# Molecular Characterisation of Lipolytic Fungi Isolated From Meat Products

Ashraf A. Abd El-Tawab<sup>1</sup>; Eman M. El-Diasty<sup>2</sup>; Gamal R. Hassab El-Naby<sup>2</sup>; Fatma I. El-Hofy<sup>1</sup>; Abd El-hady M. Fkhreldeen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bacteriology, Immunology and Mycology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Mycology Department, Animal Health Research Institute Dokki, Giza, Egypt

<sup>3</sup> M.V.Sc. Cairo University, Egypt

dr noor 106@yahoo.com

Abstract: This work was carried out to evaluate the fungal quality of luncheon, basterma and minced meat sold in different groceries and supermarkets in Gharbia Governorate under different trade names, as well as to evaluate lipolytic activity of isolated fungi and molecular characterization of some of this lipolytic fungi isolated from meat products. The mean total mould counts were  $3X10^2\pm1.2X10^2$ ,  $2.7X10^2\pm3.9X10$  and  $5.2X10^3\pm1.9X10^3$ cfu/g for luncheon, basterma and minced meat, respectively. In the examined samples, 7 mould genera were identified. The isolated mould species were Aspergillus sp, Alternaria sp, Endomyces sp, Euroteium sp, Penicillium sp, Monascas sp and Geotrichum sp were isolated from the examined samples at varying percentages. Aspergillus was represented by 4 species, A. flavus and A. niger were isolated from examined meat product, while A. ochraceous and A. roseoglobulasus were isolated from basterma only. A total of 55 isolates belonging to 17 species were tested for their ability to produce lipolytic enzyme. Four lipolytic A. niger isolates were subjected to PCR identification, and were sequenced in both directions. Sequences were analysed and aligned by Clustal method using the program of DNA star (Laser-gene, Wisconsin, USA).

[Ashraf A. Abd El-Tawab; Eman M. El-Diasty; Gamal R. Hassab El-Naby; Fatma I. El-Hofy; Abd El-hady M. Fkhreldeen. **Molecular Characterisation of Lipolytic Fungi Isolated From Meat Products.** *J Am Sci* 2019;15(4):8-16]. ISSN 1545-1003 (print); ISSN 2375-7264 (online). <a href="http://www.jofamericanscience.org">http://www.jofamericanscience.org</a>. 2. doi:10.7537/marsjas150419.02.

**Key word**: A. niger, lipolytic fungi, meat product

### 1. Introduction

Undoubtedly the main source of animal protein is meat and it's products and it is also a high source of several vitamins and minerals, and so meat products are considered a favourable food as it's easy to buy, fast to cook so it's the first choice for many people to eat. Meat products may contaminate with one of the most dangerous microbial hazards represented in moulds. (Morshdy et al., 2015)

Meat products become contaminated during processing and handling operations. **Dijksterhuis and Samson.** (2002)

Lipases (triacylglycerol acylhydrolase, EC 3. 1. 1. 3) are hydrolytic enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of fats and oils to glycerol and free fatty acids at the oil-water interface (Jooyandeh et al., 2009). A considerable number of fungal and bacterial lipases have been commercially produced with the former being preferable, because fungi generally produce extracellular enzymes, Extracellular secretion of lipase enzyme has been well studied for a number of fungi, primarily Zygomycetes, hyphomycetes, and yeasts. In meat, lipid hydrolysis can take place enzymatically or non-enzymatically. The enzymatic hydrolysis of fats is termed lipolysis or fat deterioration and is governed by specific enzymes

such as lipases, estarases and phospholipase. Lipolytic enzymes could either be endogenous of the food product (such as milk) or derived from psychrotrophic microorganisms (Ghaly et al., 2010). During lipolysis, lipases split the glycerides forming free fatty acids which are responsible for common off-flavour, frequently referred to as rancidity (Huis in't Veld, 1996 and FAO, 1986). The main enzymes involved in meat lipid hydrolysis are phospholipase A1 and phospholipase A2 (Toldra, 2006). Lipid hydrolysis process is regiospecific and involved three steps of biosynthetic pathway: cleavage of triacylglycerol, acyl migration and cleavage of 1-monoacyl-sn-glycerol. (Belitz et al., 2009; Christie, 2010)

Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) regions have been successfully used to generate specific primers capable of differentiating closely related fungal species. Analysis of the ITS is also sensitive enough to identify intraspecific variation that is not apparent from morphological analysis. (Abd-Elsalam et al., 2004)

This study was carried out to throw light on the incidence of lipolytic fungi in meat products with molecular characterisation of this lipolytic fungi existing in such products.

# 2. Material and methods Collection of samples

A grand total of 60 random samples representing table luncheon (20), basterma (20) and minced meat (20) were collected under different trade names then were kept in sterile polyethylene bags and preserved in an ice box then transferred to the laboratory under complete aseptic condition without undue delay to be examined mycologically.

Food homogenate preparation: according to APHA (2001).

**Determination of total mould count** according to the technique recommended by **(ISO, 2008)**:

Identification of mould isolates: according to the technique recommended by Pitt and Hocking (2009), Frisvad and Samson. (2004)

**Detection of lipolytic activity:** according to Sunitha et al., (2013)

We used the sensitive plate assay for detection of lipase activity in growing cultures (10, 11). Detection of lipase activity was performed on Peptone Agar medium supplemented with Tween 20 separately sterilized and added 1% to the medium. At the end of the incubation period, a visible precipitate around the colony due to the formation of calcium salts of the lauric acid liberated by the enzyme indicated positive lipase activity.

Fungal Mycelium Preparation and DNA Isolation: Fungal mycelium was prepared from pure culture using 50 ml of SDA broth in 100 ml conical flasks and was incubated at 25±1°C for 7 days. Mycelia from 50 ml broth were harvested by filtration through Whatman sterile filter paper and the fungal genomic DNA was isolated (Rohlf, 1998). After DNA extraction, lipase-producing fungi were identified via ITS-PCR of rDNA region with ITS1 and ITS4 primers. PCR amplification conditions for A. niger were: 5 min initial step followed by 38 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 5C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1.5 min and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. Amplification products were electrophoresed in agarose gels (3% w/v) (Agarose, Sigma, USA). And stained with

ethidium bromide using Gene Ruler 100bp DNA Ladder (Fermentas Company, Cat.No.SM0243, USA). Finally, we made sequencing to the PCR product on GATC Company by use ABI 3730xl DNA sequencer by using forward and reverse primers. Only by combining the traditional Sanger technology with the new 454 technology, can genomes now be sequenced and analyzed in half the usual project time, with a considerable reduction in the number of coatings and gaps. In addition, considerable cost advantages now make genome sequencing with the 454-technology accessible to the research community. Sequences were analyzed and aligned by Clustal method using the program DNA star. (Laser-gene, Wisconsin, USA)

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Meat is preferred by millions of people all over the world as a major source of animal protein. But meat is considered a favourable media for growth of various pathogens, so could be spoiled rapidly. The decomposition of different constituents of meat including protein and fat resulting in bad odours and other unfavourable changes. Therefore, spoilage of meat and meat products should be limited and controlled to protect the human consumers from infection and allergy (Aksoy et al., 2014). In the present study, the mean mould count was  $3X10^2$  $\pm 1.2 \times 10^{2}$ .  $2.7X10^2 \pm 3.9X10$ 5.2X10<sup>3</sup>±1.9X10<sup>3</sup>cfu/g in luncheon, basterma and minced meat, respectively as described in Table (1). The results of luncheon samples nearly similar to those obtained by Shaltout and Salem (2000) and Elsayed et al (2018) reported that the mean mould luncheon and minced was1.3x102±2.1x10 cfu/g and 2.8x102±4.3x10cfu /g, respectively, while higher prevalence was recorded by El-Tabiv (2006) who reported that the mean mould count in luncheon and minced meat were  $1.3 \times 10^4 \pm$  $9.3 \times 10^3$  and  $2.1 \times 10^6 \pm 6.1 \times 10^5$  cfu/g, respectively. Hussein (2008) who reported that mean mould count of sausage.  $(2.26 \times 10^2 \pm 0.58 \times 10^2 \text{ cfu/g})$ 

Table (1): Incidence of mould isolated from meat products (No=20 for each product):

Meat products	No of examined samples	No of positive mould samples	Min.	Max.	Mean $\pm$ SE
Luncheon	20	9	3X10	$8.5X10^{2}$	$3X10^2 \pm 1.2X10^2$
Basterma	20	20	2X10	$5.2X10^{2}$	$2.7X10^2 \pm 3.9X10$
Minced meat	20	14	$3.3X10^{2}$	$7.8X10^{3}$	$5.2X10^3 \pm 1.9X10^3$

Mould contamination of meat and meat products may occur during slaughtering of the animals, transportation, or during processing of meat products through the use of contaminated equipments or contaminated additives and spices which considered the most important source of mould contamination in meat products. (Jay et al., 2005)

The data obtained from table (2) declared that 7 mould genera could be isolated and identified from the examined meat samples. The identified mould genera were; Aspergillus, Alternaria, Endomyces spp, Penicillium spp, Monascas spp Geotrichum spp. In addition, that 4 Aspergillus species could be identified from meat product samples. The most predominant

species were; Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus niger which were present in 4 (20%), 4 (20%), 2(10 %), 2 (10 %), 4 (20%), and 3(15%), respectively, in Luncheon, Basterma and Minced meat. A. flavus and A. niger were present in all examined meat samples. A. ochraceous and A. roseoglobulasus were only present in Basterma. Incidence of Penicillium species isolated from examined meat samples revealed that P. citreonigrum, P. glabrum P. polonicum, P.citrinum and P.simplicissim were the most predominant species isolated from Luncheon with frequency of 1(5%). In addition, the result achieved in table (2) it is obvious that P. crusteoum, P. paneum and P. brevicompactum were isolated from the Basterma samples only.

The results illustrated in table (3) showed A.niger, A. flavus, A.ochraceus, P. citreonigresum, P. glabrum P.cirtinun, P. crusteoum, P. paneum, P. brevicompactum and P. simplicissimum were having lipolytic activity with a visible precipitate around the colony due to the formation of calcium salts of the lauric acid liberated by the enzyme indicated positive lipase activity. These results nearly similar to those obtained by Nasser (2002), Shaltout (2002), Subash, et al. (2005), Soliman and Shalaby (2008), Korashy and Wahbba (2008), El-Diasty and Salem (2009) Ouf et al. (2010) and Shaltout et al. (2014)

Table (2): Incidence of isolated mould from meat products (No=20 for each product):

Isolated mould ganage	Luncheon		Baster	Basterma		meat
Isolated mould genera	No	%	No	%	No	%
Aspergillus spp	6	30	14	70	5	25
A. flavus	4	20	4	20	2	20
A. niger	2	10	4	20	3	15
A. ochraceous	-	-	5	25	-	-
A.roseoglobulasus	-	-	1	5	-	-
Alternaria spp	1	5	2	10	-	-
Endomyces spp	-	-	-	-	7	35
Eurotium spp	2	10	-	-	-	-
Penicillium spp	5	25	6	30	-	-
P.citreonigrum	1	5	_	-	-	-
P.glabrum	1	5	_	-	-	-
P.polonicum	1	5	-	-	-	-
P.citrinum	1	5	-	-	-	-
P.crusteoum	-	-	2	10	-	-
P.paneum	-	-	2	10		-
P.brevicompactum	-	-	2	10		-
P.simplicissim	1	5	-	-	-	-
Monascas spp	1	5	1	5	-	-
Geotrichum spp	1	5	-	-	4	20

These PCR systems described to date are useful only in identifying the genus Aspergillus as a whole or the single species. The ITS region contains variable elements that allow for sequence-based identification of Aspergillus species (Iwen et al., 2002); therefore, the region offers a possible template for design of species-specific primers for identification of the major pathogenic species. Most previous publications on PCR-based detection or identification systems for Aspergillus spp. were based on using 18S or 28S rDNA as target DNA. However, the sequences in these regions are conserved across a wide range of fungi; it is therefore difficult to design truly speciesspecific primers. As reported previously, the more variable ITS regions have proven more useful for identification of fungal species Makimura. (2001)

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) amplification of ITS region of rRNA genes with ITS1 and ITS 4 primers yielded distinct DNA bands for all representative isolates investigated DNA sequences of Aspergillus niger identified by similarity searches in the GenBank gave.

Molecular biological identification systems for pathogenic aspergilli have been suggested as a solution to this problem: for example, a PCR based diagnostic method for detecting the genus Aspergillus using 18S rDNA has been designed (Makimura et al., 1994 and Yamakami et al., 1996). Systems have also been described for specific detection of Aspergillus niger with primers based on regions of the 28S rDNA (Henry et al., 2000 and Zhao et al., 2001) or of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) 1 and 2 regions of ribosomal DNA (rDNA). These PCR systems

described to date are useful only in identifying the genus Aspergillus as a whole or the single species A. niger. The ITS region contains variable elements that

allow for sequence-based identification of Aspergillus species.

Table (3):	Lipolytic	activity	of mould	on tween	80 media:
------------	-----------	----------	----------	----------	-----------

strains	No of examined strains	positive strains	No of negative strains	
Strains	No of examined strains	No of positive strains Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)		
A.niger	15	15	16-17	-
A.flavus	16	9	10	7
A.ochraceus	5	5	19	-
A.roseoglobulasus	1	-	-	1
P.citreonigresum	4	4	9	-
P.glabrum	2	2	7	-
P.polonicum	1	-	-	1
P.cirtinun	1	1	12	-
P.crusteoum	2	2	17	-
P.paneum	3	3	12-13	-
P.brevicompactum	2	2	10-11	-
P.simpliccissim	3	3	7	-
Alternaria sp	3	-	-	3
Endomyces sp	7	-	-	7
Euroteium spp	2	2	6	-
Monoscasp spp	2	-	-	2
Geotrichum spp	5	5	13-14	-




Figure (1): 1.5 % of agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR product with ITS for A.niger

Lane1 Molecular weight marker, Lane2-4 A. niger.

Three A.niger isolates has lipolytic activity on peptone agar medium supplemented with tween 20 were examined by molecular methods polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with using ITS primer. PCR products of A.niger strains were positive on agarose

gel electrophoresis of PCR amplification products. (Figure 1)

The PCR products of the one A.niger isolate was sequenced and aligned with references in the NCBI database. The sequences had 98.1% identity with Aspergillus spp. sequences deposited in the NCBI database. Nucleotide analysis in figure (2) showed greater than 98% similarity for the entire sequence of the one sequenced strain A.niger-AAE-EG017 in comparison of 10 strains of Aspergillus Spp. The sequence similarity for the strains of A.niger-SAM6, A.niger-36, A.tubingensis-ND9, A.niger-129B, A.niger-FIS17, A.tubingensis-G4-14, A.tubingensis-F43-01, A.niger-AL-29, A.awamori-ND6 and A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 were 100 %, 100%, 100%, 100%, 99.7%, 99.4 %,99.7%, 99.7% and 99.7 %. ( figure 2).

Phylogenetic analysis showed that A.niger-AAE-EG017 accession no. (MH590624) was isolated but in different branches, away from A.niger-SAM6,A.niger-AL-29,A.niger-36,A.niger-129B,A.niger-FIS1 and A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 but remained in the same cluster. While A.niger-AAE-EG017 accession no. (MH590624) still in the same group of A.tubingensis-G4-14. (figure 3)

Of the strain of A. niger studied, ITS could be amplified from three strains. The A.niger strain is readily distinguished from those of the other species by the 17 base insertion (TCCAGCATCGAATGGG) at nucleotides 1–17, and their distinctive nucleotide changes (AGGA) at the following positions: 4-15-16-17. Some of these variations result in nucleotide lead to amino acid changes. (Figure 4)




Figure (2): Nucleotides identity of sequences of different Aspergillus spp.




Figure (3): Phylogenetic tree of **Nucleotides** sequences of different Aspergillus spp.

Majority	TCCGGCATCGA-TG	AAGAACGCAGC	GAAATGCGAT	AACTAATGTG	AATTG
	10	20	30	40	+ 50
A.nig-AAE-EG017	TCCAGCATCGAATG	GGAAACGCAGC			+ \TTTC
A.niger-SAM6	G				
A.niger-36	G				
A.tubingensis-ND9	G				
A.niger-129B	G				
A.niger-FIS17	G	AAG			A
A.tubingensis-G4-14	G				
A.tubingensis-F43-01					
A.niger-AL-29	G				
A.awamori-ND6	G				
A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5	G	AAG			. А
Majority	CAGAATTCAGTGAA				
	60	70	80	90	100
		+			0.7
A.nig-AAE-EG017	CAGAATTCAGTGAA				
A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36					
A.tubingensis-ND9					
A.niger-129B					
A.niger-FIS17					
A.tubingensis-G4-14		– –		–	c
A.tubingensis-F43-01				–	<b>Y</b>
A.niger-AL-29					
2				–	–
A.awamori-ND6				–	c
A.awamori-ND6				–	c
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5	TGGTATTCCGGG		  TCCGA-GCGT	CATTGCTGCC	CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5	TGGTATTCCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG	  TCCGA-GCGT +	CATTGCTGCCC	C C CTCAA + 150
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority	TGGTATTCCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	C C CTCAA + 150
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6	TGGTATTCCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG 120 GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT 130+ TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA 150 +
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG 120 GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT+ 130+ TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA + 150 +
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9	TGGTATTCCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT+ 130+ TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA + 150 +
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B	TGGTATTCCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT+ 130+ TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA + 150 + CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17	TGGTATTCCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 +  TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA + 150 + CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	C C CTCAA + 150 + CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingersis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA  150  CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA+ 150+ CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA+ 150+
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC  140 +	CTCAA  150+ CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GTTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT  TCCCCCTCTC 180	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA  150+ CTCAA + CTCAA  CTCAA  CTCAA  CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-1517 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GGGCATGCCTG  TTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC	CTCAA+ 150+ CTCAA+ CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GGGCATGCCTG  TTGGGTCGCCG  170	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC  140 +  CATTGCTGCCCC  CATTGCTGCCCC  190  CGGGGGGGACGC	CTCAA+ 150+ CTCAA+ CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GTTGGGTCGCCG  170  TTTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT	CGGGGGGGACGC	CTCAA  150+ CTCAA + CTCAA + CTCAA + CTCAA + CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GTTGGGTCGCCG  170  GTTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130  TCCGAAGCGT  TCCGAAGCGT  TCCCCCTCTC 180  TCCCCCTCTC	CATTGCTGCCC  140 +  CATTGCTGCCCC  CATTGCTGCCCC  190  CGGGGGGACGC	CTCAA  150+ CTCAA  150+ CTCAA   GGCCC+ GGCCC
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GTTGGGTCGCCG  170  GTTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 +	CATTGCTGCCC  140  CATTGCTGCCC  CATTGCTGCCC  190  CGGGGGGGACGC	CTCAA  150+ CTCAA  CTCAA  200 CGCCC
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  TTGGGTCGCCG  170  TTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT  180  TCCCCCTCTC	CATTGCTGCCC  140  CATTGCTGCCCC  CATTGCTGCCCC  190  CGGGGGGGACGC	CTCAA  150+ CTCAA + CTCAA + CTCAA  CTCAA  CTCAA  CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GTTGGGTCGCCG  170  GTTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC  140  CATTGCTGCCC  CATTGCTGCCC  190  CGGGGGGACGC	CTCAA+ 150+ CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GGGCATGCCTG  TTGGGTCGCCG  170  TTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT	CATTGCTGCCC  140	CTCAA+ 150+ CTCAA+ CTCAA+ CTCAA+ CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-129B A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  GGGCATGCCTG  TTGGGTCGCCG  170  TTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 + TCCGAAGCGT  TCCCCCTCTC 180 TCCCCCTCTC	CATTGCTGCCC  140 +  CATTGCTGCCCC  CATTGCTGCCCC  190  CGGGGGGGACGC	CTCAA+ 150+ CTCAA+ CTCAA+ GGCCC+ GGCCC
A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-G4-14	TGGTATT—CCGGG	GGGCATGCCTG  120  GGGCATGCCTG  TTGGGTCGCCG  170  TTTGGGTCGCCG	TCCGA-GCGT  130 +	CATTGCTGCCC  140  CATTGCTGCCCC  CATTGCTGCCCC  190  CGGGGGGGACGC	CTCAA+ 150+ CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA CTCAA

Figure (4): Clustal W Alignment of Nucleotides sequences of A.niger-AAE-EG017compared to different Aspergillus spp.

Majority	GAAAGGCAGCGGCGG				
	210	220	230	240	250
A.nig-AAE-EG017	GAAAGGCAGCGGCGG				
A.niger-SAM6					
A.niger-36					
A.tubingensis-ND9					
A.niger-129B					
A.niger-FIS17					
A.tubingensis-G4-14					
A.tubingensis-F43-01					
A.niger-AL-29					
A.awamori-ND6					
A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5					
Majority	CACATGCTCTGTAGG				
	260	270	280	290	300
A.nig-AAE-EG017	CACATGCTCTGTAGG				
A.niger-SAM6					
A.niger-36					
A.tubingensis-ND9					
A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17					
A.tubingensis-G4-14					
A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01					
A.niger-AL-29					
A.awamori-ND6					
A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5					
gor Lgjpo Loo o					
	mmmoos commos com	OCCA MON COM	ACCC3 M3 CCCC	от съветива	GCAT
Majority	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT				
Majority	310	320	330	340	+ 350
	310	320 +	330 +	340 +	+ 350 +
A.nig-AAE-EG017	310 	320 + CGGATCAGGT	330 + AGGGATACCC	340 + GCTGAACTTAA	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6	310 	320 + CGGATCAGGT	330 + AGGGATACCC	340 + GCTGAACTTAA	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36	310 	320 + CGGATCAGGT	330 + AGGGATACCC	340 + GCTGAACTTAA	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9	310 	320 +	330 	340 + GCTGAACTTAA	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B	310 	320 +	330 AGGGATACCC	340 + GCTGAACTTAA	350 + AGCAT
Majority A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14	310 	320 +	330 AGGGATACCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14	310 	320 + CGGATCAGGT	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01	310 	320 + CGGATCAGGT	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 + GCTGAACTTAA	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01	310 	320 + CGGATCAGGT	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 + GCTGAACTTAA	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT	320 + CGGATCAGGT	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT	320 +	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5	310	320 +	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT	320 +	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT	320 +	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority A.nig-AAE-EG017	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  360	320 +	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.nig-SAM6	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT  TTTCCAGGTTGACCT  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG	GAAXXX+- 370+- 370+- GAAAAA	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  360  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG	320 +	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT  TTTCCAGGTTGACCT  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  360  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG	GAAXXX+- 370+- GAAAAA	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  360  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG	GAAXXX+- GAAAAA	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A. nig-AAE-EG017 A. niger-SAM6 A. niger-36 A. tubingensis-ND9 A. niger-129B A. niger-FIS17 A. tubingensis-G4-14 A. tubingensis-F43-01 A. niger-AL-29 A. awamori-ND6 A. niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A. nig-AAE-EG017 A. niger-SAM6 A. niger-36 A. niger-36 A. tubingensis-ND9 A. niger-129B A. niger-FIS17	TTTCCAGGTTGACCT  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG	GAAXXX+- GAAAAA	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A. nig-AAE-EG017 A. niger-SAM6 A. niger-36 A. tubingensis-ND9 A. niger-129B A. niger-FIS17 A. tubingensis-G4-14 A. tubingensis-F43-01 A. niger-AL-29 A. awamori-ND6 A. niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A. nig-AAE-EG017 A. niger-SAM6 A. niger-36 A. niger-36 A. niger-129B A. niger-129B A. niger-FIS17 A. tubingensis-G4-14	ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG	GAAXXX+- GAAAAA	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5 Majority  A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-129B A.niger-129B A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01	ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG	GAAXXX +- 370 +- 370 +-  GAAAAA	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT
A.nig-AAE-EG017 A.niger-SAM6 A.niger-36 A.tubingensis-ND9 A.niger-129B A.niger-FIS17 A.tubingensis-G4-14 A.tubingensis-F43-01 A.niger-AL-29 A.awamori-ND6 A.niger-Egypt-BSU-5	ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG  ATCAATAAGGCGGAG	GAAXXX +- 370 +- 370 +-  GAAAAA	330 AGGGATACCCC	340 	350 + AGCAT

## Conclusion

This investigation has shown that all meat products Are important Contributors to the transmission of Aspergillus spp (A. niger) which had lipolytic activity and extract ochratoxin A which cause economic loss and toxicity.

#### References

- Abd-Elsalam, K.A.; Aly. I.N.; Abdel-Satar, M.A.; Khalil, M.S. and Verreet, J.A. (2004): PCR identification of Fusarium genus based on nuclear ribosomal DNA sequence data. Afr. J. Biotechnol.;2(4):82–5.
- Aksoy, A.; Sezer, C.; Aydin, D.B. and Güven, A. (2014): The effect of some natural antimicrobial substances on the shelf life of beef. Israel J. of Vet. Med. 69(3):114-122.
- APHA (2001): American Public Health Association: Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Eds. Downes, F.P. and K. Ito. Sheridan Books Inc., Washington D.C., USA.
- 4. Belitz, H.D.; Grosch, W. and Schieberle, P. (2009): Food Chemistry (4th Edition). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, pp. 596.
- Christie, W.W. (2010): Triacylglycerols Part 2. Biosynthesis and Metabolism. AOCS Library, http://lipidlibrary.aocs.org/Lipids/tag2/index.htm
- Dijksterhuis, J. and Samson, R.A. (2002). Food and crop spoilage on storage. In F. Kempken (Ed.), the Mycota. Vol XI Agricultural Applications. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, pp 39-52.
- 7. El-Diasty, E. M. and Salem, R.M. (2009): Incidence of lipolytic and proteolytic fungi in some milk products and their public health significance. Arab J. Biotech., Vol. 12, No. (1) Jan. (2009): 49-56.
- 8. Elsayed, M. E.; Abdelazeem M. A.; El-Diasty, E. M.; Abouelmaatti, R. R. and Abbas, S. M. (2018): Prevalence of Aspergillus spp and Aflatoxins in luncheon, minced meat and sausage. Global Animal Science Journal-GASJ 6(2):17-23.
- 9. El-Tabiy, A. A. (2006): Mycological study on some processed meat products exposed for sale in markets. Assiut Vet. Med. J., 52(110): 121-131.
- FAO (1986): Fisheries Technical Papers–T142.
   The production of fish meal and oil. Fisheries Industries Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy. http://www.fao.org/DOCREP/003/X6899E/X689 9 E00.HTM
- 11. Frisvad, J.C. and Samson R.A. (2004): Polyphasic taxonomy of Penicillium subgenus

- Penicillium. A guide to identification of food and air-borne terverticillate Penicillia and their mycotoxins. Studies in Mycology 49: 1–173.
- 12. Ghaly, A.E.; Dave, D.; Budge, S. and M.S. Brooks, (2010): Fish spoilage mechanisms and preservation techniques: Review. Am. J. Applied Sci., 7: 846-864.
- 13. Henry, T.; Iwen, P.C. and Hinrichs, S.H. (2000): Identification of Aspergillus species using internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2. J Clin. Microbiol; 38: 1510-1515.
- 14. Huis in't Veld, J.H.J. (1996): Microbial and biochemical spoilage of foods: An overview. Int. J. Food Microbiology, 33: 1-18.
- 15. Hussein, M. A. M. (2008): Mycological Aspect of Fresh and Processed Meat Products with Special Respect to Proteolytic and Lipolytic Mold. Ph.D. Thesis, (Meat Hygiene) Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- 16. ISO (217-1-2:2008) "International Standards Organization EAST AFRICAN STANDARD: Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination- Part 1-3: Specific rules for the preparation of meat and meat products, 2008.
- 17. Iwen, P.C.; Hinrichs, S.H. and Rupp, M.E. (2002): Utilization of the internal.
- 18. transcribed spacer regions as molecular targets to detect and identify human fungal pathogens. Med Mycol; 40: 87-109.
- Jay, J.M.; Leossner, M.J. and Golden, D.V. (2005): Modern food microbiology. 7th Ed. Chapter 2, Taxonomy, Role, and Significance of Microorganisms in Foods. Pp, 13- 37, Springer Science
- Jooyandeh, H.; Amarjeet, K. and Minhas, K.S. (2009): Lipases in dairy industry: A review. J Food Sci Technol, 46(3): 181–189.
- 21. Korashy- Eman and Wahbba –Nahed, M. (2008): lipolytic and Proteolytic activities of some fungi isolated from raw camel's milk. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 54: 118.
- Makimura, K. (2001): Species identification system for dermatophytes based on the DNA sequences of nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 1. Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi; 42:61-67.
- 23. Makimura, K.; Murayama, S.Y. and Yamaguchi H. (1994): Specific detection of Aspergillus and Penicillium species from respiratory specimens by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Jpn J Med Sci. Biol.; 47:141-156.
- 24. Morshdy, A.E. M.A.; Hussien, M. A. M.; El-Abbasy M. T. and Elzwahery, R. R.M. (2015): Aflatoxins Residues in Some Meat Products.

- 2<sup>nd</sup>Conference of Food Safety, Suez Canal University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, I: 90-95.
- Nasser, L.A. (2002): "Mycological status of imported canned fish consumed in Saudia Arabia with special reference to proteolytic activity". Assuit Vet. Med. J., 47:125-135.
- Ouf, J. M.; Nagwa, I.M.K. and Shabana, E.S.E. (2010): Incidence of proteolytic and lipolytic moulds and yeasts in some ready to eat meat products. Assuit Vet. Med. J., 56(126):132-143.
- 27. Pitt, J.I. and Hoching, A.D. (2009): Fungi and Food spoilage. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. Published by Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York.
- 28. Rohlf, F.J. (1998): NTSYSpc Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System Version 2.0 User Guide. Applied Biostatistics Inc., Setauket, New York. 37-34.
- 29. Shaltout, F. A. (2002): Microbiological aspect of Semi-cooked chicken meat products. Benha Vet. Med., J., 13(2):15-26.
- Shaltout, F. A.; El-diasty, E.M. and Mohamed, M. S. (2014): Incidence of lipolytic and proteolytic fungi in some chicken meat products and their public health significance. 1st Scientific conference of food safety and Technology, pp. 79-89.
- 31. Shaltout, F.A. and Salem, R.M. (2000): Molds, Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> and Ochratoxin A in frozen livers

- and meat products. Vet. Med. J. Giza. 48 (3): 341-346.
- 32. Soliman, Z.I. and Shalaby, A. M. (2008): Occurrence of lipolytic and proteolytic fungi in locally smoked fish. Assiut Vet. Med. J., 54(117): 78-88.
- 33. Subash, G.; Periasamy, A. and Azariah, H. (2005): Extracellular enzymatic activity profiles in fungi isolated from oil-reach environments. J. Mycoscience 46, (2):119-126.
- Sunitha, V.H.; Nirmala Devi, D. and Srinivas, C. (2013): Extracellular enzymatic activity of endophytic fungal strains isolated from medicinal plants. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 9 (1): 1-9.
- Toldra, F. (2006): The role of muscle enzymes in dry cured meat products with different drying conditions. Trends in Food Sci. Techn., 17: 164-168.
- Yamakami, Y.; Hashimoto, A.; Tokimatsu, I. and Nasu, M. (1996): PCR detection of DNA specific for Aspergillus species in serum of patients with invasive aspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol.; 34:2464-2468.
- 37. Zhao, J.; Kong, F.; Li, R.; Wang, X.; Wa, Z. and Wang, D. (2001): Identification of Aspergillus fumigatus and related species by nested PCR targeting ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer regions. J Clin Microbiol.; 9: 2261-/2266.

4/8/2019