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Abstract: We have held back complexity because of the culture in American science that lifts up those that are
Spartan and labels those that they do not understand as cranks. We intend to repay and reverse the sentiment sooner
or later with this paper and the much larger one on axiom 1:3. This manuscript clearly shows that that prime
number distribution is absolutely predictable and as a mathematical fact, predictability is defined by a new prime
number theorem that is based on absolute precision of numbers that it does not need further proof. These number are
divergent with a half-line numbers that have been delineated. This divergence as proved separately is at 1:3, -1 at-1
offset at the base. The latter is far too expensive to include in this manuscript. Indivisibility is not only predictable;
it has a method and a palpable form. Science may be much better understood, if it understood prime numbers better,
not just the big prime numbers. We have discovered the basis of the unpredictability of prime numbers and have
shown that these numbers are predictable by the fact that they can be mathematically created from the base 1:3
upwards by hand calculus in a continuous mode, which we have done. We have shown and demonstrated the key
half-line numbers that hold the divergence of prime numbers. The mathematics is presented in several original
displays of natural fixed prime number numerations, both that cannot be deduced, and those that can be deduced.
We also have briefly demonstrated a new direct prime number sieve based on the number 6. Prime numbers are
divergent, and we have shown some of that proof in the manuscript. Since the mathematics of the axiom of 1:3 is
extremely complex and difficult we intend to produce a manuscript in that regard with the Journal of American
Science in the near future, only if we are asked. We have added at the end of this manuscript a few snippets of the
axiom 1:3 resolution, including a new trigonometric basic coordinate and the direct mathematical derivation of the
correct precise π value. These are related to prime numbers. When we say axiom 1:3 at -1,19, it makes little sense
to most of you unless you understand the calculus of 19 at the base of mathematics, and understand that there is a
mathematical trigonometry, a mathematically derived π value, and both the current π and trigonometry standards
are clearly in error and not derived from pure mathematics. We cannot explain all that in this manuscript, perhaps if
the editor would invite us to produce a 50 page manuscript on the new mathematics of axiom 1:3 then we will do so,
only in this journal and “only” for this editor Dr Hong Bao Ma. Till then please reference what we have on axiom
1:3 at the end of this manuscript and take a drink of cold water, it is as hard as our sieve as shown here with some
difficulty.

http://www.jofamericanscience.org. 49
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Introduction:

It is a most horrible fate not to be understood in science in spite of one’s best efforts. We have now
written a very clear and simple manuscript on prime numbers to make for a simpler and easier fare than
that of axiom 1:3 mathematics. We have stated and shown that current mathematics is in error, and that
the current mathematical π is not the correct Pi value as referenced at the end of this manuscript. We will
never take that statement back and never take back the new mathematics of Axiom 1:3 that we have
discovered recently

We have stated and proved a full theorem of Prime numbers here and not some hypothesis in
science. Since the resolution of this manuscript is by clear numbers with very precise direct mathematical expression
of prime number numbers that are notably entered in this manuscript at the onset, we will not retract anything
and we express ourselves with this sentiment- (“The moving hand writes, and having
writ, it moves on. Neither all your tears, nor wit, shall lure it back to erase half a line, nor change a word of
it” – Rabiate, Omar Khayyam)

It seems to us that prime numbers have unnecessarily taken undue importance in scientific
discovery at the expense of further advancement in sciences. By our definition, prime numbers are
created by coordinates from the base onwards. We are able to write all prime numbers precisely and
absolutely starting at the base by hand calculus in continuous mode as reasonably demonstrated in the
manuscript starting at numbers 1 and 3. We additionally have a distinct prime number sieve at 3
and 6 that is the only direct prime number sieve shown here, that sieve is written by a simple dairy farmer who has the audacity. We do not appreciate the mathematical relevance of big prime numbers discovery, unless you first understand prime number distribution in empty space. There is nothing more to be done with prime numbers, but that to know where they come from, and where they go, and what they do.

Lastly please note that this mathematics is not written in a Spartan manner of current mathematics. It is written in a simpler language intended for the broad understanding of science, with the least possible starch. The resolution of the numbers is in black and white, leaving little for scientific contention.

Method:
We have used a newly discovered deductive mathematics based on primordial equation of –1, and axiom 1:3 at 19, this mathematics is unknown to the world although we have sent the Editor a copy of a private book on Axiom 1:3. Here the deduction of prime numbers are based on the simple understanding that if there are variable unpredictable numbers in empty space and these numbers can be connected by predictable values, then it follows that there is a common half-line between the numbers which are then divergent, as is the case with prime numbers. Our methods are completely different than current mathematical theory and our exact sieve of prime numbers has been shown by computer algorithm to produce millions of divergent and convergent numbers. Unfortunately the sieve method as even shown here in the text is extremely complex, but we have offered to provide the computer program to any reader free of charge.

Results:
These are by solid number and precise mathematical results that clearly prove the half-line, predictability of prime numbers, and the deductive divergence of Prime numbers. We can clearly write all prime number, one number follows the other, with only using preceding values

The Theorem of Prime numbers 2012, By Vinoo Cameron / Theo Den otter. June 8th, 2012

It’s a theorem because it is shown by precise numbers to be precise in its results.

“The prime number theorem states that Prime numbers distribution is predictable, divergent, and is governed by specific predictable non-prime numbers that are placed at the half-line of divergence of 1:3. These half-line numbers that hug the midline tightly are as a rule divisible by 2. Furthermore the divergent and convergent numbers arms are at a differential of -1, which is clearly a difficult concept.

(Basically it is deduced by the fact that if there is a distribution of variable numbers, and all these numbers are predictably calculated, then it follows that there has to be a linear half-line that modulates those numbers by specific predictable as we have proved prime numbers to be)

Non-prime numbers that are placed at the half-line of divergence of 1:3 are hugging the half line absolutely as they are as a rule divisible by 2 as shown by us. Furthermore the divergent and convergent numbers arms are at a divergence of a precise 1:3 something not addressed in this small manuscript...

The following are the half line numbers in red that add up with variable numbers to form prime numbers in sets (please see complete resolution in table)

| 12 − 7 = 5           |
| 12 − 5 = 7           |
| 12 − 1 = 11          |
| 12 + 1 = 13          |
| 12 + 5 = 17          |
| 16 + 3 = 19          |
| 14 + 9 = 23          |
| 18 + 11 = 29         |
| 18 + 13 = 31         |
| 14 + 23 = 37         |
| 16 + 25 = 41         |
| 16 + 27 = 43         |
| 18 + 29 = 47         |
| 18 + 33 = 53         |
| 14 + 53 = 67         |
| 18 + 53 = 71         |
| 16 + 57 = 73         |
| 18 + 61 = 79         |
| 24 + 59 = 83         |
| 22 + 67 = 89         |
| 20 + 77 = 97         |
| 18 + 83 = 101        |
| 12 + 91 = 103        |
\[ 12 + 95 = 107 \]
\[ 24 + 103 = 127 \]
\[ 26 + 105 = 131 \]
\[ 22 + 115 = 137 \]
\[ 20 + 119 = 139 \]
\[ 20 + 129 = 149 \]
\[ 24 + 127 = 151 \] .......so on

Reference table A:
This is a precise reference of the fixed “natural numbers run of prime numbers”, and is not derived from any preceding value. Please note the specific precise offset order which is an infinite order of prime numbers. These numbers are not used in creation of the prime numbers below, although they reference our method precisely and match the method of working up the prime numbers precisely.

\[ 1 \times 5 = 5 \]
\[ 3 \times 7 = 21 \]
\[ 5 \times 11 = 55 \]
\[ 7 \times 13 = 91 \]
\[ 17 \times 11 = 187 \]
\[ 19 \times 13 = 247 \]
\[ 23 \times 17 = 391 \]
\[ 29 \times 19 = 551 \]
\[ 31 \times 23 = 713 \]
\[ 37 \times 29 = 551 \]
\[ 41 \times 31 = 1271 \]
\[ 43 \times 37 = 1591 \]
\[ 47 \times 41 = 1927 \]
\[ 53 \times 43 = 2279 \]
\[ 59 \times 47 = 2773 \]
\[ 61 \times 53 = 3233 \]
\[ 67 \times 59 = 3953 \]
\[ 71 \times 61 = 4331 \]
\[ 73 \times 67 = 4307 \]
\[ 79 \times 71 = 5609 \]
\[ 83 \times 73 = 6059 \]
\[ 89 \times 79 = 7031 \]
\[ 97 \times 83 = 8051 \]
\[ 101 \times 89 = 8989 \]
\[ 103 \times 97 = 9991 \]
\[ 107 \times 101 = 10807 \]
\[ 109 \times 103 = 11227 \]
\[ 113 \times 107 = 12091 \]
\[ 127 \times 109 = 13843 \]
\[ 131 \times 113 = 14803 \]
\[ 137 \times 127 = 17399 \]
\[ 139 \times 131 = 18209 \]
\[ 149 \times 137 = 20413 \]
\[ 151 \times 139 = 20987 \]
\[ 157 \times 149 = 23393 \]
\[ 163 \times 151 = 24613 \]
\[ 167 \times 157 = 26219 \]
\[ 173 \times 163 = 28199 \]
\[ 179 \times 167 = 30227 \]
\[ 181 \times 173 = 33043 \] .......so on

Reference table B:
The following is a clear proof that prime numbers are formed by predictive deduction from the base and are mathematically created from the base as shown by predictive hand calculus, with delineation of prime number distribution. The half-line numbers are shown in red (n). There are explanatory notes at the end of the demonstration for the derivation of the numbers. All numbers are directly created from preceding values. The first base value is 4=1+3, (4+1=5), and (4+3=7). This is a basic deduction of our 1:3 axiom mathematics, not discussed here because of the complexity.

\[ 1 + 4 = 5 \text{ (new prime number) } \]
\[ 3 + 4 = 7 \text{ (new prime number) } \]
\[ 5 + (5 \times 10) = 55 \text{ (new number) } \]
\[ 7 + (7 \times 12) = 91 \text{ (new number) } \]
\[ 55/5 = 11 \text{ (new prime number) } \]
\[ 91/7 = 13 \text{ (new prime number) } \]
\[ 55 + (11 \times 12) = 187 \text{ (new number) } \]
\[ 91 + (13 \times 12) = 247 \text{ (new number) } \]
\[ 55 + (11 \times 12) = 187 \text{ (new number) } \]
\[ 91 + (13 \times 12) = 247 \text{ (new number) } \]
\[ 187/11 = 17 \text{ (new prime number) } \]
247/13 = 19(new prime number)
> 187 + (17 * 12) = 391(new number)
247 + (19 * 16) = 551(new number)
> 391/17 = 23(new prime number)
551/19 = 29(new prime number)
> 391 + (23 * 14) = 713(new number)
551 + (29 * 18) = 1073(new number)
> 713/23 = 31(new prime number)
1073/29 = 37(new prime number)
> 713 + (31 * 18) = 1271(new number)
1073 + (37 * 14) = 1591(new number)
> 1271/31 = 41(new prime number)
1591/37 = 43(new prime number)
>
1271 + (41 * 16) = 1926(new number)
1591 + (43 * 16) = 2279(new number)
>
1927/41 = 47(new prime number)
2279/43 = 53(new prime number)
>
1927 + (47 * 18) = 2773(new number)
2279 + (53 * 18) = 3233(new number)
>
2773/47 = 59(new prime number)
3233/53 = 61(new prime number)
>
2773 + (59 * 20) = 3953(new number)
3233 + (61 * 18) = 4331(new number)
>
3953/59 = 67(new prime number)
4331/61 = 71(new prime number)
>
3953 + (67 * 14) = 4891(new number)
4331 + (71 * 18) = 5609(new number)
>
4891/67 = 73(new prime number)
5609/71 = 79(new prime number)
>
4891 + (73 * 16) = 6059(new number) 5609 + (79 * 18) = 7031(new number)
>
6059/73 = 83(new prime number)
7039/79 = 89(new prime number)
>
6059 + (83 * 24) = 8051(new number)
7031 + (89 * 22) = 8989(new number)
>
8051/83 = 97(new prime number)

8989/89 = 101(new prime number)
>
8051 + (97 * 20) = 9991(new number)
8989 + (101 * 18) = 10807(new number)
>
9991/97 = 103(new prime number)
10807/101 = 107(new prime number)
>
9991 + (103 * 12) = 11227(new number)
10807 + (107 * 12) = 12091(new number)
>
11227/103 = 109(new prime number)
12091/107 = 113(new prime number)
>
11227 + (109 * 24) = 13843(new number)
12091 + (113 * 24) = 14803(new number)
>
13843/109 = 127(new prime number)
14803 + 113 = 131(new prime number)
>
13843 + (127 * 28) = 17399(new number)
14803 + (131 * 26) = 18209(new number)
>
17399/127 = 137(new Prime number)
18209/131 = 139(new Prime number)
>
17399 + (137 * 22) = 20413(new number)
18209 + (139 * 20) = 20989(new number)
>
20413/137 − 149(new prime number)
20989/139 = 151(new Prime number)
>
20413 + (149 * 20) = 23393(new number)
20989 + (151 * 24) = 24613(new number)

Reference Table B: half-line numbers

This is a basic discussion on the half-line numbers that have been discovered and are predictive, rather complex method, which is beyond the scope as we are trying to find simpler equations. We have discussed these numbers here. These are patent half line numbers and as a mathematical rule divergence always is accompanied by a linear half line, thus we know Prime numbers are divergent and our prime sieve described below produces the divergent and convergent prime numbers, but this is not according to current Prime number theory which in our opinion is misguided. We look forward to larger Manuscript to discuss all this under the new mathematics of axiom of 1:3. The method for the half-line numbers is laborious, and we are confident that we will have an easier method.

These are the half-line tables. In our opinion George Riemann was close to understanding -5, but
he did not understand the half-line. Note the prime numbers on the left column

\[
\begin{align*}
5 - 10 &= -5 \\
7 - 12 &= -5 \\
11 - 12 &= -1 \\
13 - 12 &= +1 \\
17 - 12 &= +5 \\
19 - 16 &= +3 \\
23 - 14 &= +9 \\
29 - 18 &= +11 \\
31 - 18 &= +13 \\
37 - 14 &= +23 \\
41 - 16 &= +25 \\
43 - 16 &= +27 \\
47 - 18 &= +29 \\
53 - 18 &= +35 \\
59 - 20 &= +39 \\
61 - 18 &= +43 \\
67 - 14 &= +53 \\
71 - 18 &= +53 \\
73 - 16 &= +57 \\
79 - 18 &= +61 \\
83 - 24 &= +59 \\
89 - 22 &= +67 \\
97 - 20 &= +77 \\
101 - 18 &= +83 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Now consider the Prime numbers 17, 19, 31, 61, 67 as examples for the half line values:

Number 19: set basis +6 -6

\[
\begin{align*}
10 & \quad 9 \\
-2 & \quad -1 \\
= & \quad = \\
8 & \quad 8 = 16, \text{ is the value for number} \\
19 \text{ is } 16 & \quad + 3 = 19 \text{ and also by set as follows} \\
(10+6=16 \text{ and } 9-6=3, \text{ so the correct half-line multiplier value is as in red below})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
10 & \quad 9 \\
11 & \quad 8 \\
12 & \quad 7 \\
13 & \quad 6 \\
14 & \quad 5 \\
15 & \quad 4 \\
16 & \quad 3 = 19 (10+6= 16, 9-6=3) \\
\end{align*}
\]

Prime number 17: set basis +3 -3

\[
\begin{align*}
9 & \quad 8 \\
-3 & \quad -2 \\
= & \quad = \\
6 & \quad 6 =12, \text{ the value for number 17} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(9 + 3 &= 12 \text{ and } 8 - 3 = 5) \\
9 & \quad 8 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Prime number 31: set basis +2 -2

\[
\begin{align*}
16 & \quad 15 \\
-7 & \quad -6 \\
= & \quad = \\
9 & \quad 9 = 18 \text{ the value for number } 31 \quad 18 + \\
13 = 31 \text{ and also see by set as follows}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
16 & \quad 15 \\
17 & \quad 14 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
18 & \quad 13 \quad (16+2=18 \text{ and } 15-2=13) \text{.}
\end{align*}
\]

Prime number 61 and Prime number 67: set basis is +19 -19

\[
\begin{align*}
31 & \quad 30 \\
-22 & \quad -21 \\
= & \quad = \\
9 & \quad 9 = 18, \text{ the value for number } \\
61 \text{ is } 18 + 43 = 61, \text{ and also by set as} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Follos, and number 67 is 14+53

\[
\begin{align*}
61 & \quad 67 \\
31 & \quad 30 \\
32 & \quad 29 \\
33 & \quad 28 \\
34 & \quad 27 \\
35 & \quad 26 \\
36 & \quad 25 \\
37 & \quad 24 \\
38 & \quad 23 \\
39 & \quad 22 \\
40 & \quad 21 \\
41 & \quad 20 \\
42 & \quad 19 \\
43 & \quad 18 \\
44 & \quad 17 \\
45 & \quad 16 \\
46 & \quad 15 \\
47 & \quad 14 \\
48 & \quad 13 \\
49 & \quad 12 \\
50 & \quad 11 \\
51 & \quad 10 \\
52 & \quad 9 \\
53 & \quad 8 \\
54 & \quad 7 \\
55 & \quad 6 \\
56 & \quad 5 \\
57 & \quad 4 \\
58 & \quad 3 \\
59 & \quad 2 \\
60 & \quad 1 \\
\end{align*}
\]

There is no magic hat, we need to refine everything Determining what set is applicable to what number is predictable is a separate issue, perhaps a complexity, but it is done by us, and needs simplification of the equation. The point to
understand is that as the divergence progresses, the
gap gets bigger between the line numbers and the
divergent number, but the half-line is preserved.
There is a calculus equation to describe this
consistent divergence, but in science there are no
quick solutions.

Reference Table D: Prime number sieve in
divergent and convergent prime numbers.

Demonstrative method only because the
number sets cannot be rearranged. This is a of a
unique new prime number sieve based on a predictive
calculus of numbers .This is a direct mathematical
Prime number sieve with convergent and divergent
prime numbers placement and more importantly the
predictability of the next prime number with a
midline that is divisible by three and that these are
predictable by mathematics (we will provide the
computer program to any one free of charge, that is a
lot easier). We have easily produced a million
numbers, all proven and directly derived, divergent
and convergent prime numbers.

Method for the new sieve producing divergent
and convergent prime numbers:

This produces divergent and convergent
numbers Prime number and for this manuscript it
cannot be shown here because of the difficulty
placing the coordinates, but we will make the
program available to anyone.

Reference F: Memo on the new Mathematics of
Axiom 1:3

This is mathematics of a new plane that has
been discovered by us, which is very difficult, and
rather than we being accused of insanity, we have
offered this extensive work as a future manuscript
exclusively to the editor of JAS.

All we can say is that mathematics is at -1
offset by numbers and by the proportion 1:3, and by
spatial consideration the offset is \( 1/(360/3) =
0.5/60 \) offset

1:3 is represented at a hypotenuse triangle at \( \sqrt{10}, \sqrt{9}, \sqrt{1} \) by \( \frac{360}{19} \) new degrees

1:3 is represented by non–hypotenuse triangle at \( \sqrt{9}, \sqrt{9}, \sqrt{1} \) by 19 new degrees

The non-offset mathematical \( \pi \) value: by a
very novel equation:

\[
\frac{1 + 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{15}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{15}\right)} = \frac{22}{7} \text{ or } 3.142857142
\]

Correct Mathematical Pi with precise offset \( (0.5/60
*15=0.125) \)

\[
\frac{1 + 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{15.125}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{15.125}\right)} = 3.14159292035
\]

8\( \pi \) proof of the correct mathematical Pi

\( 3.14159292035 \times 8 = 25.1274336238 \) Corrected \( \pi \)
value

\( 0.14159292035 / 0.13274336238 = 1+1/15 \) (correct
mathematical \( \pi \)) new \( \pi \) value

Current \( \pi \) Value:

\( 3.14159265358 \times 8 = 25.1327412864 \)

\( 0.14159265358 / \)

\( 0.13274122864=1+1/14.9965948168 \) (current \( \pi \))
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Letter: to Dr Hongbao Ma, Editor, Journal of
American science

One of the authors is a Physician and surgeon,
trained in India, England and America. The other is a dairy
farmer. We have done very complex mathematics and have just
written a private book which no one can understand, so we shy
away from much complexity at least to the outside. We have
been greatly encouraged by the editor Hongbao Ma, who does
not wear too much starch like the others do in science. The
mathematics results are solid, but are based on a new
mathematics plane, how are you going to review the new sieve
in divergence / convergence, which no one understands, but we
can send any one the computer program it works. Dr Hongbao
Ma, we can change the world of science, we do not ask any
favors but we ask understanding of the fact that your current
sciences are imprecise and rigid, or dare I not say this! I am
working on research with a Professor from Vanderbilt on MS
which my wife has. Thank you

Vinoo Cameron/ Theo Den Otter, January 9th 2012

P.S Much corrected, much added!
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