

## Effect of Elemental Sulphur on Solubility of Soil Nutrients and Soil Heavy Metals and Their Uptake by Maize Plants

Safaa, M. Mahmoud; Khaled, S.M. and Hanan, S. Siam

National Research Centre, Plant Nutrition Department, Cairo, Egypt  
[safaaayman@yahoo.com](mailto:safaaayman@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** Pot experiment in green house at national research center was conducted on loamy sand soil (polluted soil by industry wastes) to study the influence of elemental sulphur on solubility of soil Cd, Ni, pb and Cu, Zn, Mn, and their uptake by maize plant. Three rates of elemental sulphur applied (100, 200 and 300 kg/ha). The result showed that with S application at 300Kg/ha, soil pH decreased about 0.7 unit compared with control, extractable sulfate concentration increased with increase S application and the all parameters of plants and the concentration of chlorophyll were increased with increasing rates of sulphur application. The concentration of N, P and K in maize plant was increased with sulphur application. The solubility of the Fe, and Cd was significantly increased in all treatments with S application, however, the solubility of pb and Ni increased little by the treatment with S. soil extractable copper and Zinc concentration remained at a stable level or little change with all treatment of sulphur. Mn, Pb and Cd content in the plant markedly increased with increased rates of sulphur application; on the other hand, Nickel concentration had adverse effects with sulphur application. Application of elemental sulphur can enhance uptake of Zn by Maize plant. Removal of pb and Cd did not significance increase with application of S. because Maize plant was sensitive to the toxicity of pb/Cd.

[Safaa, M. mahmoud and Khaled, S.M. and Hanan, S. Siam. **Effect of Elemental Sulphur on Solubility of Soil Nutrients and Soil Heavy Metals and Their Uptake by Maize Plants.** *J Am Sci* 2013;9(12):19-24]. (ISSN: 1545-1003). <http://www.jofamericanscience.org>. 4

**Keyword:** heavy metal – polluted soil - elemental sulphur – Maize plant – chlorophyll.

### 1. Introduction:

Soil contamination by heavy metals ions important issue around the world because some metals are very toxic to microorganisms, plants and animals. With a rapid increase in industry and city population in most cities Egypt. There is considerable interest in the development of technique for remediation of sites contaminated with heavy metals at minimal cost with the least environmental side effects.

In recent years, phytoremediation (especially phytoextraction) has been considered as a cost – effective approach to remediate soil contaminated by heavy metals. The success of phytoremediation depends on several factors, e.g., plant must produce sufficient biomass while accumulating a high concentration of the certain metal. The metal-accumulating plants also need to be responsive to agricultural practices to allow repeated planting and harvesting of the metal-rich tissues (Blaylock et al., 1997). Therefore, enhancing metal accumulation in existing high yielding crop plants without diminishing their yield is the most feasible strategy in the development of phytoremediation and maize is often used as a metal accumulator in phytoremediation experiments (Huang et al., 1997; Brennan and Shelley, 1999; Bricker et al., 2001).

In the process of phytoremediation, the bioavailability of heavy metals is an important factor.

There are two main approaches that have been used to increase the bioavailability of heavy metals in soils and the mobility of heavy metals within plants: lowering soil pH (Salt et al., 1995; Chlopeka et al., 1996; Blaylock et al., 1997) and adding synthetic chelates (Blaylock et al., 1997) such as ethylenediaminetetra acetic acid (EDTA), nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) and diethylenetriaminepenta acetic acid (DTPA). A decrease in soil pH can be achieved by application of mineral or organic acids or acid-producing fertilizer such as ammonium chloride (Salt et al., 1995; Huang et al., 1997; Wasay et al., 1998). However, these methods have some limitations due to negative effects on the chemical, physical and biological properties of the soil, or may lead to groundwater pollution through leaching. To avoid some of these constraints, the use of elemental S to decrease soil pH and increase the solubility of heavy metals in soils has been suggested (Tichy et al., 1997; Seidel et al., 1998; Kayser et al, 2000). However, more investigation are needed to evaluate the feasibility of this method as a tool for the enhancement of metal solubility and uptake by a metal accumulator, especially in alkaline or neutral soils contaminated by different concentrations of heavy metals when applied 25 kg-ha<sup>-1</sup> in the sulphate kind. The content of Cu, Zn, Mn (except Fe) in the dry mass and uptake these elements by yield of dry mass of potato tuber

was significantly determined by S fertilization. The highest content of Cu and Zn in the dry mass and uptake these elements by yield of dry mass of tuber was after applying 50 kg S-ha<sup>-1</sup> in elemental kind and on the control plots (without sulphur). Content and uptake of Mn by tuber was after applying 50 kg S-ha<sup>-1</sup> in elemental kind and on the control plots (without sulphur). Content and uptake of Mn by tuber was reduced by sulphur fertilization, and the contents and uptake of the Fe by tuber increased as a result of increasing doses of sulphur (although not confirmed that statistically). Elemental sulphur in dose 50 kg-ha<sup>-1</sup> substantially reduced the pH value of the soil. It was a significant correlation between the pH value of the soil and the contents of Cu (negative), Zn (positive) and Mn (different values depending on years of research) in the dry mass and uptake these elements by yield of dry mass of potato tuber (Hanna Klikocka, 2011)

In a study by Kayser et al., (2000), the application of elemental sulfur and a decrease in soil pH increased the solubility of heavy metals.

Some elements, including zinc and copper, precipitate as sulfides and sulfates, to produce forms that are relatively immobile in the soil profile (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias 1992). Cadmium, on the other hand, can form inorganic ligands with S-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (McLaughlin et al., 1996) therefore, the objectives of this study were to investigate the effect at different rates of sulphur (0, 100, 200, 300ppm) on metal solubility of soil and investigate the potential to increase metal uptake and accumulation by Maize plant through application of sulphur.

## 2. Material and Methods:

Pots experiment was conducted in green house at national research centre; loamy sand soil was collected from the top 20 cm of profile in Helwan, Cairo, Egypt. (Pollution soil by industrial wastes) some physical and chemical properties of the use soil are determined and represented in Table (1). Maize (Cv. Giza hybrid 321) was germinated and five uniform seedlings were planted in each pot and thinned to leave two plants in each pot. Soil moisture content was adjusted regularly by weight to about 60% of water holding capacity and the plants were grown for 60 days. The experimental designs were carried out in design randomized was factorial experimental in completely with three replicates in plastic pots; the pots were filled with 10 kg of arid soil.

Elemental Sulphur was applied and mixed thoroughly with the soil before sowing at four rates 0 (S<sub>0</sub>), 100(S<sub>1</sub>), 200 (S<sub>2</sub>), 300 (S<sub>3</sub>). Fertilizer was applied at the rates of 100mg N (as ammonium sulphate) and 200 mg of potassium dihydrogen phosphate as a source for P and K.

The soil samples were taken at 30 and 60 days after sowing or measuring pH value and SO<sub>4</sub> concentration in wetted sample, then air dried, sieved passing through a 2- mm sieve and analyzed for pH, SO<sub>4</sub> and the available of Zn, Mn, Cd, Ni, Pb and Cu in soil (DTPA extractable) and determination by atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The plant sample taken at the end of experiment (60 days after sowing).

**Table (1):** Some chemical and physical properties of the studied soil.

Site	Clay%	Texture	PH (1:2.5)	E.C. (dsm <sup>-1</sup> )	Cations meq/L				Anions meq/L		
					Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	HCO <sub>3</sub>	Cl	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>
Helwan	17.3	Loamy sand	8.5	1.31	11.2	38.0	38.2	44.3	7.6	151	1.45
	OM%	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	DTPA available heavy metals (μg/g)				Total heavy metal (μg/g)				
			Cd	Ni	Pb	Cu	Cd	Ni	Pb	Cu	
	1.05	3.35	0.47	5.9	3.92	4.8	10.7	25.2	20.2	25	

All the plants were harvested after the end of experiment (60days after sowing) and the plant samples were rinsed with distilled water, oven dried at 70°C, ground and digested and analyzed for determined some macronutrients, micronutrients and some heavy metal chlorophyll was also estimated according to Lichtenthaler and Wellburn (1983).

The digested plant solutions were analyzed for N using Micro kjeldoh technique. Micronutrients Fe,

Mn, Zn and Cu determination by atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

## 3. Results and discussion

**Soil pH** Soil pH decreased in all the treatment with application of S compared to control (table 2), one important fact is the oxidation of S by certain groups of acidophilic bacteria notably thibacillus spp. In the soil (Lee et al., 2000). Kayser et al. (2000) reported that adding 36 mol sm<sup>-2</sup> to the soil led to a decrease in

soil pH from 7.2 to 6.9. In the present experiment adding S also acidified the soil especially with high rates at sulphur and at 60 days after application,

which caused the soil pH to decrease about 0.7 units within 60 days compared with control (His Kwierawska et al., 2012 and Yanshan et al. 2003)

**Table (2):** Change in pH and  $SO_4''$  under different rates of S application after 15 and 60 days

Sulphur rates (kg/ha)	30 days		60 days	
	pH	$SO_4''$ mg/100g	pH	$SO_4''$ mg/100g
S <sub>0</sub> : control	8.5	18.5	8.3	40.0
S <sub>1</sub> : 100	8.3	25	8.0	55
S <sub>2</sub> : 200	8.0	40	7.7	105
S <sub>3</sub> : 300	7.8	55	7.6	150

The elemental sulphur addition resulted in a noticeable presence of  $SO_4''$  concentration in the soil. However, the magnitude and timing of sulfate formation differed with the of sulphur application.

Sulfate concentration increase with all rates of sulphur the high concentration obtained with the rate of 300 ppm of sulphur at 60 days.

**Table (3):** Effect of different rates of sulphur on some available nutrients in the soil after experiment (mg/kg soil)

Sulphur rates	Zn	Cu	Mn	Pb	Cd	Ni
Control	0.8	0.31	1.31	3.9	0.32	2.53
100	0.7	0.3	1.52	4.8	0.45	2.81
200	0.9	0.32	1.60	5.2	0.53	2.42
300	0.8	0.34	1.71	6.3	0.65	2.66

Changes in natural heavy metal content of soil fertilized with elemental sulphur at a dose of 0, 100, 200, 300 mg/kg soil were studied after the experimental (Table 3).

At the end of experiment, soil extractable **Zn** concentration change a little with or without S application zinc concentration ranged from 0.7 to 0.9 mg/kg, irrespective of sulfur doses, application of sulphur increase the Zn available in soil. Kayser et al. (2000) demonstrated that the application of elemental sulfur increased zinc solubility in the soil and utilization by plants. Kaya et al. (2009) reported that the application of sulfur and sulphur –containing waste resulted in decrease in soil pH, but it also increased the concentration of nutrients available to plants, such as Zn, Cu and Mn. Different results were obtained by Abou et al. (2011).

**Copper** concentration remained at a stable level in all treatments copper concentration tended to increase in the treatment with a 300mg/kg dose of elemental sulfur fertilization and no significant effect on change in the copper content of soil. (Tichy et al. 1997, Seidel et al. 1998, Martimez et al., 2000).

**Mn, pb, Cd** markedly increased with soil pH decrease for all the rates of sulfur application one of

the adverse effects of sulfur contamination is an increase in manganese solubility and the mobilization of heavy metals from both natural and anthropogenic sources (James and Riha 1984). The highest concentrations of Mn were  $1.71\text{mgkg}^{-1}$  at  $300\text{mgkg}^{-1}$  of sulfur application as the same the pb concentration ( $6.3\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) and Cd ( $0.65\text{mgkg}^{-1}$ ).

**Nickel** content ranged between 2.53 to  $2.81\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ , Nickel content increased with increased rates of sulfur application nickel content depletion was observed in high rate of sulphur probably due to increased nickel uptake by plants. Our results corroborate the findings of Holah et al. (2010).

**Plant dry matter** biomass was also affected by application of S (table 4) The dose of the elemental sulphur in dose of  $300\text{kg/ha}^{-1}$  cause highest weight of shoots and roots of maize plants but change in weight of dry matter of shoots a little with or without sulphur application one of those possibilities was that the soil pH decreased, which may have introduced of the heavy metal. Grains and phatak, (2002) found that increase sulphur levels significantly increased the dry weight of corn, soybean, tomato, cowpea laps and soy bean roots. phytotoxicity by increasing the solubility and bio availability

**Table (4):** Some parameters of Maize plant and some micronutrients content under different rates of sulphur application.

Sulphur rate kg/ha	Fresh weight (g/plant)	dry weight (g/plant)	F.w root(g/plant)	D.w. root(g/plant)	Chlorophyll mg/gm	N%	P%	K%
0	109	13.7	24.3	3.4	0.62	1.76	0.53	1.6
100	111	14.7	28.7	5.5	0.60	2.27	0.63	2.6
200	119	13.8	39.6	6.4	0.73	2.5	0.66	2.0
300	124	16.4	42.7	8.2	0.86	2.3	0.7	2.7

Koyser et al. (2000) reported that shoot weight in some plants did not significantly with S application. Hanna and Klokocka (2001) reported that, the yield of dry mass was greatest when applied 25kg/ha S in sulphate and elemental kind.

Addition of elemental sulphur increased the total chlorophyll content especially when addition 300kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. This might be due to the acidification of soil caused by the oxidation of sulphur. (Rending and McComb, 1991) indicated that the chlorotic condition of leaves in sulphur deficient plants was visual evidence of disturbance in photosynthesis. They suggested that severe deficiency enough to disrupt normal photosynthesis would ultimately be reflected on the changes in the kinds and amounts of carbohydrates.

**Nitrogen:** Application of Sulphur was more effective concerning N concentration. It was observed that addition of 300 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the nitrogen concentration to 2.3% as compared with control (1.76%) oxidation of sulphur may regulate the pH of soil, (McNaught and Chrisoffels, 1991) indicated that sulphur addition enhanced nodulation, sulphur may have increased nodulation by increasing growth and nitrogen demand.

**P-concentration,** data in Table (4). Results revealed that application of sulphur increased the p-

concentration due to the acidification effect of the rhizosphere through oxidation products of sulphur which can mobilize considerable amounts of phosphorus. (Khater, 1981) showed that application of sulphur generally increased available P in alluvial soil. (Shadfan and Hussein, 1985) found a significant increase in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> extractable P from 10-13.5 ppm by applying 500 ppm S and 8 weeks of incubation in a loamy sand soil.

**Potassium** concentration affected by S-application K-concentration increased when added sulphur at rate 200kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, but gave the same value with 100 or 300kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In field experiments, (Singh et al. 1995) found that, application increased concentration of N, K and S of groundnuts plants grown on alkaline calcareous soils. Also, added that pH of leaf sap, P and Fe concentration were significantly reduced by sulphur application.

#### **Some micro nutrients and heavy metal in maize plant**

Table (5) indicated that sulphur application affected highly Fe content, Fe content increased with increased sulphur rates this may be attributed to formed sulphuric acid and consequently decreased soil pH which increased iron availability in the soil however, no significant change could be observed in Mn or Cu content relation to rates of S-application.

**Table (5):** some micronutrient content and some heavy metal in maize plant under effect of sulphur (mg/kg)

Sulphur rates (kg/ha)	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu	Cd	Ni	Pb
0	163	127	38	11.4	46.5	6.53	2.86
100	180	124	36	9.7	4.8	8.5	2.91
200	211	115	38	8.8	5.1	6.2	3.0
300	256	97	39	13.7	5.5	6.5	3.1

On the other hand, the concentrations of Pb, Zn and Cd in plants increased with the increased application of sulphur than without sulphur.

It is well known that Pb is an immobile metal in soil since it readily forms a precipitate with a low aqueous solubility within the soil matrix and in many cases it is not readily bioavailable, especially in alkaline or neutral soil (Blaylock et al., 1997; Martinez and Motto, 2000). In addition, many plants retain Pb in their roots via sorption and precipitation

with only minimal transport to the aboveground harvestable plants portion (Kumar et al., 1995; Huang and Cunningham, 1996; Brennan and Shelley, 1999). In the present experiment, the increase of Pb concentration in maize plant is lower than that of Zn and Cd.

Compared to without application of S, concentrations of Zn in maize plant increased by 18.75 and 21.8% with application of S at the rate of 200, 300 kg. ha<sup>-1</sup>. (Biddappa et al., 2002) found that

the Pb and Cu ions were less mobility than other heavy metal under the lead

Concentration of Cd in lettuce plant increased by 13.3 and 22.2% with application of S at the rate of 200 and 300 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5). There are a number of reasons for this result in high plant uptake and accumulation of these heavy metals; however, the main reason is most probably that the increase of solubility of Zn and Cd leads to an improvement in metal bioavailability with a decrease of soil pH by application of S. There are certain groups of acidophilic soil bacteria in the soil, predominantly the genus thiobacillus, which can oxidize S and change it to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> when soil pH has decreased (Lee et al., 1998; Tichy et al., 1997; Kayser et al., 2000). M-claughlin et al. (1998) reported that increasing SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> in soil could increase shoot Cd concentration, In the present experiment the increasing SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> in soil were given may be cause that increased the Cd content.

#### Conclusions:

Soil pH-decreased and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentration increased with S application and the solubility of the Zn and Cd was significantly increased in all treatments with S application, however, the solubility of pb, and Ni increased little by the treatment with S. application of elemental sulphur can enhance uptake of Zn by lettuce. Removal of pb and Cd did not significance increase with application of S. because maize was sensitive to the toxicity of pb/Cd.

Although the application of S can increase uptake of some metals by plants by enhancing metal mobility, there is also a potential risk of movement risk, an appropriate in the field so that environmental risk can be minimized.

#### Corresponding author

**Safaa, M. Mahmoud**

National Research Centre, Plant Nutrition Department, Cairo, Egypt  
[safaaayman@yahoo.com](mailto:safaaayman@yahoo.com)

#### Reference

1. Abdo, A.S. Da -wi h F.H.; Saloh M.E.; El-Tarabily K.A.; Sofian.-Azirun M.; Monitor R.M. (2011): Effects of elemental sulfur, phosphorus, micronutrients; and Paracoccus versants on nutrient availability of calcareous soils. Australian journal of Crop Science, 5: 554-561.
2. Biddappa, C.C.; Chino and Kumazawa. K. (2002).migration of heavy metals in two jappanese soils, plant and soil. 167:205-22.
3. Blaylock MJ, Salt DE, Dushenkov S, Zakharova O, Gussman C, Kapulnik Y, (1997). Enhanced accumulation of Pb in Indian mustard by soil-applied chelating agents. Environ Sci.Technol ; 31: 860-5.
4. Brennan MA, Shelley ML. (1999). A model of the uptake, translocation, and accumulation of lead (Pb) by maize for the purpose of phytoextraction. Ecol Eng. 12: 271-97.
5. Bricker TJ, Pichtel J, Brown HJ, Simmons M. (2001). Phytoextraction of Pb and Cd from superfund soil: effects of amendments and cropping. J. Environ Sci Health, A 36: 1597-610.
6. Chlopecka A, Bacon JR, Wilson MJ, Kay J. (1996). Forms of cadmium, lead, and zinc in contaminated soils from southwest Poland. J. Environ Quall, 25: 69-79.
7. Degryse F, Broos K, Smolders E, Merckx R. (2003). Soil solution concentration of Cd and Zn can be predicted with CaCl<sub>2</sub> soil extract. Eur J Soil Sci. 54:149-57.
8. Graines, T.p and phatak, S.C. (2002). Sulphur and organic matter relationship and their on availability of some micronutrient and wheat yied in calcareous soil. Midd east sulphur symposium 20-24 Feb. Cairo. Egypt.
9. Hanna Klikocka (2011): The effect of sulphur kind and dose on content and uptake of micronutrients by potato tubers (solanum tuberosum L.) Acta Sci. Pol., Hortorum Cults 10 (2), 137-151.
10. Holah Sh.Sh., Karoel M.M., Taalab A.S. Hanan S. Siam, Eman A. Abd El Rahman (2010): Effect of elemental sulfur and peanut compost on the uptake of Ni and Pb in basil and peppermint plants grown in polluted soil. International journal of Academic Research, 2: 211-219.
11. Huang JW. Cunningham S. (1996). Lead phytoextraction: species variation in lead uptake and translocation. New Phytol; 134:75-84.
12. Huang, JW, Chen JJ, Berti WR, Cunningham SD. (1997). Phytoremediation of lead-contaminated soils: role of synthetic chelates in lead phytoextraction. Environ Sci Technol; 31: 800-5.
13. Kabata- Pendias A., Pendias H. (1992): Trace elements in soils and plants. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. CRC Press Inc. Boca Raton, Florida.
14. Kayser A, Wenger K, Keller A, Attinger W, Felix HR, Gupta SK, (2000). Enhancement of phytoextraction of Zn, Cd, and Cu from calcareous soil: the use of NTA and sulphur amendments. Environ Sci Technol; 34: 1778-83.
15. Kaya, M., Kucukyumuk Z., Erdal I. (1992). Effects of elemental sulfur and sulfur – containing waste on nutrient concentrations and

- growth of bean and corn plants grown on calcareous soil. *African journal of biotechnology*, 8: 448 -449.
16. Khater, A.M.H. (1981). A study of sulphur and petroleum by products as efficient materials affecting the availability of ceratin nutrient in soils. M.Sc. thesis, Fac. Of Agric., Ain Shams Univ.
  17. Kumar PBAN, Dushenkov V, Motto H and Raskin (1995). Phytoextraction: the use of plants to remove heavy metals from soils. *Environ Sci. Technol*, 29:1232-8.
  18. Lee A, Boswell CC, Watkinson JH. (1988). Effect of particle size on the oxidation of elemental sulphur, thiobacilli numbers, soil sulfate and its availability to pasture. *NZ J Agric Res*. 31:179 86.
  19. Lichetoem thaler, H.K. and A.R. weilbur (1983).Determination of total coroteniods and chlorophyll a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Biochem. Soc. Tans.*, 11:591-592.
  20. Martinez CE, Motto HE. (2000). Solubility of lead and copper added to mineral soils. *Environ Pollut*.107:153-8.
  21. Mclaughlin M.J., Tiller K.G., Neidu R., Stewers DE (1996). Review: The behavior and environmental impact of contaminants in fertilizers *Australian Journal of soil Research* 34:1-54.
  22. McNaught, K.J. and Christoffels, P.J.E., (1991). Effect of sulphur deficiency on sulphur and nitrogen levels in pastures and leucerne. *N.Z.J. Agric. Res*. 34.177-196.
  23. M. Skwierawska, L.Zawartka, A. Skwierawska and A. Nogalska (2011). The effect of different sulfur doses and forms on changes on soil metal, plant soil enviro., 58,(3): 135-140.
  24. Rending, V.V and Mc Comb, E.A. (1991). Effect of nutritional stress on plant composition. II. Changes in sugar and amide nitrogen content of normal and sulphur deficient alfalfa during growth. *Plant and soil*. 78:176-186.
  25. Salt DE, Blaylock MJ, Kumar NPBA, Dushenkov V, Ensely BD, Chet I, (1995). A novel strategy for removal of toxic metals from the environment using plants. *Biotechnology*, 13: 468-74.
  26. Seidel H, Ondruschka J, Morgenstern P, Stottmeister U. (1998). Bioleaching of heavy metals from contaminated aquatic sediments using indigenous sulphur –oxidizing bacteria: a feasibility study. *Water Sci. Technol* ; 37: 387-94.
  27. Shadfan, H. and Hussen, A.A. (1985). Effect of sulphur application on the availability of P, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu in selected Saudi Soils. *Proc 2nd Arab regional conf. on sulphur and it's used*, Vol.1, pp3-23.
  28. Sing, J.P., Marwaha R.S., and Srivastaava O.P. (1995). Processing and nutritive qualities of potato tuber as affected by fertilizer nutrients and sulphur application. *J. Indian potato Ass*. 22 (1-2), 32-37.
  29. Tichy R, Feitl J, Kuzel S., Kolar L. (1997): Use of elemental sulfur to enhance a cadmium solubilization and its vegetative removal from contaminated soil. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 46: 249-255.
  30. Wasay SA, Barrington SF, Tokunaga S. (1998). Remediation of soils polluted by heavy metals using salts of organic acids and chelating agents. *Environ Technol*; 19:369-79.
  31. Yanshan Cui, Yiting Dong, Haifeng Li and Qingren Wang (2004). Effect of elemental sulphur on solubility of soil heavy metals and their uptake by maize. *Environment* 30, 323-328.

10/12/2013