Split tensile strength of cementitious composites in the optimum state

Farzad Soleymani

Department of Metallurgical Engineering, Payame Noor University, P.O. 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: farzad.soleymani52@yahoo.com

Abstract: Split tensile strength of SiO₂ nanoparticle blended cementitious composite cured in saturated limewater have been optimized. SiO₂ nanoparticles with partial replacement of cement by 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 weight percent have been used as reinforcement. To determine the strength of cement pastes, the specimens were cured in two different media (water and saturated limewater) for 7, 28 and 90 days. The results showed that SiO₂ nanoparticles could improve the mechanical properties of concrete. It has been obtained that curing in saturated limewater for 28 days and afterwards in water until 90 days, produces more strengthened cementitious composite than those cured only in water or saturated limewater for 90 days. Excess Ca(OH)₂ crystals which forms after 28 days when the specimens cured limewater reduces the effect of C-S-H gels which form until the 90 days hence negatively impacts the mechanical properties of the specimens. On the other hand, curing the specimens in water after 28 days produces more C-S-H gel results in a cementitious composite with higher strength.

[Farzad Soleymani, **Split tensile strength of cementitious composites in the optimum state.** Journal of American Science 2011;7(10):63-68]. (ISSN: 1545-1003). http://www.americanscience.org. 9

Key words: SiO₂ nanoparticle; split tensile strength; cementitious composite; curing medium; optimal strength.

1. Introduction

Portland cement-based binders are the primary active components of cementitious composites used in most modern construction. The other components are water and both fine and coarse aggregate. Binders are made from Portland 'clinker' ground together with a little calcium sulfate, and frequently also contain fine mineral powders such as limestone, pozzolan (typically volcanic ash), fly ash (usually from coal-burning power plants) and granulated blast furnace slag. Such powders are referred to as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) since they are used to replace some of the more expensive clinker. Chemical admixtures such superplasticisers and air-entraining agents can be added in small amounts to modify the properties of a cementitious composite for specific applications.

There are several reports on incorporation of nanoparticles in NVCs which most of them have focused on using SiO₂ nanoparticles [1-10] and TiO₂ nanoparticles [11, 12]. There are a few studies on incorporating nano-Fe₂O₃ [13], nano-Al₂O₃ [14], and nanoclay particles [15, 16]. Additionally, a limited number of investigations are dealing with the manufacture of nanosized cement particles and the development of nanobinders [17]. Previously, a series of works [18-25] has been conducted on cementitious adding different nanoparticles composites by evaluating the mechanical properties of composites. Nanoparticles can act as heterogeneous nuclei for cement pastes, further accelerating cement hydration because of their high reactivity, as nanoreinforcement, and as nano-filler, densifying the microstructure, thereby, leading to a reduced porosity. The most significant issue for all nanoparticles is that of effective dispersion.

SiO₂ nanoparticles have been found to improve concrete strength [11, 12, 26], to increase resistance to water permeability [27], and to help control the leaching of calcium [28], which is closely associated with various types of concrete degradation. SiO₂ nanoparticles, in addition, have been shown to promote the hydration reactions of C₃S as a result of the large and highly reactive surface of the nanoparticles [10, 29]. SiO₂ nanoparticles have been found to be more efficient in enhancing strength than silica fume [30, 31]. Adding 10% SiO₂ nanoparticles with dispersing agents has been observed to increase the split tensile strength of cementitious composites at 28 days by as much as 26%, compared to only a 10% increase with adding 15% silica fume [10]. Even the addition of small amounts of SiO₂ nanoparticles has been observed to increase the strength results in improving the 28 day split tensile strength by 10% and split tensile strength by 25% [2]. However, these results depend on the production route and conditions of synthesis of SiO₂ nanoparticles (e.g., molar ratios of the reagents, the type of reaction media, and duration of the reaction for the sol-gel method) and that dispersion of SiO₂ nanoparticles in the paste plays an important role. SiO₂ nanoparticles not only behaved as nanofiller to improve the microstructure but also as an activator to accelerate pozzolanic reactions [30].

In this work, the influence of nano-SiO₂ on split tensile strength, split tensile strength and split

tensile strength binary blended cementitious composite cured in water and saturated limewater for different ages has been investigated. The optimum replacement level of SiO_2 nanoparticles has been

determined in different curing media has been obtained and the method for achieving the optimum strength using a combination of two curing media has been discussed.

Table 1. Chemical and physical properties of Portland cement (Wt. %)

Material	SiO_2	Al_2O_3	Fe_2O_3	CaO	MgO	SO_3	Na ₂ O	K_2O	Loss on ignition
Cement	21.89	5.3	3.34	53.27	6.45	3.67	0.18	0.98	3.21

Specific gravity: 1.7 g/cm³

Table 2. The properties of nano-SiO₂

Diameter (nm)	Surface Volume ratio (m ² /g)	Density (g/cm ³)	Purity (%)
15 ± 3	160 ± 12	< 0.14	>99.9

Table 3. Mixture proportion of nano-SiO₂ particles blended concretes

nano-SiO ₂ particles	Quantities (kg/m ³)		
	Cement	SiO ₂ nanoparticles	
0	450	0	
0.5	447.75	2.25	
1.0	445.50	4.50	
1.5	443.25	6.75	
2.0	441.00	9.00	
	0 0.5 1.0 1.5	Cement 0 450 0.5 447.75 1.0 445.50 1.5 443.25	

Water to binder [cement + nano-SiO₂] ratio of 0.40, sand 492 kg/m³, and aggregate 1148 kg/m³

2. Materials and Methods

Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) conforming to ASTM C150 [32] standard was used as received. The chemical and physical properties of the cement are shown in Table 1. The nanoparticle size distribution pattern of the used OPC has been illustrated in Fig. 1.




Fig.1. Particles distribution pattern of ordinary Portland cement.

 SiO_2 nanoparticles with average particle size of 15 nm and 45 m 2 /g Blaine fineness producing from Suzhou Fuer Import & Export Trade Co., Ltd was used as received. The properties of SiO_2 nanoparticles are shown in Table 2. Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) and powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) diagrams of SiO_2 nanoparticles are shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

Locally available natural sand with particles

smaller than 0.5mm and fineness modulus of 2.25 and specific gravity of 2.58 g/cm³ was used as fine aggregate. Crushed basalt stored in the laboratory with maximum size of 15 mm and specific gravity of 2.96 g/cm³ was used as coarse aggregate.

Two series of mixtures were prepared in the laboratory trials. Series C0 mixtures were prepared as control specimens. The control mixtures were made of natural aggregates, cement and water. Series N were prepared with different contents of nano-SiO₂ particles with average particle size of 15 nm. The mixtures were prepared with the cement replacement of 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0% by weight. The water to binder ratio for all mixtures was set at 0.40. The aggregates for the mixtures consisted of a combination of crushed basalt and of fine sand, with the sand percentage of 30% by weight. The binder content of all mixtures was 450 kg/m³. The proportions of the mixtures are presented in Table 3.

Series N mixtures were prepared by mixing the course aggregates, fine aggregates and powder materials (cement and nano-SiO₂ particles) in a laboratory concrete drum mixer. The powder material in the series C0 mixtures was only cement. They were mixed in dry condition for two minutes, and for another three minutes after adding the water. Cylindrical specimens with the diameter of 150 mm and the height of 300 mm for split tensile strength were cast and compacted in two layers on a vibrating

table, where each layer was vibrated for 10 s [33]. The moulds were covered with polyethylene sheets and moistened for 24 h. Then the specimens were demoulded and cured in water (N-W series) and saturated limewater (N-LW series) at a temperature of 20° C prior to test days. The strength tests of the concrete samples were determined at 7, 28 and 90 days. A series of the specimens were cured in saturated limewater for 28 days and then cured in water until 90 days (N-LW-W series) after casting and then were tested.

Split tensile strength of nano-SiO₂ particles blended cement concrete cubes was determined as per ASTM C 496 [34] after 7, 28 and 90 days of moisture curing. Tests were carried out on triplicate specimens and average split tensile strength values were obtained.

For thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), A Netzsch model STA 409 simultaneous thermal analyzer equipped with a Data Acquisition System 414/1 programmer was used. Specimens which were cured for 90 days were heated from 100 to 650°C, at a heating rate of 4°C/min and in an inert N_2 atmosphere.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigation was conducted on a Hitachi apparatus. Secondary electron (SE) imaging was used to study the samples, which were prepared under conditions that ensured their subsequent viability for analytical purposes.




Fig. 2. SEM micrograph of SiO₂ nanoparticles.




Fig. 3. XRD analysis of SiO₂ nanoparticles.

Table 4. Split tensile strength of nano-SiO₂ particle blended cement mortars

		Split tensile strength (MPa)			
Sample designation	nano-SiO ₂ particle (%)	7 days	28 days	90 days	
C0-W (control)	0	1.5	1.8	2.3	
N1-W	0.5	2.5	2.9	3.4	
N2-W	1.0	3.0	3.3	3.6	
N3-W	1.5	2.9	3.0	3.2	
N4-W	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.4	
C0-LW (control)	0	1.3	1.5	1.9	
N1-LW	0.5	2.7	3.3	3.3	
N2-LW	1.0	3.5	3.6	3.7	
N3-LW	1.5	3.9	3.8	3.8	
N4-LW	2.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	
C0-LW-W (control)	0	1.3	1.5	1.6	
N1-LW-W	0.5	2.7	3.3	3.7	
N2-LW-W	1.0	3.5	3.6	4.1	
N3-LW-W	1.5	3.9	3.8	4.5	
N4-LW-W	2.0	4.3	4.0	4.8	

Water to binder [cement + nano-SiO₂] ratio of 0.40

W denotes the specimens cured in water and LW denotes to those cured in saturated limewater

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Split tensile strength

The split tensile strength results of series C0-W and N-W mixtures are shown in Table 4. Comparison

of the results from the 7, 28 and 90 days samples shows that the split tensile strength increases with nano-SiO₂ particles up to 1.0% replacement (N2-W) and then it decreases, although the results of 2.0% replacement (N4-W) is still higher than those of the plain cement concrete (C0-W). It was shown that the use of 2.0% nano-SiO₂ particles in N-W series decreases the split tensile strength to a value which is near to the control concrete. This may be due to the fact that the quantity of nano-SiO₂ particles (pozzolan) present in the mix is higher than the amount required to combine with the liberated lime during the process of hydration thus leading to excess silica leaching out and causing a deficiency in strength as it replaces part of the cementitious material but does not contribute to strength [36]. Also, it may be due to the defects generated in dispersion of nanoparticles that causes weak zones. The high enhancement of split tensile strength in the N series blended concrete are due to the rapid consuming of Ca(OH)2 which was formed during hydration of Portland cement specially at early ages related to the high reactivity of nano-SiO₂ particles. As a consequence, the hydration of cement is accelerated and larger volumes of reaction products are formed. Also nano-SiO2 particles recover the particle packing density of the blended cement, directing to a reduced volume of larger pores in the cement paste.

Table 4 also shows the split tensile strength of C0-LW and N-LW series. The results show that the replacement of cement by SiO₂ nanoparticles up to 2.0 Wt% (N4-LW) in N-LW series produces concrete with high strength with respect to N-LW concrete. By comparison the split tensile strength results of C0-W and C0-LW series, it shows that after 7, 28 and 90 days of curing the concrete in the saturated limewater, the split tensile strength of the C0-LW series is smaller than the corresponding strength of C0-W series. This may be due to more formation of crystalline Ca(OH)₂ in the presence of limewater which reduces the split tensile strength in C0-LW series with respect to C0-W series. On the other hand, the split tensile strength of the N-LW series is more than those of N-W series. Lime reacts with water and produces Ca(OH)₂ which needs to form C-S-H gel. When SiO₂ nanoparticles react with Ca(OH)₂ produced from saturated limewater, the content of C-S-H gel is increased because of high free energy of nanoparticles which reduces significantly when reacts by Ca(OH)₂. The split tensile strength of N-W and N-LW series should be compared from two viewpoints. The first viewpoint is that the split tensile strength of N-LW series increases by partial replacement of cement with SiO₂ nanoparticles up to 2.0 wt% (N4-LW) while for N-W series it increases by partial

replacement of cement with SiO₂ nanoparticles up to 1.0 wt% (N2-W) and then decreases. Once more this confirm the more C-S-H gel formation in the presence of saturated limewater in which the quantity of nano-SiO₂ particles (pozzolan) present in the mix is close to the amount required to combine with the liberated lime during the process of hydration thus leading to lesser silica leaching out with respect to the specimens cured in water. Second viewpoint is that the difference between split tensile strengths of the N-W and N-LW series after 28 days of curing is relatively high while this difference in split tensile strength after 90 days of curing is not high. This may be due to formation of crystalline Ca(OH)₂ in N-LW series after the 28 day causes reduction in split tensile strength. In the other words, curing of the SiO₂ nanoparticles blended concrete in saturated limewater after 28 days is completely suitable to achieve high strength especially with high weight percent of nanoparticles.

3.5. Thermogravimetric analysis results

Table 5 shows the weight loss measured in the 100-650°C range in which dehydration of the hydrated products occurred. The results show that after 90 days of curing, the loss in weight of the specimens is increased by increasing the nanoparticles content in concretes. This is more evident in N2-W and N4-LW series. This may be due to more formation of hydrated C-S-H gel in N2-W and N4-LW series.

Table 5. Weight loss (%) of the pastes in the range of 110-650 °C after 90 days of curing.

Mixture	Total heat		
	kJ/kg		
C0-W (control)	12.2		
N1-W	11.7		
N2-W	11.9		
N3-W	11.8		
N4-W	11.6		
C0-LW (control)	12.7		
N1-LW	11.5		
N2-LW	11.2		
N3-LW	10.8		
N4-LW	10.5		

Water to binder [cement + nano-SiO₂] ratio of 0.40 W denotes the specimens cured in water and LW denotes to those cured in saturated limewater

Conclusions

The results show that the nano-SiO $_2$ particles blended concrete had significantly higher strength (split tensile, split tensile and split tensile strength) compared to that of the concrete without nano-SiO $_2$ particles. It is found that the cement could be

advantageously replaced with nano-SiO₂ particles up to maximum limit of 2.0% with average particle sizes of 15 nm when the specimens cured at saturated limewater for 28 days. The optimal level of nano-SiO₂ particles content was achieved with 1.0% replacement for the specimens cured in water 7, 28 and 90 days. The optimized strength of nanoparticles blended concrete was achieved by partial replacement of 2.0 wt% cement with SiO₂ nanoparticles which was cured for 28 days in saturated limewater and then in water until 90 days.

References

- [1] Bjornstrom J, Martinelli A, Matic A, Borjesson L, Panas I. Accelerating effects of colloidal nano-silica for beneficial calcium–silicate–hydrate formation in cement. Chem Phys Lett 2004;392(1–3):242–8.
- [2] Ji T. Preliminary study on the water permeability and microstructure of concrete incorporating nano-SiO2. Cem Concr Res 2005;35(10):1943–7.
- [3] Jo B-W, Kim C-H, Tae G-h, Park J-B. Characteristics of cement mortar with nano-SiO2 particles. Construct Build Mater 2007;21(6):1351–5.
- [4] Li H, Xiao H-g, Ou J-p. A study on mechanical and pressure-sensitive properties of cement mortar with nanophase materials. Cem Concr Res 2004;34(3):435–8.
- [5] Li H, Zhang M-h, Ou J-p. Abrasion resistance of concrete containing nanoparticles for pavement. Wear 2006;260(11–12):1262–6.
- [6] Qing Y, Zenan Z, Deyu K, Rongshen C. Influence of nano-SiO2 addition on properties of hardened cement paste as compared with silica fume. Construct Build Mater 2007;21(3):539–45.
- [7] Lin KL, Chang WC, Lin DF, Luo HL, Tsai MC. Effects of nano-SiO2 and different ash particle sizes on sludge ash—cement mortar. J Environ Manage 2008;88(4):708–14.
- [8] Lin DF, Lin KL, Chang WC, Luo HL, Cai MQ. Improvements of nano-SiO2 on sludge/fly ash mortar. Waste Manage 2008;28(6):1081–7.
- [9] Sobolev K, Flores I, Torres-Martinez LM, Valdez PL, Zarazua E, Cuellar EL. Engineering of SiO2 nanoparticles for optimal performance in nano cementbased materials. In: Bittnar Z, Bartos PJM, Nemecek J, Smilauer V, Zeman J, editors. Nanotechnology in construction: proceedings of the NICOM3 (3rd international symposium on nanotechnology in construction). Prague, Czech Republic; 2009. p. 139–48.

- [10] Qing Y, Zenan Z, Li S, Rongshen C. A comparative study on the pozzolanic activity between nano-SiO2 and silica fume. J Wuhan Univ Technol Mater Sci Ed 2008;21(3):153–7.
- [11] Sobolev K, Ferrada-Gutiérrez M. How nanotechnology can change the concrete world: part 2. Am Ceram Soc Bull 2005;84(11):16–9.
- [12] Li H, Zhang M-h, Ou J-p. Split tensile fatigue performance of concrete containing nanoparticles for pavement. Int J Fatig 2007;29(7):1292–301.
- [13] Li Z, Wang H, He S, Lu Y, Wang M. Investigations on the preparation and mechanical properties of the nano-alumina reinforced cement composite. Mater Lett 2006;60(3):356–9.
- [14] Garboczi EJ, Bentz DP. Modelling of the microstructure and transport properties of concrete. Construct Build Mater 1996;10(5):293–300.
- [15] Chang T-P, Shih J-Y, Yang K-M, Hsiao T-C. Material properties of Portland cement paste with nano-montmorillonite. J Mater Sci 2007;42(17):7478–87.
- [16] Kuo W-Y, Huang J-S, Lin C-H. Effects of organo-modified montmorillonite on strengths and permeability of cement mortars. Cem Concr Res 2006;36(5):886–95.
- [17] Lee SJ, Kriven WM. Synthesis and hydration study of Portland cement components prepared by the organic steric entrapment method. Mater Struct 2005;38(1):87–92.
- [18] Nazari A, Riahi Sh, Riahi Sh, Shamekhi SF, Khademno A., Mechanical properties of cement mortar with Al₂O₃ nanoparticles. Journal of American Science, 2010; 6(4): 94-97.
- [19] Nazari A, Riahi Sh, Riahi Sh, Shamekhi SF, Khademno A., The effects of incorporation Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles on tensile and split tensile strength of concrete. Journal of American Science, 2010; 6(4): 90-93.
- [20] Nazari A, Riahi Sh, Riahi Sh, Shamekhi SF, Khademno A., Improvement the mechanical properties of the concrete by using TiO₂ nanoparticles. Journal of American Science, 2010; 6(4): 98-101.
- [21] Nazari A, Riahi Sh, Riahi Sh, Shamekhi SF, Khademno A., Embedded TiO₂ nanoparticles mechanical properties monitoring in cementitious composites. Journal of American Science, 2010; 6(4): 86-89.
- [22] Nazari A, Riahi Sh, Riahi Sh, Shamekhi SF, Khademno A., Benefits of Fe_2O_3

- nanoparticles in concrete mixing matrix. Journal of American Science, 2010; 6(4): 102-106.
- [23] Nazari A, Riahi Sh, Riahi Sh, Shamekhi SF, Khademno A., Assessment of the effects of the cement paste composite in presence TiO₂ nanoparticles. Journal of American Science, 2010; 6(4): 43-46.
- [24] Nazari A, Riahi Sh, Riahi Sh, Shamekhi SF, Khademno A., An investigation on the Strength and workability of cement based concrete performance by using TiO₂ nanoparticles. Journal of American Science, 2010; 6(4): 29-33.
- [25] Nazari A, Riahi Sh, Riahi Sh, Shamekhi SF, Khademno A., Influence of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles on the split tensile strength and workability of blended concrete. Journal of American Science, 2010; 6(5): 6-9.
- [26] Li H, Xiao H-g, Yuan J, Ou J. Microstructure of cement mortar with nanoparticles. Compos B Eng 2004;35(2):185–9.
- [27] Chong KP, Garboczi EJ. Smart and designer structural material systems. Prog Struct Mat Eng 2002;4:417–30.
- [28] Gaitero JJ, Campillo I, Guerrero A. Reduction of the calcium leaching rate of cement paste by addition of silica nanoparticles. Cem Concr Res 2008;38(8–9):1112–8.
- [29] 84 Jennings HM, Bullard JW, Thomas JJ, Andrade JE, Chen JJ, Scherer GW. Characterization and modeling of pores and surfaces in cement paste: correlations to processing and properties. J Adv Concr Technol 2008;6(1):5–29.
- [30] Scrivener KL, Kirkpatrick RJ. Innovation in use and research on cementitious material. Cem Concr Res 2008;38(2):128–36.
- [31] Scrivener KL. Nanotechnology and cementitious materials. In: Bittnar Z, Bartos PJM, Nemecek J, Smilauer V, Zeman J, editors. Nanotechnology in construction: proceedings of the NICOM3 (3rd international symposium on nanotechnology in construction). Prague, Czech Republic; 2009. p. 37–42.
- [32] ASTM C150, Standard Specification for Portland Cement, annual book of ASTM standards, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA; 2001.
- [33] Bui DD, Hu J, Stroeven P. Particle size effect on the strength of rice husk ash blended gapgraded Portland cement concrete. Cem Concr Compos 2005; 27(3): 357–366.
- [34] ASTM C496, Standard Test Method for Splitting Tensile Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens, ASTM, Philadelphia,

PA; 2001.

[35] AI-Khalaf MN, Yousift HA. Use of rice husk ash in concrete. Int J Cem Compos Lightweight Concr 1984; 6(4): 241-248.

9/23/2011