt fuzzy sub algebra of X.

Proof.

Let μ be a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of BCI-algebra X, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x*(x*y)) &\leq \mu((y*(y*(x*(x*y))))*z) \vee \mu(z), \text{ put } y = x, \\ \text{we have} & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &\leq \mu((x*(x*(x*(x*x))))*z) \vee \mu(z) \\ &= \mu(x*z) \vee \mu(z), \text{ [by (III),(2)]} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$, which imply that

$$\mu(x*z) \leq \mu((x*z)*z) \vee \mu(z), \text{ but}$$

$(x*z)*z \leq x*z \leq x$, then

$$\mu((x*z)*z) \leq \mu(x) \text{ [by proposition 3.3].}$$

So $\mu(x*z) \leq \mu(x) \vee \mu(z)$, then μ is doubt fuzzy sub-algebra of X.

The following example shows that the converse of proposition 3.4 and lemma 3.5 may not be true.

Example 3.6.

Let $X = \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a BCI-algebra with Cayley table as follows:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	3
1	1	0	0	3
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	3	0

Define a fuzzy subset $\mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ by

$\mu(0) = 0.5$ and $\mu(x) = 1$ for all $x \neq 0$. Then μ is doubt fuzzy ideal(sub algebra) of X, but it is not doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X, because

$$\mu(1*(1*2)) = \mu(1) = 1 >$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((2*(2*(1*(1*2))))*0) \vee \mu(0) &= \\ \frac{1}{2}. & \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to (theorem 4.3 [9]), we have a similar result for a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal which can be proved in a similar manner, we state the result

withoutproof.

Theorem 3.7.

Let μ be a doubt fuzzy ideal of X . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) μ is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X ,
- (ii) $\mu(x * (x * y)) \leq \mu(y * (y * (x * (x * y))))$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (iii) $\mu(x * (x * y)) = \mu(y * (y * (x * (x * y))))$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (iv) If $x \leq y$, then $\mu(x) = \mu(y * (y * x))$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (v) If $x \leq y$, then $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y * (y * x))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 3.8.

If X is commutative BCI-algebra, then every doubt fuzzy ideal of X is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Proof.

Let μ be a doubt fuzzy ideal of X , then $\mu(x) \leq \mu(x * z) \vee \mu(z)$ for all $x, z \in X$. So $\mu(x * (x * y)) \leq \mu((x * (x * y)) * z) \vee \mu(z)$, but X is a commutative BCI-algebra, then $x * (x * y) = y * (y * (x * (x * y)))$. There for, $\mu(y * (y * x)) \leq \mu((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z) \vee \mu(z)$. This shows that μ is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X . By applying proposition(3.8) and lemma(3.4), we have:

Theorem 3.9.

If X is a commutative BCI-algebra, then a fuzzy set μ of X is a doubt fuzzy ideal of X if and only if it is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Theorem 3.10.

A fuzzy set μ of a BCI-algebra X is a fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X if and only if its complement μ^c is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Proof.

Let μ be a fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of a BCI-algebra X and let $x, y, z \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^c(0) &= 1 - \mu(0) \leq 1 - \mu(x) = \mu^c(x) \\ \text{and } \mu^c(x * (x * y)) &= 1 - \mu(x * (x * y)) \leq \\ 1 - (\mu((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z) \wedge \mu(z)) &= \\ 1 - [(1 - \mu^c((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z)) \wedge (1 - \mu^c(z))] &= \\ = \mu^c((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z) \vee \mu^c(z). \end{aligned}$$

So, μ^c is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Now let μ^c be a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X , and let $x, y, z \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(0) &= 1 - \mu^c(0) \geq 1 - \mu^c(x) = \mu(x), \text{ and} \\ \mu(x * (x * y)) &= 1 - \mu^c(x * (x * y)) \\ &\geq 1 - [(1 - \mu^c((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z)) \vee (1 - \mu^c(z))] \\ &= \mu^c((y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * z) \wedge \mu^c(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, μ is a fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Definition 3.11. ([5])

A fuzzy set μ in X is called doubt fuzzy p-ideal of X if it satisfies

$$(DF_1) \quad \mu(0) \leq \mu(x),$$

$$(DF_4) \quad \mu(x) \leq \mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \vee \mu(y),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Remark(1)

Every doubt fuzzy p-ideal is doubt fuzzy ideal, but the converse does not hold.

Remark(2)

Take $z = x$ and $y = 0$ in (DF_4) , then every doubt fuzzy p-ideal in X satisfies the inequality

$$\mu(x) \leq \mu(0 * (0 * x)) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Theorem 3.12.

Every doubt fuzzy p-ideal of X is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Proof.

Let μ be a doubt fuzzy p-ideal of X . Then μ is a doubt fuzzy ideal of X and

$$\begin{aligned} &(0 * (0 * (x * (x * y)))) * (y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) \\ &= (0 * (y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * (0 * (x * (x * y)))) \quad [\text{by(1)}] \\ &= ((0 * y) * (0 * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * (0 * (x * (x * y)))) \quad [\text{by(5)}] \\ &= ((0 * y) * ((0 * y) * (0 * (x * (x * y)))) * (0 * (x * (x * y)))) \\ &= ((0 * y) * (0 * (x * (x * y)))) * ((0 * y) * (0 * (x * (x * y)))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

[by(1)]

From remark(2) we have,

$\mu(x * (x * y)) \leq \mu(0 * (0 * (x * (x * y))))$, but $0 * (0 * (x * (x * y))) \leq y * (y * (x * (x * y)))$. Since every doubt fuzzy ideal is order preserving, then $\mu(0 * (0 * (x * (x * y)))) \leq \mu(y * (y * (x * (x * y))))$, hence $\mu(x * (x * y)) \leq \mu(y * (y * (x * (x * y))))$. From theorem 3.7, we get μ is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

In the following example, we see that the converse of theorem 3.12 may not be true

Example 3.13. Consider a BCI-algebra $X = \{0, a, 1, 2, 3\}$ with Cayley table

$\mu(x^*(x^*y))$	*	0	a	1	2	3
0	0	0	3	2	1	
a	a	0	3	2	1	
1	1	1	0	3	2	
2	2	2	1	0	3	
3	3	3	2	1	0	

Define an anti fuzzy set $\mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ by $\mu(0) = 0.2$, $\mu(a) = 0.5$ and $\mu(1) = \mu(2) = \mu(3) = 0.7$. Then μ is a fuzzy ideal of X in which the inequality $\mu(x^*(x^*y)) \leq \mu(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))$ holds for all $x, y \in X$. Using theorem 3.7, we see that μ is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X . μ is not doubt fuzzy p-ideal of X because $\mu(a) > \mu((a^*1)^*(0^*1)) \vee \mu(0)$.

Theorem 3.14.

For any doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal μ of X , the set $X_\mu = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$

is sub-commutative ideal of X .

Proof. Clearly $0 \in X_\mu$. Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that

$$(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z \in X_\mu \text{ and } z \in X_\mu.$$

By (DF₃), we have

$$\mu(x^*(x^*y)) \leq \mu((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) \vee \mu(z) = \mu(0),$$

which implies from (DF₁) that

$$\mu(x^*(x^*y)) = \mu(0). \text{ Then } x^*(x^*y) \in X_\mu \text{ and } X_\mu \text{ is a sub-commutative ideal of } X.$$

Applying Theorems 3.12 and 3.14, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.15.

If μ is a doubt fuzzy p-ideal of X , then the set

$$X_\mu = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\} \text{ is a sub-commutative ideal of } X.$$

Theorem 3.16.

Let μ be a fuzzy set of BCI-algebra X . Then μ is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X if and only if for each $t \in [0,1]$, $t \geq \mu(0)$, the lower t -level cut μ^t is a sub-commutative ideal of X .

Proof.

Let μ be a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X and let $t \in [0,1]$ with $\mu(0) \leq t$, by (DF₁), we have

$$\mu(0) \leq \mu(x) \text{ for all } x \in X. \text{ But } \mu(x) \leq t \text{ for all } x \in \mu^t \text{ and so } 0 \in \mu^t. \text{ Let } x, y, z \in X \text{ be such that}$$

$$(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z \in \mu^t \text{ and } z \in \mu^t, \text{ then } \mu(z) \leq t \text{ and } \mu((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) \leq t. \text{ Since } \mu \text{ is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal, it follow that}$$

$\leq \mu((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) \vee \mu(z) \leq t$, then $x^*(x^*y) \in \mu^t$. Therefore μ^t is sub-commutative ideal of X .

Conversely, let μ^t be a sub-commutative ideal of X . We only need to show that (DF₁), (DF₃) are true.

If (DF₁) is false, then there exist $x_0 \in X$ such that $\mu(0) > \mu(x_0)$. If we take $t_0 = \frac{1}{2} \{\mu(0) + \mu(x_0)\}$,

then $\mu(0) > t_0$ and $0 \leq \mu(x_0) < t_0 \leq 1$, hence $x_0 \in \mu^{t_0}$ and $\mu^{t_0} \neq \emptyset$. But μ^{t_0} is sub-commutative ideal of X , then $0 \in \mu^{t_0}$ and so $\mu(0) \leq t_0$, contradiction, hence $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Now, assume (DF₃) is not true, then there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$\mu(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0)) > \mu((y_0^*(y_0^*(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0))))^*z_0) \vee \mu(z_0). \text{ Putting}$$

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{2} \{\mu(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0)) + [\mu((y_0^*(y_0^*(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0))))^*z_0) \vee \mu(z_0)]\}, \text{ then } s_0 < \mu(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0)), \text{ and } 0 \leq \mu((y_0^*(y_0^*(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0))))^*z_0) \vee \mu(z_0) < s_0 \leq 1.$$

Thus we have

$$\mu((y_0^*(y_0^*(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0))))^*z_0) < s_0, \mu(z_0) < s_0. \text{ Which imply that}$$

$$(y_0^*(y_0^*(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0))))^*z_0 \in \mu^{s_0} \text{ and } z_0 \in \mu^{s_0}, \text{ but } \mu^{s_0} \text{ is an sub-commutative ideal of } X, \text{ thus } x_0^*(x_0^*y_0) \in \mu^{s_0} \text{ or } \mu(x_0^*(x_0^*y_0)) \leq s_0. \text{ This a contradiction, ending the proof.}$$

Theorem 3.17.

If μ is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of a BCI-algebra X . then μ_{μ^t} is also a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X where $t \in [0,1]$, and $t \geq \mu(0)$.

Proof.

From theorem 3.16, it is sufficient to show that $(\mu_{\mu^t})^\delta$ is a sub-commutative ideal of X , where $\delta \in [0,1]$ and $\delta \geq \mu_{\mu^t}(0)$. Clearly, $0 \in (\mu_{\mu^t})^\delta$.

Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z \in (\mu_{\mu^t})^\delta$ and $z \in (\mu_{\mu^t})^\delta$. Thus $\mu_{\mu^t}((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) \leq \delta$ and $\mu_{\mu^t}(z) \leq \delta$.

We claim that

$x^*(x^*y) \in (\mu_{\mu^t})^\delta$ or $\mu_{\mu^t}(x^*(x^*y)) \leq \delta$. If

$(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z \in \mu^t$ and $z \in \mu^t$, then

$x^*(x^*y) \in \mu^t$, because μ^t is a sub-commutative ideal of X. and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mu^t}(x^*(x^*y)) &= \mu(x^*(x^*y)) \leq \\ \mu((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) &\vee \mu(z) = \\ \mu_{\mu^t}((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) &\vee \mu_{\mu^t}(z) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

and so $x^*(x^*y) \in (\mu_{\mu^t})^\delta$.

If $(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z \notin \mu^t$ or $z \notin \mu^t$, then $\mu_{\mu^t}((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) = 0$ or $\mu_{\mu^t}(z) = 0$, and so $\mu_{\mu^t}(x^*(x^*y)) \leq \delta$ and so $x^*(x^*y) \in (\mu_{\mu^t})^\delta$. Therefore $(\mu_{\mu^t})^\delta$ is a sub-commutative ideal of X.

4. Homomorphism of doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of BCI-algebra

Definition 4.1.

Let f be a mapping of BCI-algebra X into BCI-algebra Y and $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$. The image of A in Y is $f(A) = \{f(a) \mid a \in A\}$ and the inverse image of B is $f^{-1}(B) = \{g \in X \mid f(g) \in B\}$.

Definition 4.2.

Let $(X, *, 0)$ and $(Y, *^1, 0^1)$ be a BCI-algebras. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a homomorphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) *^1 f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 4.3.

Let f be a homomorphism of BCI-algebra X into a BCI-algebra Y, then:

- (i) If 0 is the identity in X, then $f(0)$ is the identity in Y.
- (ii) If A is sub-commutative ideal of X, then $f(A)$ is sub-commutative ideal of Y.
- (iii) If B is sub-commutative ideal of Y, then $f^{-1}(B)$ is sub-commutative ideal of X.
- (vi) If X is commutative BCI-algebra, then $\ker f$ is sub-commutative ideal of X.

Proof.

(i) By using Definition 2.1 and Definition 4.2, we have $f(0) = f(0 * 0) = f(0) *^1 f(0) = 0^1$.

(ii) Let A be an sub-commutative ideal of X.

Clearly $0^1 \in f(A)$. If

$$(f(y) *^1 (f(y) *^1 (f(x) *^1 (f(x) *^1 f(y)))) *^1 f(z)) \in$$

$f(A)$

and $f(z) \in f(A)$, then

$f((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) \in f(A)$, since f is a homomorphism, we have $(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z \in A$ and $z \in A$. Since A is sub-commutative ideal, then $x^*(x^*y) \in A$, and hence

$$f(x^*(x^*y)) = f(x) *^1 (f(x) *^1 f(y)) \in f(A).$$

Then $f(A)$ is sub-commutative ideal of Y.

(iii) Let B be an sub-commutative ideal of $f(X)$, since $f(0) = 0^1, 0 \in f^{-1}(B)$.

Let $(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z \in f^{-1}(B), z \in f^{-1}(B)$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then

$f((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) \in B, f(z) \in B$, but f is homomorphism, then

$$(f(y) *^1 (f(y) *^1 (f(x) *^1 (f(x) *^1 f(y)))) *^1 f(z)) \in B$$

and $f(z) \in B$, since B is sub-commutative ideal, we have

$$f(x) *^1 (f(x) *^1 f(y)) = f(x^*(x^*y)) \in B. \text{ Hence}$$

$x^*(x^*y) \in f^{-1}(B)$, then $f^{-1}(B)$ is sub-commutative ideal.

(iv) Let $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$(y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z \in \ker f, z \in \ker f$, then

$f((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y))))^*z) = 0^1, f(z) = 0^1$, since f is homomorphism we have

$$(f(y) *^1 (f(y) *^1 (f(x) *^1 (f(x) *^1 f(y)))) *^1 f(z)) = 0^1.$$

Then,

$$(f(y) *^1 (f(y) *^1 (f(x) *^1 (f(x) *^1 f(y)))) *^1 0^1$$

$$= (f(y) *^1 (f(y) *^1 (f(x) *^1 (f(x) *^1 f(y))))$$

$$= f((y^*(y^*(x^*(x^*y)))) = f(x^*(x^*y)) = 0^1, \text{ by}$$

using theorem 2.5, then we have $x^*(x^*y) \in \ker f$. So $\ker f$ is sub-commutative ideal of X.

Definition 4.4.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism of BCI-algebras and β be a fuzzy set of Y, then β^f is called the pre-image of β under f and its denoted by

$$\beta^f(x) = \beta(f(x)), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Theorem 4.5.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism of BCI-algebras. If β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of Y, then β^f is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X.

Proof.

Since β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of

Y, then $\beta(0^{\setminus}) \leq \beta(f(x))$ for every $x \in X$. So

$$\beta^f(0) = \beta(f(0)) = \beta(0^{\setminus}) \leq \beta(f(x)) = \beta^f(x).$$

For any $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^f(x^*(x*y)) &= \beta(f(x^*(x*y))) = \\ \beta(f(x) *^{\setminus} (f(x) *^{\setminus} f(y))) &\leq \\ \beta((f(y) *^{\setminus} (f(y) *^{\setminus} (f(x) *^{\setminus} (f(x) *^{\setminus} f(y)))))) *^{\setminus} f(z)) & \\ \vee \beta(f(z)) & \\ = \beta(f((y^*(y^*(x^*(x*y))))^*z)) \vee \beta(f(z)) & \\ = \beta^f((y^*(y^*(x^*(x*y))))^*z) \vee \beta^f(z). & \end{aligned}$$

Then β^f is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X.

Theorem 4.6.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an epimorphism of BCI-algebras. If β^f is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X, then β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of Y.

Proof.

Let β^f be a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X and $y \in Y$, there exist $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$, then $\beta(y) = \beta(f(x)) = \beta^f(x) \leq \beta^f(0) = \beta(f(0)) = \beta(0^{\setminus})$. Let $x^{\setminus}, y^{\setminus}, z^{\setminus} \in Y$, then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that $f(x) = x^{\setminus}, f(y) = y^{\setminus}$ and $f(z) = z^{\setminus}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x^{\setminus} *^{\setminus} (x^{\setminus} *^{\setminus} y^{\setminus})) &= \beta(f(x) *^{\setminus} (f(x) *^{\setminus} f(y))) \\ &= \beta(f(x^*(x*y))) = \beta^f(x^*(x*y)) \\ &\leq \beta^f((y^*(y^*(x^*(x*y))))^*z) \vee \beta^f(z) \\ &= \beta(f((y^*(y^*(x^*(x*y))))^*z) \vee \beta(f(z)) \\ &= \beta((f(y) *^{\setminus} (f(y) *^{\setminus} (f(x) *^{\setminus} (f(x) *^{\setminus} f(y)))))) *^{\setminus} f(z)) \\ &\vee \beta(f(z)) \\ &= \beta((y^{\setminus} *^{\setminus} (y^{\setminus} *^{\setminus} (x^{\setminus} *^{\setminus} (x^{\setminus} *^{\setminus} y^{\setminus})))) *^{\setminus} z^{\setminus}) \vee \beta(z^{\setminus}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of Y.

5. Cartesian product of DFSC-ideals

Definition 5.1. ([1]).

A fuzzy relation on any set X is a fuzzy subset $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow [0,1]$.

Definition 5.2.

If μ is a fuzzy relation on a set X and β is a fuzzy subset of X, then μ is a doubt fuzzy relation on β if $\mu(x, y) \geq \beta(x) \vee \beta(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 5.3.

Let μ and λ be doubt fuzzy subsets of a set X. The Cartesian product $\mu \times \lambda : X \times X \rightarrow [0,1]$ is defined by $(\mu \times \lambda)(x, y) = \mu(x) \vee \lambda(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 5.4.([1])

Let μ and λ be fuzzy subsets of a set X.

Then,

- (i) $\mu \times \lambda$ is a fuzzy relation on X,
- (ii) $(\mu \times \lambda)_t = \mu_t \times \lambda_t$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Definition 5.5.

If β is a fuzzy subset of a set X, the strongest doubt fuzzy relation on X (that is a doubt fuzzy relation on β) is μ_β , given by $\mu_\beta(x, y) = \beta(x) \vee \beta(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 5.6. For a given fuzzy subset β of a BCI-algebra X, let μ_β be the strongest doubt fuzzy relation on X. If μ_β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of $X \times X$, then $\beta(x) \geq \beta(0)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Since μ_β is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of $X \times X$, then from (DF₁) that $\mu_\beta(x, x) = \beta(x) \vee \beta(x) \geq \mu_\beta(0, 0) = \beta(0) \vee \beta(0)$ where $(0, 0) \in X \times X$, then $\beta(x) \geq \beta(0)$.

Remark 5.7.

Let X and Y be BCI-algebras, we define $*$ on $X \times Y$ by, for every $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times Y$,

$$(x, y) * (u, v) = (x * u, y * v).$$

Then clearly $(X \times Y; *, (0, 0))$ is a BCI-algebra.

Theorem 5.8.

Let μ and β be doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideals of BCI-algebra X. Then $\mu \times \beta$ is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of $X \times X$.

Proof.

Let μ and β be doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideals of BCI-algebra X, for every $(x, y) \in X \times X$, we have $(\mu \times \beta)(0, 0) = \mu(0) \vee \beta(0) \leq \mu(x) \vee \beta(y) = (\mu \times \beta)(x, y)$.

Now we let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$, then we have $(\mu \times \beta)((x_1, x_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2))) = (\mu \times \beta)(x_1 * (x_1 * y_1), x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)) = \mu(x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)) \vee \beta(x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)) \leq \{ \mu((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1) \vee \mu(z_1) \} \vee \{ \beta((y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)))) * z_2) \vee \beta(z_2) \} = \{ \mu((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1) \vee \beta((y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)))) * z_2) \vee \beta(z_2) \}$

$$\begin{aligned} & y_2)) * z_2) \vee \{ \mu(z_1) \vee \beta(z_2) \} = \\ & (\mu \times \beta) ((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1, (y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * \\ & (x_2 * y_2)))) * z_2) \vee (\mu \times \beta)(z_1, z_2) \\ & = (\mu \times \beta) (((y_1, y_2) * ((y_1, y_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * \\ & (y_1, y_2)))) * (z_1, z_2)) \vee (\mu \times \beta)(z_1, z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu \times \beta$ is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of $X \times X$.

Analogous to theorem 3.2[10], we have a similar result for doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideals, which can be proved in a similar manner, we state the result without proof.

Theorem 5.9.

Let μ and β be a fuzzy subsets of a BCI-algebra X such that $\mu \times \beta$ is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of $X \times X$. Then,

- (i) Either $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ or $\beta(x) \geq \beta(0)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (ii) If $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ for all $x \in X$, then either $\mu(x) \geq \beta(0)$ or $\beta(x) \geq \mu(0)$,
- (iii) If $\beta(x) \geq \beta(0)$ for all $x \in X$, then either $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ or $\beta(x) \geq \mu(0)$,
- (iv) Either μ or β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Theorem 5.10.

Let β be a fuzzy subset of a BCI-algebra X and let μ_β be the strongest doubt fuzzy relation on X . Then β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X if and only if μ_β is doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of $X \times X$

Proof.

Assume that β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X . We note from (DF₁), that

$$\mu_\beta(0,0) = \beta(0) \vee \beta(0) \leq \beta(x) \vee \beta(y) = \mu_\beta(x,y) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

For all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_\beta((x_1, x_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2))) \\ & = \mu_\beta(x_1 * (x_1 * y_1), x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)) \\ & = \beta(x_1 * (x_1 * y_1) \vee \beta(x_2 * (x_2 * y_2))) \\ & \leq \{ \beta((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1) \vee \beta(z_1) \} \vee \\ & \quad \{ \beta((y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)))) * z_2) \vee \beta(z_2) \} \\ & = \{ \beta((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1) \vee \beta((y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * (x_2 * \\ & * y_2)))) * z_2) \vee \{ \beta(z_1) \vee \beta(z_2) \} \\ & = \mu_\beta(((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1, (y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)))) * z_2) \vee \mu_\beta(z_1, z_2)) \\ & = \mu_\beta(((y_1, y_2) * ((y_1, y_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2)))) * (z_1, z_2)) \vee \mu_\beta(z_1, z_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, μ_β is a doubt fuzzy

sub-commutative ideal of $X \times X$. Conversely, suppose that μ_β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of $X \times X$. Then for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $\beta(0) \vee \beta(0) = \mu_\beta(0, 0) \leq \mu_\beta(x, y) = \beta(x) \vee \beta(y)$, it follows that $\beta(0) \leq \beta(x)$ for all $x \in X$, which proves (DF₁). Now, let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(x_1 * (x_1 * y_1) \vee \beta(x_2 * (x_2 * y_2))) \\ & = \mu_\beta(x_1 * (x_1 * y_1), x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)) \\ & = \mu_\beta((x_1, x_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2))) \\ & \leq \mu_\beta(((y_1, y_2) * ((y_1, y_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * ((x_1, x_2) * \\ & (y_1, y_2)))) * (z_1, z_2)) \vee \mu_\beta(z_1, z_2) \\ & = \mu_\beta((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1, \\ & (y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)))) * z_2) \vee \mu_\beta(z_1, z_2) \\ & = \{ \beta((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1) \vee \\ & \beta((y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)))) * z_2) \} \vee \{ \beta(z_1) \vee \beta(z_2) \} \\ & = \{ \beta((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1) \vee \beta(z_1) \} \vee \\ & \{ \beta((y_2 * (y_2 * (x_2 * (x_2 * y_2)))) * z_2) \} \vee \beta(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Take $x_2 = y_2 = z_2 = 0$, then

$$\beta(x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)) \leq \beta((y_1 * (y_1 * (x_1 * (x_1 * y_1)))) * z_1) \vee \beta(z_1).$$

Then β is a doubt fuzzy sub-commutative ideal of X .

Corresponding author

Ahmed I. Marie

Department of Mathematic, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Roxy, Cairo, Egypt

samymostafa@yahoo.com

ahmedibrahim500@yahoo.com

References

- [1] p. Bhattacharya and N.P. Mukherjee, Fuzzy relations and fuzzy group, Inform. Sci. 36(1985) 267 – 282.
- [2] S. M. Hong and Y. B. Jun, Anti fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras, Kyung. Pook. Math. J. 38(1998), 145 – 150.
- [3] Sabahattim Ilbira, Alev Firat and Y. B. Jun, On symmetric Bi-derivations of BCI- algebras, Applied Mathematical Science. 5(2011) no. 2957 – 2966.
- [4] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon, 23(1978), 1 – 26.
- [5] Zhan Jianming and Tan Zhisong, Doubt FuzzyBCI-algebras, IJMMS30:1(2002) 49-56.
- [6] Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, Fuzzy

- commutative ideals of BCK-algebra, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64(1994) 401 – 405.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, Doubt fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras, Soochow J. Math. 20(1994),no.3,351–358.
- [8] Y. L. Liu and J. Meng, Sub-implicative ideals and sub-commutative ideals of BCI-algebras, Soochow J. Math, 26 (2000), 441- 453.
- [9] Y. L. Liu, San Yang Liu and J. I. Meng, FSI-ideals and FSC-ideals of BCI-algebras, Bull Korean Math. 41(2004), No.1,pp. 167 – 179.
- [10] J. Meng and X. L. Xin, commutative BCI-algebras, Math.Japon,37(1992), 569 – 572.
- [11] J. Meng and Xiu-e Guo, On fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems,149(2005), 509 – 525.
- [12] Samy M. Mostafa, Fuzzy implicative ideals in BCK-algebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 87(1997) 361 – 368.
- [13] O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK-algebras, Math. Japon, 36(1991), 935 – 942.
- [14] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control, 8 (1965), 338–353.

11/11/2011